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## Preface

The Harmonized Diagnostic Assessment of Dementia for the Longitudinal Aging Study in India (LASI-DAD) is the first and only nationally representative study on late-life cognition and dementia in India. We have drawn what is currently a cross-sectional sample of 4,096 community-residing older adults 60+ years of age from the larger LASI study ( N ~ 70,000). LASI is a prospective, multi-purpose population survey, representative of both the entire country and of each state within India.

We have administered the Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol (HCAP), a common cognitive test battery used by an international network of researchers, enabling new and innovative comparative studies across both low- and high-income countries. The HCAP family of studies includes the Health and Retirement Study - HCAP (HRS-HCAP), the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing - HCAP (ELSA-HCAP), and the Mexican Health and Aging Study's Cognitive Aging Ancillary Study (Mex-Cog), along with others in Chile and China.

The HCAP consists of a pair of in-person interviews, one with the target respondent and one with an informant nominated by the respondent. The respondent interview includes a neuropsychological test battery designed to measure a range of key cognitive domains affected by cognitive aging, such as memory, language, attention, executive function, and visuospatial skills. The HCAP studies share core elements, such as the aforementioned domains, specific cognitive tests, questions for informants, and methods of data collection. However, due to differences in literacy and local contexts, some modifications were made in the selection and administration of specific cognitive tests for LASI-DAD. Hence, when analyzing HCAP data, it is recommended that the user consider these differences when constructing an analysis plan.

One unique feature of LASI-DAD is that a comprehensive geriatric assessment accompanied the interviews and was completed in collaboration with regional geriatric hospitals. Through this geriatric assessment, rich epidemiological data on the health of the respondents are collected and made available for research purposes. More detail information is available on lasi-dad.org.

The University of Southern California Gateway to Global Aging Data team has created this codebook along with Harmonized LASI-DAD data files to facilitate cross-country comparisons across the international family of HCAP studies.

The Harmonized LASI-DAD initiative is part of a larger set of projects that aim to facilitate crosscountry comparisons using data across the HRS-family of HCAP studies. With funding and support from the National Institute on Aging, we have also created Harmonized HRS (USA), Harmonized ELSA (England), Harmonized SHARE (Europe + Israel), Harmonized KLoSA (South Korea), Harmonized JSTAR (Japan), Harmonized CHARLS (China), Harmonized LASI (India), Harmonized MHAS (Mexico), Harmonized TILDA (Ireland), Harmonized CRELES (Costa Rica), and Harmonized MARS (Malaysia) data. Further information about these Harmonized data files with questionnaires and other metadata is available on our searchable website, g2aging.org.

We are grateful for the continuing support of and funding from the National Institute of Aging. In interpreting the LASI-DAD data, we greatly benefited from the help and insights of LASI-DAD staff members, particularly the All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), International Institute of Population Sciences (IIPS), and National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS). We have greatly benefited from the discussions with and the suggestions from our colleagues Sara Adar, P. Arokiasamy, David Bloom, Eileen Crimmins, Sharmistha Dey, Mary Ganguli, Peifeng Hu, Urvashi Jain, Arie Kapteyn, Kenneth Langa, Judith Saxton, Arthur Toga, Mathew Varghese, Albert Weerman, and David Weir.
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## 1. Introduction and Overview

This codebook documents the Harmonized LASI-DAD data files, a streamlined collection of variables derived from the Longitudinal Aging Study in India, Diagnostic Assessment of Dementia (LASI-DAD). The main goal of LASI-DAD is to provide an interdisciplinary data resource with a focus on cognitive and physical health, and quality of life as people age. LASIDAD derived variables include cognition variables, informant report variables, and physical measure variables. The Harmonized LASI-DAD data file also incorporates various demographic variables from the Harmonized LASI. Harmonized LASI-DAD does not include any data which is not publically released.

The LASI-DAD is a sub-study of the ongoing, nationally representative survey Longitudinal Aging Study in India (LASI). The survey elicits in-depth cognitive tests, geriatric assessments, and informant interviews. The informant interview is completed by a person chosen by the respondent. Venous blood was also drawn and stored for future studies.

The LASI-DAD aims to:

- Collect high-quality data on late-life cognition and dementia
- Obtain clinical consensus diagnosis
- Estimate the prevalence and incidence of dementia and mild cognitive impairment (MCI)
- Investigate the determinants of late-life cognition, dementia, and MCl
- Study the impact of dementia, cognitive impairment, and MCI on families and society
- Disseminate anonymized data to the larger research community

The LASI-DAD's target sample was older adults aged 60 and older. To obtain national representation within budgetary constraints and to maintain quality supervision of fieldwork, we collaborated with 15 regional centers (RCs) for interviewer recruitment and fieldwork management. The All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS) in New Delhi was the nodal point that coordinated with and provided logistical support to all the other RCs. ${ }^{1}$ We selected the sample from 18 states and 4 metropolitan cities across the country that are within 12 hours of driving distance from participating RCs. The states we draw the LASI-DAD sample from include: Assam, Gujarat, Haryana, Jammu \& Kashmir, Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Odisha, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Telangana, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Punjab, and West Bengal, and the four metropolitan cities are: Chennai, Delhi, Kolkata, and Mumbai.

[^0]As our aim was to study dementia, a simple random sampling of age-eligible LASI respondents would not yield enough cognitively impaired respondents to allow for a sufficiently precise estimation of the relationship between dementia and its correlates. Therefore, we employed a two-stage stratified random sampling approach with oversampling of those at high risk of cognitive impairment to ensure sufficient numbers of respondents with dementia and mild cognitive impairment.

To accomplish this, we first classified respondents into those at high and at low risk of cognitive impairment based on the core LASI study's cognitive tests and on the proxy report for those who did not complete the cognitive tests. Specifically, to determine cognitive impairment risk, we grouped the LASI respondents into four groups based on age (60-69 and 70+) and education (no schooling and some education). We then defined cognitive impairment risk within age/education groups based on their relative performance on memory and non-memory cognitive tests, overall test performance, refusal or inability to participate in the cognitive tests, and proxy interviews in the main LASI. Respondents were classified as high risk if any of the following conditions were met: (1) overall cognitive test performance in the core LASI was in the bottom tertile; (2) memory score was in the bottom $15^{\text {th }}$ percentile; (3) non-memory cognitive scores were below the $15^{\text {th }}$ percentile; (4) the number of missing cognitive tests was above the 85th percentile; or (5) scores from the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE), a widely used screening test for dementia, was 3.9 or higher. We then randomly drew the sample with about an equal number of those at high risk of cognitive impairment and those not at high risk.

As noted earlier, LASI-DAD is one study within a larger international effort to understand dementia risks through longitudinal studies on aging. This effort has been developed as the Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol (HCAP). In order to measure the cognitive ability of the older Indian population, of which many are illiterate and innumerate, the project team carefully evaluated the HCAP protocol and modified it to suit the local context and target population. For example, the Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE) developed by Folstein, Folstein, and McHugh (1975) was replaced by the Hindi version of the MMSE (HMSE) developed by Ganguli et al. (1995). We further considered cognitive and neuropsychological test batteries developed by the National Institute of Mental Health and Neuro Sciences, Bengaluru, India, and consulted with other experts in the field, including geriatricians, community medicine experts, psychiatrists, cognitive psychologists, and members of the HRS-HCAP advisory group. Table 1 presents the tests selected for LASI-DAD, indicating those in common with HCAP and the tests unique to LASI-DAD.

LASI-DAD employs almost the same informant interview protocol that is used in HRS-HCAP, including questions about the informant, particularly his/her relationship with the respondent and his/her own demographic characteristics; the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE) (Jorm and Jacomb 1989); Blessed Parts 1 and 2 (Blessed, Tomlinson, and Roth 1968; Morris et al. 1989); questions about respondents' activities; and signs of cognitive impairment drawn from the 10/66 Brief Screener for Dementia (Prince et al.
2007). Some modifications were made to the questions about the respondents' activities to make them more culturally relevant.

Please refer Lee et al. (2019) for a more detailed description of the project protocol.

Table 1. Cognitive tests selected for LASI-DAD
(* indicates same HCAP protocol, \# indicates protocol with minor modifications, + indicates unique in LASI-DAD)

| Test Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| HMSE (Ganguli et al. 1995)\# | The HMSE is the Hindi translation and adaptation of the MMSE for screening the Hindi-speaking, illiterate rural elderly population. The HMSE (like the MMSE) assesses general cognitive status with measures of cognitive orientation, language, and memory. This test is often used in clinical and research settings to identify individuals with likely cognitive impairment or dementia. |
| TICS (Brandt, Spencer, and Folstein 1988)\# | This section includes three questions from the HRS-TICS. This includes questions to identify two words (vocabulary) and naming the Prime Minister of India (replacing the HCAP question about the name of the U.S. President and Vice President). This measure is based on the full TICS. |
| Word learning and recall (CERAD 1987)\# | This test presents 10 high-imagery words for 2 seconds each. The respondent hears each word and repeats it aloud as it is presented and is then tested on immediate recall ability. The same list of words is presented to the respondent three times in different orders; after each presentation, the respondent is asked to recall as many words as possible. In addition to correct recall responses, the number of intrusions (words not on the list) are also recorded. We do the delayed recall 5 minutes after the first administration. |
| Digit span forward and backward (Wechsler 1997)* | A list of random numbers is read out loud at the rate of one per second. Subjects listen to the series of single-digit numbers and are asked to repeat them back in the same order they were given. At the end of a sequence, they are asked to recall the items in reverse of the presented order. |
| Symbol cancellation (Lowery et al. 2004)\# | This test assesses attention and speed, specifically in the illiterate population. Subjects are given a sheet with different symbols. They are then shown a specific symbol, which is present among the different symbols in the sheet, and are asked to scan the sheet as quickly as possible (in a minute) and circle the symbol shown to them. Scores include the number of correctly and incorrectly circled symbols. |
| Logical memory (Wechsler 2009)\# | This section involves the reading of stories to the respondent and is scored based on the number of story points the respondent can immediately recall after hearing each story. The first story read to the respondent is the Brave Man story, included in many dementia studies around the world. The second story read to the respondent is one of two from the Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS-IV). |
| Constructional praxis (with delayed recall) (Rosen, Mohs, | The constructional praxis tests the subject's ability to copy four geometric forms of varying difficulty shown on a sheet of paper (circle, overlapping rectangles, diamond, and cube). In the delayed recall test, the subjects are asked to recall these shapes and draw them from memory after some |

```
and Davis 1984)* time.
```

Retrieval fluency To assess verbal reasoning and processing speed, respondents are asked (Woodcock, to name as many animals as possible in a minute. This test was adapted McGrew, and by McArdle and Woodcock from the Woodcock Johnson Test III Tests of Mather 2001)* Achievement.

| Serial 7s <br> (Folstein, Folstein \& McHugh, 1975)* | In this test, the respondent is asked to subtract seven from 100 in the first step and then asked to continue subtracting seven from the previous result in each subsequent step. Each subtraction is scored separately. This test is also part of the MMSE. |
| :---: | :---: |
| CSI-D (Hall, Hendrie, and Brittain 1993)* | This series of questions derives from the 10/66 and Community Screening Interview for Dementia (CSI-D) surveys to assess cognitive impairment and dementia. The questions evaluate language, knowledge, and the ability to follow directions. |
| Raven's test <br> (Raven 2000)* | This test evaluates picture-based pattern reasoning of varying difficulty. Each question presents a geometric picture with a small section that appears to have been cut out. The respondent is shown a set of smaller pictures that fit the missing piece and is asked to identify the one that correctly completes the pattern. We follow HRS-HCAP wherein they have selected a subset of 17 questions out of the 60 in the full test, including one practice question. |
| Go-No Go (Gomez, Ratcliff, and Perea 2007)+ | In this test, the respondent is given a task in which stimuli are presented in a continuous stream and participants perform a binary decision on each stimulus. One of the outcomes requires participants to make a motor response (go), whereas the other requires participants to withhold a response (no go). Accuracy is measured for each event. |
| Hand movement sequencing test (Mattis 1988)+ | In this test, the subject is shown hand-sequencing movements and is asked to repeat the action shown. The test is adopted from Hindi handsequencing movements, which were adapted from Mattis dementia rating scales. |
| Token test (De <br> Renzi and Vignolo 1962)+ | The subject is presented with a show card with tokens of different shapes, sizes, and colors. He/she is given verbal commands like touching the different colored tokens, different shapes, one shape or color before the other, etc. The commands start with simple tasks and progresses to more complex ones. |
| Judgment \& problem solving (Morris, 1993)+ | The subject is asked to (1) identify similarities and differences between things and (2) describe what $s /$ he would do if $s / h e$ found a lost child on the road. |

### 1.1 Gateway to Global Aging Data

The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) has achieved remarkable scientific success, as demonstrated by an impressive number of users, research studies, and publications using it. Its success has generated substantial interest in collecting similar data in other regions of the world as population aging progresses.

The result has been a number of surveys designed to be comparable with the HRS: the Mexican Health \& Aging Survey (MHAS), the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA), the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE), the Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging (KLoSA), the Japanese Study on Aging and Retirement (JSTAR), the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA), the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), Health and Aging in Africa: A Longitudinal Study of an INDEPTH Community in South Africa (HAALSI), the Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSI), Healthy Ageing in Scotland (HAGIS), the Northern Ireland Cohort Longitudinal Study of Ageing (NICOLA), and the Longitudinal Aging Study in India (LASI). The overview of this family of surveys, including their research designs, samples, and key domains can be found in Lee (2019).

As these surveys were designed with harmonization as a goal, they provide remarkable opportunities for cross-country studies. The value of comparative analyses, especially the opportunities they offer for learning from the results of policies adopted elsewhere, is widely recognized. Yet there are only a limited number of empirical studies exploiting such opportunities. This is partly due to the difficulty associated with learning multiple surveys and the policies and institutions of each country.

Identifying comparable questions across surveys is the first step toward cross-country analyses. The Gateway to Global Aging Data (Gateway) helps users understand and use these large-scale population surveys on health and retirement. The Gateway includes several tools to facilitate cross-national health and retirement research. It includes a digital library of survey questions for all participating surveys. Its search engine enables users to find relevant survey questions. The Gateway also includes a concordance with information comparing measures within and across surveys over time. Using these tools, researchers can identify all questions related to particular key words or within a domain. The Gateway also includes population and subpopulation estimates for key harmonized variables and presents them in graphs and tables that can be downloaded.

The Gateway can be accessed at https://g2aging.org/. For more information about using the Gateway visit the Help page.

### 1.2 Data File Structure

The Harmonized LASI-DAD data are contained in a single file. The data are stored in a "fat format" where each observation represents one respondent. The unit of observation is the individual. Each individual is uniquely identified by the identifier PRIM_KEY. Households are identified by HHID.

### 1.3 Variable Naming Convention

With a few exceptions, variable names in the Harmonized LASI-DAD Data follow a consistent pattern. The first character indicates whether the variable refers to the reference person ("R") or the household (" H "). ${ }^{2}$ The second character indicates the wave to which the variable pertains: " 1 " or " $A$ ". The " $A$ " indicates "all," i.e., the variable is not specific to any single wave. An example is RABYEAR, the birth year of the respondent. The remaining characters describe the concept that the variable captures. For example:


Variable R1BPCOMPL captures the respondent's compliance during the blood pressure test.

In the text below, we may refer to variables by substituting a " $w$ " in for the specific wave number. For example, consider RwBPCOMPL; this reference points at the group of variables that follow the same pattern as R1BPCOMPL.

Variable labels also follow a consistent pattern. The first characters denote the name of the variable, followed by a colon. Then the wave to which the variable pertains follows (for example, "w1" refers to wave 1). The remainder of the label describes the concept that the variable captures. For example, the variable label of R1BPCOMPL is:

```
rlbpcompl:w1 r compliance during blood pressure test
```

It may seem duplicative to include the name of the variable and the wave in the variable label. However, statistical packages often suppress the variable name and instead use its label in the presentation of results.

[^1]Variable names in the Harmonized LASI-DAD are generally based on the variable name used in the RAND HRS or in the Harmonized LASI for the same measure. Measures that are exactly or near-exactly comparable between the Harmonized LASI-DAD, RAND HRS or Harmonized LASI use the exact same name. For instance, RABYEAR is the variable name for the respondent's birth year in the Harmonized LASI-DAD, as well as in the RAND HRS and Harmonized LASI. If the Harmonized LASI-DAD measure is deemed only somewhat comparable with the RAND HRS or Harmonized LASI version of that measure, the variable name in the Harmonized LASI-DAD will often end in "_D." This variable name suffix indicates some LASI-DAD-specific difference with the RAND HRS or Harmonized LASI version of this measure. Reasons for Harmonized LASI-DADspecific variable names include: differences in survey questions, differences in survey routing, and whether both sets of variables use imputed values. Harmonized LASI-DAD-specific variable names are used to notify the user that (i) there are substantial differences between the Harmonized LASI-DAD measure and the RAND HRS or Harmonized LASI measures and (ii) clean harmonization between these measures is not possible.

Users should always check the "Differences with LASI" section of each measure before comparing any Harmonized LASI-DAD measure to the Harmonized LASI version of the same measures or any other Harmonized Dataset version of the same measure.

### 1.4 Missing Values, and Nonresponse

Variables may contain missing values for several reasons. SAS, Stata, and SPSS offer the capability to distinguish between multiple types of missing values, and we have attempted to record as much information as possible. Generally, the codes adhere to the classification in Table 2.

Table 2. Missing Codes

| Code | Reason for missing |
| :---: | :--- |
| . | Reference person did not respond to this wave |
| .d | Don't know |
| .r | Refused |
| .n | Not Assessed |
| .m | Missing |
| .p | Proxy |
| .h | Not interviewed |
| .s | Skipped |
| .c | Cannot count |
| .l | Cannot read or write |

Note: The special missing code .n, not assessed, was marked only if the respondent has some physical disability that prevented him or her from performing the test. As examples, . n is assigned if the respondent is blind and hence could not complete the task that involved seeing figures, if he/she is paralyzed and hence could not draw or write in the given task, or if he/she
has a hearing disability and the test in question involves spoken directions. The missing code .n is not assigned if the main reason for not performing a cognition test is a physical disability that is unrelated to the respondent's cognition ability. Consult the Data Codebook for details on individual variables.

## 2. Sample Weights

LASI-DAD sample weights are meant to account for differential selection probabilities produced by the adopted sampling strategy, and to adjust for differential non-response across sampled individuals. They align the LASI-DAD sample distributions of basic demographics (gender, age, literacy, and urbanicity) to the corresponding distributions in the Indian population age 60 and older. LASI-DAD sample weights are constructed following the steps below.

In order to be included in the study, LASI-DAD participants must have answered the first wave of the main LASI. We therefore start from the LASI base weight, which accounts for differential probabilities of selection into LASI, adjusted by individual-level nonresponse. Let $i$ indicate an individual and base_adj $j_{i}^{L A S I}$ denote such weight for individual $i$.

LASI-DAD participants were selected among LASI respondents age 60 and older, sampling with equal probability individuals with low and high risk of cognitive impairment. The risk of cognitive impairment was assessed using the complete battery of cognitive test scores in the first wave of the main LASI. Using the sample of first-wave main LASI respondents age 60 and older, we estimate a probability of selection into LASI via Logit. We perform this estimation separately for individuals without and with a proxy interview.

For individuals without a proxy interview, the set of explanatory variables includes:

- demographics
(gender, marital status, education, parent's education, literacy status, binary indicators for state of residence, rural area, caste, household income and wealth quintiles)
- health variables
(overall self-reported health status, binary indicators for high blood pressure, diabetes, heart disease, stroke, Alzheimer's disease, number of functional limitations, ADLs, and IADLs)
- cognitive test scores
(orientation to place, orientation to time, object naming, verbal fluency, computation, executive function, immediate and delayed word recall, picture/clock drawing, serial 7's, backward counting, read and follow command, sentence writing)

For individuals with a proxy interview, the set of explanatory variables includes:

- demographics
(gender, marital status, education, parent's education, literacy status, binary indicators for state of residence, rural area, caste, household income and wealth quintiles)
- health variables
(overall self-reported health status, binary indicators for high blood pressure, diabetes, heart disease, stroke, Alzheimer's disease, number of functional limitations, ADLs, and IADLs)
- JORM IQCODE score

Indicating with $\hat{p}_{i, \text { selc }}$ the Logit predicted probability of selection into LASI-DAD, we define the LASI-DAD base weight, base_weight ${ }_{i}^{D A D}$, as follows:

$$
\text { base_weight }_{i}^{D A D}=\text { base_adj }_{i}^{L A S I} \times\left(1 / \hat{p}_{i, s e l c}\right)
$$

This base weight accounts for both the probability of being a LASI respondent and the differential probability of selection of LASI respondents with into LASI-DAD.

In a second step, post-stratification weights are generated by means of a raking algorithm starting from the LASI-DAD base weights described above. The goal of this procedure is to align the weighted distributions of specific socio-demographic variables in the LASI-DAD survey sample to their population counterparts. Specifically, the set of socio-demographic variables used as raking factors includes: gender (Male/Female) $\times$ age (60-69/70+), gender $\times$ literacy (Literate/Illiterate), and location (Rural/Urban). Hence, the resulting post-stratification weights allow the sample distributions of age and literacy, overall and separately for men and women, and the distribution of rural versus urban residency to match exactly their population benchmarks and, therefore, to correct for differential non-response along such dimensions. Benchmark distributions are taken from the Indian Census 2011 and refer to the population of individuals aged 60 and above in India. ${ }^{3}$

In order to limit variability and improve efficiency of estimators, we trim extreme weights. We follow the general weight trimming and redistribution procedure described by Valliant, Dever and Kreuter (2013). Specifically, we compute relative weights by dividing weights by the sample mean, set the lower and upper bound on relative weights to the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile respectively, and trim all weights that exceeds these bounds (Battaglia et al., 2009). We compute the amount of weight lost by trimming and distribute it equally among the respondents whose weights are not trimmed. If all these new relative weights are within bounds, no further adjustment is performed. If any of these new weights are out of bounds, the trimming procedure is repeated iteratively until all weights are within bounds, or until the maximum number of 10 iterations is reached.

While raking weights can match population distributions of selected variables, trimmed weights typically do not. We therefore iterate the raking algorithm and the trimming procedure until post-stratification relative weights are within bounds and align sample and population distributions of selected variables. This procedure stops after 10 iterations if an exact alignment respecting the weight bounds cannot be achieved. In this case, the raked weights will ensure an exact match of (weighted) survey relative frequencies to their population counterparts, but some of them may be out of bounds.

[^2]Let final_weight $i_{i}^{D A D}$ be the post-stratification weight for respondent $i$, obtained by applying the raking/trimming algorithm to the base weights as described above. LASI-DAD final poststratification weights, final_weight $i_{i}^{D A D}$, are expressed relative to their sample mean. Thus, they sum to the LASI-DAD sample size and average to 1 .

## Weights for the Sub-Sample with Lab Data

Lab data are available for $70 \%$ of the original LASI-DAD sample. The sub-samples with and without lab data exhibit statistical significant differences as far as gender, literacy and residence in rural areas are concerned. Because of these observed differences in demographic characteristics, we implement the weighting/trimming procedure described above separately for the sub-sample of LASI-DAD respondents with lab data.

The resulting weights, final_weight ${ }_{i}^{D A D}$ Lab , are expressed relative to their sample mean and align the sub-sample with lab data to the reference population in terms of gender, age, literacy and urbanicity. These weights sum to the size of the LASI-DAD sub-sample with lab data and average to 1 .

## 3. Imputation

When test items or informant report items are missing, this poses a problem. A single missing item makes all summary scores that depend on it also missing, so even a small fraction of missings in each item can lead to a large fraction of observations that are missing summary scores, which would arguably be of primary interest to most researchers. Therefore, as is common in survey data, we impute most missing observations. The goal of imputation is to replace the missing values with random draws from a conditional distribution such that the estimated joint distribution from the completed (imputed) data is an unbiased estimator of the true joint distribution of these variables (e.g., Little \& Rubin, 2002, sec. 10.2.1; Lee et al., 2015, sec. 2).

We imputed the cognitive test variables and the informant reports about the individuals' cognitive decline. Some tests were only administered to specific sub-samples: only those surveyed in phases 2 and 3 of the data collection, only literate respondents, or only illiterate respondents. We have not imputed these for the samples that the variables were not administered to. Moreover, in some cases, a certain answer on one question led to a skip of a later question, and the imputations follow such skip patterns. For example, if the imputation of the first trial in the 3-word recall test is 3, then the second and third trials logically follow as skips (.s). For the cognitive test items, we have recoded "don't know" (.d) as incorrect (0). There are some indications that other missings, especially "refuse" (.r) may also sometimes indicate that the respondent does not know the correct answer, but because we cannot be sure about this, we have imputed these in the regular way, with the exception of "not assessed" (.n) in the orientation items. The latter is common among interviews in Hindi and should be interpreted as "don't know", so we have set these to zero as well. In the Jorm IQCODE scale, the informant can indicate that the respondent does not do certain things, which is coded as "not applicable" (.n). For example, when asked whether the individual has more problems than before learning how to use new gadgets, this answer would be given if the person has not obtained any new gadgets. We have imputed such cases as well, based on the rationale that these items were intended to measure cognitive decline and that imputing this allows us to compute a summary score of cognitive decline for the Jorm scale as a whole, but if a researcher is interested in the literal meaning of a question like this, then it may be better to not use the imputations of such a question. Analogously, we have imputed the serial 7 s score for individuals who cannot count, even though strictly speaking the individual gave no correct answers and would not be able to do this. This test was intended to measure processing speed and attention, not numerical ability, and a score of 0 for such individuals would not reflect their cognitive status well.

The imputation method we have implemented was inspired by the imputations of cognition variables in the HRS (Fisher et al., 2017). It is also similar to the method used in SHARE (De Luca et al., 2015, although they use a simpler method for variables with few missing values). We specified a regression model for each cognition variable as a function of the other cognition variables and a rich set of background variables: health, demographics, and socio-economic characteristics. The regression model specifies the conditional distribution of the variable that must be imputed as a function of the regressors, and the imputations are pseudo-random
draws from this conditional distribution. Take, for example, a binary variable such as whether the respondent correctly answered the question about what year it is. Let this variable be $y$ and the regressors be collected in the vector $\mathbf{x}$. We specified a logistic regression model for $\boldsymbol{y}$ as a function of $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i}=1 \mid \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=p_{i}=\frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{1+e^{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}}}
$$

This was estimated on the sample where $y_{i}$ is observed. Then we generated a pseudo-random draw $u_{i}$ from a uniform distribution on the interval $(0,1)$ and for the sample where $y_{i}$ was missing, we computed $p_{i}$ and imputed $y_{i}=1$ if $u_{i} \leq p_{i}$ and $y_{i}=0$ otherwise. For binary variables, we used (binary) logistic regression (i.e., logit) models; for ordinal variables, we used ordered logit; for count variables, we used negative binomial regression; and for unordered categorical variables, we used multinomial logit.

### 3.1. Regressors

The vector $\mathbf{x}$ consists of (1) demographics, socio-economic variables, health, and cognition variables from the LASI core survey; (2) demographics and socio-economic variables from LASIDAD; (3) health variables from LASI-DAD; and (4) cognitive measures (tests and informant reports) from LASI-DAD. With the exception of the cognition measures, if we had the same variable for both LASI-DAD and LASI core, we only included the LASI-DAD version. The regressors from categories 1-3 are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Regressors from the LASI core data and LASI-DAD (except the cognition variables from LASI-DAD)

| Core demographics | Core socio-economic | Core health | Core cognition ${ }^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Couple status | Mother's education | Self-reported health | Orientation to place |
|  | Father's education | \#Chronic conditions ${ }^{b}$ | and time |
|  | Income quintile | \#Mobility limitations | Word recall (total) |
|  | Wealth quintile | Distant vision | Executive function |
|  |  | Near vision | Language fluency |
|  | Hearing | Read and write ${ }^{c}$ |  |
|  |  | Whether illiterate |  |
|  |  |  | Drawing score |
|  |  |  | Jorm IQCODE |


| DAD demographics | DAD socio-economic | DAD health |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | Education (years) | \#Chronic conditions ${ }^{d}$ |
| Whether iw at home | Education (cat.) | \#ADLs |
| State | Caste | \#IADLs |
| Rural |  | Mental health (CESD) |
| Interview language <br> Age (categories) |  | Anxiety (BAI) |
| Jorm IQCODE is informant-reported and only available for proxy interviews; the other items are cognitive test |  |  |
| items only available for self-interviews. |  |  |
| ${ }^{b}$ Among high blood pressure, heart disease, diabetes, stroke, Alzheimer's/dementia <br> cZero if illiterate <br> ${ }^{d}$ Among stroke, Parkinson, Alzheimer's/dementia, memory problems |  |  |

The variables that we imputed are listed in Tables 4 (cognitive test items) and 5 (informant reports). For the imputation of a variable from these lists, the other variables in these lists are also included among the regressors. However, because the large number of variables (more than 200) would create numerical problems, we primarily used aggregate scores instead of individual items. This also likely filters out measurement error and guards against capitalizing on chance. The aggregate scores followed a nested structure based on the model from Gross et al. (2020) for the cognitive test items, theoretical considerations from Gross (2020) for some of the informant reports, and empirical analyses of correlations (principal components analysis).

Figure 1 illustrates the nested structure, and how items are combined into summary scores to be used as regressors, illustrated for the imputation of r1city (whether the respondent correctly names the city they are currently in). This item is part of a short battery for orientation to place. The other four items in this battery are included as regressors. The five items of the orientation to time battery are not included separately. Instead, their sum (0-5) is included as a regressor. This is an example of a level-1 sum score. There are about 30 such level-1 sum scores, which are all simple sums, with one exception, every day activities. Empirical analysis showed that these items could not be satisfactorily summarized by one simple sum score, but that three principal components would represent these items well, so we computed those. The level-1 sum scores
are further grouped into narrow domains of cognitive functioning (e.g., language fluency), and some of these are further grouped into broad domains (memory, executive function). Note that the hierarchy is not complete: sometimes, levels are skipped (absent). The narrow domain scores are sums of the level-1 scores (and sometimes single items) that are nested below them. However, because the level-1 scores have different scales, we first standardized them before aggregating them into narrow domain scores. We found that the resulting sums of standardized scores correlated very highly (typically 0.98 or higher) with the first principal component of these level-1 scores. Because this imputation procedure was very computationally demanding, we preferred using these narrow domain scores as it is much faster than computing the principal components. Analogously, the two broad domain scores were computed as sums of the related standardized narrow domain scores. The rules for including items, level-1 sum scores, narrow domain scores, and broad domain scores were as follows:

1. A broad domain score was used (and none of the scores and items nested below it) if the item to be imputed was not a component of the broad domain score. In Figure 1, this means both broad domain scores were included in the model for r1city, because r1city is not a Memory or Executive Function item.
2. A narrow domain score was used (and none of the scores and items nested below it) if (i) the narrow domain score was not a component of a broad domain score included, and (ii) the item to be imputed was not a component of the narrow domain score. For example, Language Fluency is included, because it is not a component of Memory or Executive Function and r1city is not a component of Language Fluency. But Orientation is not included, because r1city is an Orientation item.
3. A level-1 sum score was used (and none of the items nested below it) if (i) the level-1 score was not a component of a broad or narrow domain score that was already included, and (ii) the item to be imputed was not a component of the level-1 score. For example, because the Orientation narrow domain was not included and Orientation to Time does not contain r1city, Orientation to Time was included in the model for r1city.
4. A single item was used if (i) it was not a component of any higher-level score already included, and (ii) it is not the variable $y_{i}$ itself. For r1city, these are the other four Orientation to Place items, plus five items (mix-ups, recognizing words that were not part of the word recall list, and similar items) that are not part of any higher-level score.

Figure 1 illustrates these rules: the items and scores shaded blue are used as regressors in the imputation model for r1city (which is shaded yellow). Tables 4 and 5 give more details about the nesting structure.

The reason the Phase 2-3 tests (hand sequencing, token test, judgment, and problem solving) are not included is that they were not administered in Phase 1 and not imputed for Phase 1 either, so they remain systematically missing, whereas r1city was administered in all three phases. These Phase 2-3 tests are only included in the imputation models for items that were only administered in Phases 2 and 3. Analogously, the Blessed Part 1 scale (for the informant) was skipped for most observations in Phase 1 and not imputed, and therefore not included in the model for r1city.

In some cases, the items that were used as covariates were transformed versions of the raw items; for example, animal naming was censored at a maximum of 35 when used as a covariate. Also, because of (partial) mechanical dependencies, some variables were excluded from some models; for example, trials 2 and 3 of the 3 -word recall were skipped if trial 1 resulted in all three correct, so for the imputation of trial 1 , we did not include trials 2 and 3 as covariates. Note that the imputations themselves also respect such dependencies, for example, if trial 1 was imputed as 3 , trials 2 and 3 were set to .s (skipped), and analogously if a Blessed Part 1 item was imputed as 1 (no loss), the corresponding Part 1a item was set to .s. Also, if r1mo (whether individual knows the current month) was imputed as 0 , r1date (day of the month) was also set to 0 , which respects the pattern in the nonmissing data.
Table 4. Cognition items and the level-1 sum scores and narrow and broad domain scores they are part of.

| Items | \#items Description | Level-1 | Narrow | Broad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| r1date, r1dw, r1mo, r1season, r1yr | 5 Time orientation | rlorient5t | Orientation |  |
| rladdress, rlcity, rlfloor, rl name, rlstate | 5 Place orientation | r1orient5p | Orientation |  |
| rlprime | 1 Prime minister |  | Orientation |  |
| rltrial* | 3 3-Word recall (imm) | r1recall3 | Memory (imm) | Memory |
| rlword* | 3 10-Word recall (imm) | r1recall 10 | Memory (imm) | Memory |
| r1bm_s* | 10 Brave man (imm) | r1braveman | Memory (imm) | Memory |
| r1lmb_s* | 25 Robbery (imm) | r1robbery | Memory (imm) | Memory |
| r1dlrc $\overline{3}$, r1word_d | 2 Word recall (del) |  | Memory (del) | Memory |
| r1bm_rs* | 10 Brave man (del) | r1bravemanr | Memory (del) | Memory |
| r1lmb_rs* | 25 Robbery (del) | r1robberyr | Memory (del) | Memory |
| r1cpr_* | 4 Constr praxis (del) | r1conpraxdel | Memory (del) | Memory |
| r1wre_org, r1log_reco | 2 Recognition |  | Memory (recog) | Memory |
| r1go_score* | 2 Go-no-go | r1gonogo | Abstract reas. | Exec.function |
| rldr_clock3, rlrv_score | 2 Other abstract reas. |  | Abstract reas. | Exec.function |
| r1ds_back, r1ds_for | 2 Digit span | r1digitspan | Attn/speed | Exec.function |
| r1backward6, r1ser7, r1sc_anw | 3 Other attn/speed |  | Attn/speed | Exec.function |
| r1coconut, r1scis | 2 TICS items | r1tics2 | Language fluency |  |
| rlobject* | 2 Object naming | rlobject | Language fluency |  |
| rlelbow, rlhammer, rlpoint, r1store | 4 CSID | r1csid | Language fluency |  |
| rlexecu, rl repeat, rlverbal | 3 Other language fluency |  | Language fluency |  |
| r1draw2 | 1 Draw overl. rectangles |  | Visuospatial |  |
| rlcp_* | 4 Constr praxis (imm) | rlconpraximm | Visuospatial |  |
| r1ef_* | 3 Hand sequence | r1handseq | Phase 2-3 tests |  |
| r1tt_* | 7 Token test | r1token | Phase 2-3 tests |  |
| r1jp_fndkid, rljp_rupee* | 3 Problem solving | rlprsolv | Phase 2-3 tests |  |
| rljp_* (others) | 4 Similarities-differences | r1simdiff | Phase 2-3 tests |  |
| r1readfol, r1write | 2 Read-follow; write sentence | r1litt |  |  |
| r1copyfol, r1say | 2 Copy-follow; say sentence | r1illitt |  |  |
| rlog_rcmix, rlog_wron, r1sc_wr | 3 Incorrect answers |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { rlverbal inc, rlwre foil }}$ | 2 Incorrect answers |  |  |  |

Table 5. Informant items and the level-1 scores and narrow domain scores they are part of

| Items | \#items Description | Level-1 | Narrow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rliqscore* (1-7) | 7 Jorm IQCODE (memory) | rljorm_mem | Difficulties (informant) |
| rliqscore* (8-16) | 9 Jorm IQCODE (non-memory) | rljorm_nonmem | Difficulties (informant) |
| rlcsi* (2-6, 11-13) | 8 CSI (memory) | rlcsi_mem | Difficulties (informant) |
| r1csi* (1, 7-10, 14-15) | 7 CSI (non-memory) | r1csi_nonmem | Difficulties (informant) |
| r1ten* | 5 10/66 | rlten | Difficulties (informant) |
| rlbl1_* | 8 Blessed Pt. 1 | rlbll, rlbllment, rlbllphys |  |
| rlbll_*a | 8 Blessed Pt. 1 mental-physical | rlbllment, rlbl1phys |  |
| rlbl2 ${ }^{*} \mathrm{r}$ | 3 Blessed Pt. 2 | rlbl2 |  |
| r1feel* | 6 Pos.feelings (+neg.reversed) | r1feelpos |  |
| rlact* | 13 Activities | rlact pc* (1-3) |  |

Figure 1. Structure of summary scores used as covariates for imputing r1city.


### 3.2. Block-sequential and chained imputation

One or more of the regressors in $\mathbf{x}$ could themselves be missing and thus these needed to be imputed as well. Following the HRS (Fisher et al., 2017), we imputed variables in a sequence of blocks, corresponding with the classification in Tables 3, 4, and 5: (1) LASI core variables; (2) LASI-DAD demographics and socio-economic variables; (3) LASI-DAD health variables; (4) LASIDAD cognitive tests and informant reports. The imputation of the LASI core variables itself uses a similar (though generally slightly simpler) approach as the one for the LASI-DAD variables. See the documentation of the Harmonized LASI data for details. The only variable from the LASIDAD demographics and socio-economic variables that had any missings was caste, and for this, we copied the corresponding value from the LASI core data. For the health variables, we used a similar chained imputation method as for the cognition variables described below, except that we added corresponding health variables from the LASI core data as regressors (and did not have the LASI-DAD cognition variables as regressors) and that there was only one level of summary scores (as listed in Table 3) above the single items. Because very few individuals were reported to have been diagnosed with Alzheimer's or dementia and this caused numerical problems with its imputation, we imputed this one first, with only the state as covariate (diagnosis is strongly related to state, perhaps because of differences in the health and insurance institutions).

Like HRS and SHARE, we used chained imputation (also known as fully conditional specification; Raghunathan et al., 2001; Van Buuren et al., 2006) for the cognition variables (and for the health variables, as mentioned above). This cycles over the cognition variables, in which each of them is imputed in turn, with the other cognition variables and background variables as regressors, and then repeats this cycle multiple times. We used one cycle to initialize the chain and up to 10 cycles (iterations) to update the imputations, although imputations sometimes converged with fewer iterations.

With each imputed variable, the dataset also includes an imputation flag, which has the same code as the nonimputed variable if the latter was missing, and 1 if the nonimputed variable was not missing. Hence, users who do not want to use our imputations, or who wish to perform nonresponse analyses, can reconstruct the nonimputed variables from these.

### 3.3. Exceptions, special cases, and other details

Because of the differential availability of regressors, we imputed the cognition variables in four stages, with each stage consisting of a chain as described in the previous section. The first two stages were for individuals who delivered a self-interview in the core data, whereas the last two stages were for individuals for whom we only have a proxy interview in the core data. The reason for treating proxy interviews differently is that the cognitive tests were not administered for them in the core data, and these are likely key predictors when available, so we want to use them when available. Conversely, the Jorm IQCODE variable from the core data was only available for the proxy interviews. Stages 1 and 3 imputed all cognition variables for most observations, the exception being the Blessed Part 1 items in Phase 1. In Phase 1, these items
were only administered if the average of the reported Jorm IQCODE items was less than 3 . In Phases 2 and 3, the Blessed Part 1 items were administered to all informants. For the imputation, this implies that in Stages 1 and 3, the Blessed Part 1 items were imputed for Phases 2 and 3, with the other Phase 2 and 3 variables (hand sequence, token test, judgment, and problem solving) included among the regressors. In Stages 2 and 4, the Blessed Part 1 items were imputed for Phase 1, with the estimation and imputation samples only consisting of individuals with an average reported Jorm IQCODE score of less than 3.

The imputation models did not always converge, due to a high degree of collinearity among some of the regressors. Hence, we defined a sequence of increasingly parsimonious fallback options that were used to impute the variables, in case such problems occur. The most common problematic variables were language (which is strongly related to state) and education as a categorical variable (which is strongly related to education in years). So the first fallback specification dropped these two variables. A second fallback, used for four variables, dropped the state indicator in addition to the ones from the first fallback. For some of the Blessed Part 1 items (Part 1 proper and Part 1a follow up items), there were further fallbacks, up to Fallback 5, which only uses three aggregates of other Blessed Part 1 items (sum of the other Blessed Part 1 items, number of times physical problems were mentioned in the other Blessed Part 1a items, number of times mental problems were mentioned in the other Blessed Part 1a items). Because of the strong relations among the Blessed Part 1 items, these were still very predictive. Overall, Fallback 1 was very commonly used, but Fallbacks 2-5 were used for only a few variables each.

There are more implementation details that are not discussed here. We will provide these upon request. The Stata code used is included with the distributed data.

## 4. Harmonized Domain-Specific Cognition Variables

The cognitive test battery in LASI-DAD was adapted from tests in the HCAP. The HCAP battery was designed to assess Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) and dementia in the US HRS and has been successfully adapted in the US, England, Mexico, China, and South Africa (Lee et al., 2019). For LASI-DAD, some culturally and logically appropriate modifications were made to the HCAP, including identification of tests less dependent on schooling and literacy.

We organized tests into broad domains (orientation, executive functioning, language/fluency, memory, and visuospatial) and further into narrow subdomains to be consistent with the CHC theory of human cognitive abilities. The orientation domain contained 5 questions about orientation to time (e.g., name the current month, year, season), 5 questions about orientation to place (e.g., state, city), and the question to name the Prime Minister. The language/fluency domain was represented by animal naming, writing or saying a sentence, phrase repetition, naming of common objects by sight (watch, pencil), naming of common objects by description (elbow, hammer, scissors, coconut, window), following a read or acted command to close one's eyes, and completing a 3-stage task. Memory tests included immediate, delayed, and recognition recall of a 10-word list; immediate, delayed, and recognition recall of the Logical Memory test, immediate and delayed recall of the Brave Man story learning test, and a three word recall task. Additionally, delayed recall of the constructional praxis test was used to measure delayed memory. Visuospatial function was measured by constructional praxis (drawing a circle, rectangle, cube, and diamond), and interlocking pentagons. Abstract reasoning, a narrow domain of executive functioning, was represented by the Ravens progressive matrices task, clock drawing, and two trials of the Go-No-Go test. Attention/speed, a second narrow domain of executive functioning, was represented by a numeracy task, backwards day counting, symbol cancellation, and the Digit Span forwards and backwards tasks.

We first estimated a series of unidimensional factor analysis models for each narrow and broad cognitive domain. Factor scores from these models are provided in the data, scaled to have a mean of 0 and variance of 1 . Once adequate fit was obtained for each model, we combined all the domains into a hierarchical multiple domain factor analysis that included a general factor. Factor scores for the general factor are provided in the data. Model fit was evaluated based on a set of a priori cutoffs for the Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), and the Standardized Root Mean Squared Residual (SRMR) (Hu \& Bentler, 1999). We characterized model fit as perfect if the CFI=1 and RMSEA=0 and SRMR=0, good if CFI>=0.95 and RMSEA<=0.05 and SRMR<=0.05, adequate if CFI>=0.90 and RMSEA $<=0.08$ and $S R M R<=0.08$, and poor if either $\mathrm{CFI}<0.9$ or $\mathrm{RMSEA}>0.08$ or $S R M R>0.08$. We chose this combination of fit statistics because each statistic has advantages and disadvantages. While low SRMR implies low model residuals, it does not incorporate model complexity and may be partial to overly complex models. The RMSEA provides an index of model discrepancy per degree of freedom (which accounts for model complexity), however it tends to improve with larger sample size. The CFI compares an estimated model to a hypothetical null baseline model which may itself be incorrect. Together, these three statistics considered in conjunction minimize risk of choosing a bad model (Kenny, Kaniskan, \& McCoach, 2015).

See Gross et al. (2020) for further details of factor structure of cognitive tests in LASI-DAD.

## 5. Polygenic Risk Scores (PRSs)

Health outcomes and traits are often highly polygenic, reflecting the aggregate effect of many different genes so the use of single genetic variants or candidate genes may not capture the dynamic nature of more complex phenotypes. A polygenic risk score (PRS) aggregates individual loci across the genome and weights them by effect sizes derived from a genome-wide association study (GWAS) as an estimate of the strength of their association to produce a single quantitative measure of genetic risk and to increase power in genetic analysis.

PRSs were constructed for Alzheimer's Disease and general cognitive function for consenting LASI-DAD respondents who provided whole blood DNA in 2018. These scores will help harmonize research across studies among LASI-DAD data users. PRSs for each phenotype are based on a single, replicated GWAS and will be updated as sufficiently large GWAS are published for new phenotypes or as new meta-analyses for existing phenotypes emerge.

### 5.1. LASI-DAD Genomic Data

The DNA samples were genotyped at MedGenome. A total of 1008 study subjects and controls were genotyped on the Illumina Infinium Global Screening Array-24 v2.0 BeadChip, which measures ~600,000 SNPs. All versions of the array are designed to Human Genome Build 37. The total 1008 scans derived from 993 unique subjects (including 960 LASI-DAD subjects and 33 1000G control subjects). Individuals with missing call rates > 2\%, SNPs with call rates < 98\%, HWE p-value < 0.0001, chromosomal anomalies, and kinship coefficient > 0.088 in the LASI-DAD were removed. Principal component (PC) analysis (Price et al., 2006) was performed to identify population group outliers and to provide sample eigenvectors as covariates in the statistical model used for association testing to adjust for possible population stratification. SNPs used for PC analysis were selected by linkage disequilibrium (LD) pruning from an initial pool consisting of all autosomal SNPs with a missing call rate $<5 \%$ and minor allele frequency (MAF) > 5\%, and excluding any SNPs with a discordance between 1000G pedigree controls genotyped along with the study samples and those in the external 1000G (phase 3 version 5) data set. In addition, the $2 q 21$ (LCT), HLA, 8p23, and 17q21.31 regions were excluded from the initial pool. The final sample set consisted of 932 unrelated study samples after quality control. For more information on the genotype data and quality control process see the LASI-DAD genotype data QC Report.

Imputation to the 1000G Genomes Project reference panel phase 3 version 5 (initial release on May 2013, haplotypes released Oct 2014) was performed by the University of Michigan using Minimac4 (http://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Minimac4), with phasing performed using Eagle2.4. Overall, $\sim 49$ million SNPs were imputed from the original 533,348 SNPs that were genotyped and passed quality control. Masking of genotyped SNPs to assess the accuracy of imputation was performed to estimate the median concordance between actual and imputed genotypes (median concordance>0.91 for common variants), and additional quality control
metrics indicate high quality imputation. Please refer to the LASI-DAD Imputation report using the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 reference panel for more details.

### 5.2. PRS Construction

To best capture the most significant SNPs from the published GWAS meta-analysis studies, we construct PRSs for genome-wide significant SNPs only ( $P<5 \times 10^{-8}$ ), noted as a "top SNPs" PRS. In addition, for some traits, we also generated PRSs for all independent SNPs with ( $P<1 \times 10^{-4}$ ) after clumping ( $r^{2}<0.25$ within a 250 kb window) using the LD structure in South Asian ancestry from 1000 Genome Reference Panel, indicated as an "all SNPs" PRS. In either case, only SNPs with high imputation quality ( $R^{2}>0.8$ ) in LASI-DAD were included.

Weighted sums were chosen to calculate the PRSs. Weights were defined by the odds ratio or beta estimate from the GWAS meta-analysis files corresponding to the phenotype of interest. If the beta value from the GWAS meta-analysis was negative (or the odds ratio $(O R)<1$ ), the beta/OR measures were converted to positive values ( $O R>1$ ) and the reference allele flipped to represent phenotype-increasing PRSs. PRSs are calculated using the following formula:

$$
\mathrm{PRS}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ij}} / 2 \mathrm{~J}
$$

where i is individual $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{i}=1$ to N$), \mathrm{j}$ is $\mathrm{SNP} \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{j}=1$ to J$), \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the meta-analysis effect size for SNP j , $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is the genotype, or the number of reference alleles (zero, one, or two), for individual i at SNP j , and $J$ is the total number of SNPs. The "all SNPs" PRSs were constructed using PRScie-2 (Choi \& O'Reilly, 2019) and the "top SNPs" PRSs" were constructed in PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007).

### 5.2.1. Sources for SNP weights

To incorporate externally valid SNP weights from replicated GWAS, we performed a search of the most recent literature to identify large GWAS meta-analysis studies related to the selected phenotype. SNP weights were downloaded from consortium webpages, requested from consortium authors, or obtained from published supplemental material. All base SNP files from GWAS meta-analyses were converted to NCBI build 37 annotation for compatibility with LASIDAD SNP data.

### 5.2.2. Notes about the use of PRSs

PRSs are released for current LASI-DAD samples ( $\mathrm{N}=932$ ). However, it should be noted that the majority of GWAS used to inform the SNP weights come from GWAS on European ancestry groups and, as a result, PRSs for LASI-DAD samples from South Asian ancestry may not have the same predictive capacity (Martin et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2020).

Standardized versions of ancestry specific PCs 1-10 are included in the LASI-DAD PRS data release. To protect identifiable information, PCs 1-5 and PCs 6-10 were scrambled. To control for confounding from population stratification, or to account for any ancestry differences in genetic structures within populations that could bias estimates, we highly recommend that users perform analyses adjusted for PCs 1-10. The PCs control for any genetic aspects of
common ancestry that could be spuriously correlated with the PRS and the outcome of interest (Price et al., 2006).

### 5.3. PRSs for Alzheimer's disease (AD)

The three "top SNP" PRSs for Alzheimer's disease (AD) were created using results from three large-scale GWAS meta-analyses: 1) a 2013 GWAS conducted by the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) (Lambert et al., 2013); 2) a 2019 GWAS meta-analysis using samples from the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) (Kunkle et al., 2019); 3) a 2019 GWAS meta-analysis using cohorts from the Alzheimer's disease working group of Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC-ALZ), the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP), the Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP), and UKBiobank (Jansen et al., 2019).

Please note that all three GWAS are conducted using individuals of European ancestry. See Section 5.2.2.: "Notes about the use of PRSs" for more information on the use of PRSs in other ancestry groups.

Three PRSs were constructed using all the identified genome-wide significant AD risk SNPs from each AD GWAS separately. Note that there is overlap in some of the SNPs that comprise these three scores. Since key SNPs in the APOE gene have a strong association with AD, we excluded variants in the APOE region from the three PRSs, but also released rs7412 and rs429358 (the two SNPs that define the APOE $\varepsilon 2, \varepsilon 3$, and $\varepsilon 4$ alleles) as independent units. The effect size of each SNP was calculated as the $\ln (O R)$ reported in the corresponding GWAS. The predictive performance of the three "top SNPs" PRSs on memory scores in LASI-DAD have been reported in Smith et al. (2020).

1) A GWAS meta-analysis (Lambert et al., 2013) of AD was conducted across 20 independent studies using data from four international consortia: Alzheimer's Disease Genetic Consortium (ADGC), the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) Consortium, the European Alzheimer's Disease Initiative (EADI), and the Genetic and Environmental Risk in Alzheimer's Disease (GERAD) Consortium. The stage 1 meta-analysis included 54,162 participants ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cases }}=17,008$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {controls }}$ $=37,154$ ) of European decent with a total of $7,055,881$ SNPs imputed to 1000 Genomes (2010 release). The stage 2 replication sample included 19,884 participants of European ancestry ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cases }}=8,572$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {controls }}=11,312$ ) with a total of 11,632 genotyped SNPs. In addition to the APOE locus (encoding apolipoprotein E), the two-stage combined discovery and replication GWAS identified 19 SNPs with genome-wide significant associations with AD. Please refer to Table S1 in Smith et al. (2020) for the list of 19 SNPs. Adjustment covariates within each contributing cohort included age, sex, and genetic principal components.

The released PRSs in LASI-DAD contains all 19 SNPs. The descriptive statistics and the distribution of the PRS are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. The posted PRS have been standardized to a standard normal curve (mean=0, standard deviation=1).
2) Another GWAS meta-analysis (Kunkle et al., 2019) was conducted by the same group in (1) by using a larger Stage 1 discovery sample of 63,926 participants from 46 datasets ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cases }}=21,982, \mathrm{~N}_{\text {controls }}=41,944$ ) of non-Hispanic Whites (NHW) with a total of 36,648,992 SNPs imputed to 1000 Genomes (phase 1 integrated release 3, March 2012). After quality control, $9,456,058$ common variants and $2,024,574$ rare variants were selected for analysis. Stage 1 meta-analysis was first followed by Stage 2, using the Iselect chip previously developed in Lambert et al. (2013) and finally Stage 3A ( $n=$ 11,666 ) or Stage 3B ( $n=30,511$ ) (for variants in regions not well captured in the I-select chip). The final sample was 35,274 clinical and autopsy-documented Alzheimer's disease cases and 59,163 controls. Meta-analysis of Stages 1 and 2 produced 24 genome-widesignificant associations with AD. Please refer to Table S1 in Smith et al. (2020) for the list of 24 SNPs.

The released PRS in LASI-DAD contains 20 SNPs that overlap between the LASI-DAD genetic data and the genome-wide significant SNPs from the GWAS meta-analysis. The descriptive statistics and the distribution of the PRS are presented in Table 6 and Figure 2. The posted PRS have been standardized to a standard normal curve (mean=0, standard deviation=1).
3) A large genome-wide association study of clinically diagnosed AD and AD-by-proxy was performed using a total sample of 455,258 participants ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cases }}=71,880, \mathrm{~N}_{\text {controls }}$ $=383,378$ ) (Jansen et al., 2019). Phase 1 involved a genome-wide meta-analysis for clinically diagnosed AD case-control status using cohorts collected by 3 independent consortia (Alzheimer's disease working group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC-ALZ), the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP), and the Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP)), totaling 79,145 of European ancestry and $9,862,738$ genetic variants passing quality control. In phase 2 they performed a GWAS of AD-by-proxy using 376,113 individuals of European ancestry from UKB. They defined proxy cases as individuals with one or two parents with AD (giving higher weight to cases with two parents). The proxy controls include individuals whose parents had no AD (giving higher weights to individuals with older parents as younger parents may still have a chance to develop AD). Given the high genetic overlap, in phase 3 they conducted a meta-analysis of the clinical AD GWASs and the AD-by-proxy GWAS. The meta-analysis in phase 3 identified 28 genome-wide significant loci associated with AD. Please refer to Table S1 in Smith et al. (2020) for the list of 28 SNPs.

The released PRSs in LASI-DAD contain 19 SNPs that overlap between the LASI-DAD genetic data and the genome-wide significant SNPs from the GWAS meta-analysis. The descriptive statistics and the distribution of the PRS are presented in Table 6 and Figure 1. The posted PRS have been standardized to a standard normal curve (mean=0, standard deviation=1).

Table 6. Descriptive statistics of polygenic risk scores (PRSs) for Alzheimer's disease

|  | Unstandardized PRS (original scale) |  |  |  | Standardized PRS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study | Min | Max | Median | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Median | Mean | SD |
| Lambert <br> et al. <br> 2013 | 0.0375 | 0.0889 | 0.0659 | 0.0654 | 0.0082 | -3.4038 | 2.8821 | 0.0593 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Kunkle <br> et al. <br> 2019 | 0.0297 | 0.0798 | 0.0523 | 0.0522 | 0.0075 | -3.5223 | 2.7530 | 0.0170 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Jansen <br> et al. <br> 2019 | 0.0046 | 0.0119 | 0.0087 | 0.0087 | 0.0012 | -2.9886 | 3.6543 | 0.0065 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |

The PRSs were constructed using the genome-wide significant SNPs reported from three independent genomewide association studies (GWAS) of Alzheimer's disease (AD).

Figure 2. Histogram of the "top SNPs" polygenic risk scores (PRS) constructed using the genome-wide significant SNPs reported from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of Alzheimer's disease (AD): (A) Lambert et al., 2013; (B) Kunkle et al., 2019; (C) Jansen et al., 2019.
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### 5.4. PRSs for General Cognitive Function

The PRSs for general cognition were created using results from a 2018 GWAS (Davies et al., 2018) conducted using genetic data from the CHARGE and COGENT consortia, and UK Biobank (total $N=300,486$; ages 16-102). A total of 300,486 participants undertook multiple, diverse cognitive tests from which a general cognitive function phenotype was created within each cohort by principal component analysis. In some instances, a single test that captures multiple cognitive functions was used as a proxy for general cognitive ability (e.g. the Moray House Test of Verbal and Numerical Reasoning). A total of 178 genome-wide significant independent lead SNPs from 148 loci were identified for association with general cognitive function. Adjustments for age, sex and population stratification were include in study-specific GWAS association analyses. Cohort-specific covariates such as site or familial relationships were also included as required.

The summary results for all variants with z-score statistics were downloaded from the website "https://www.ccace.ed.ac.uk/node/335". The formula below was used to further obtain the beta estimates for all the variants. Here, " $p$ " was the minor allele frequency (MAF) of the European samples from the 1000 G reference panel (phase 3 version 5).

$$
\text { Beta }=\frac{z}{\sqrt{2 p(1-p)\left(n+z^{2}\right)}}
$$

We constructed two versions of the PRSs for general cognitive function: "top SNPs" and "all SNPs" PRSs. The "top SNPs" PRS included 130 lead SNPs out of the 178 reported lead SNPs from the 148 loci that overlap between the LASI-DAD genetic data and the GWAS meta-analysis. The "all SNPs" PRS included all independent lead SNPs with ( $p<1 \times 10^{-4}$ ). Clumping was used to obtain SNPs in linkage disequilibrium with $r^{2}<0.25$ within a 250 kb window. The LD was hard to obtain in the MHC region on chromosome $6(26-33 \mathrm{MB})$ due to long-range LD structure, thus
this region was omitted from "all SNPs" PRS. The final "all SNPs" PRS contains 1,938 SNPs that overlap between the LASI-DAD genetic data and the GWAS meta-analysis. The descriptive statistics and the histogram of the PRSs are presented in Table 7 and Figure 3. The posted PRSs have been standardized within the study sample (mean $=0$, standard deviation $=1$ ).

Please note the GWAS was conducted using individuals of European ancestry. See Section 5.2.2.: "Notes about the use of PRSs" for more information on the use of PRSs in other ancestry groups.

Table 7. Descriptive statistics of polygenic risk scores (PRSs) for general cognitive function

|  | Unstandardized PRS (original scale) |  |  |  | Standardized PRS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Min | Max | Median | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Median | Mean | SD |
| "top <br> SNPs" <br> PRS $^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0081 | 0.0109 | 0.0093 | 0.0093 | 0.0005 | -3.4305 | 3.6653 | -0.0172 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| "all <br> SNPs" <br> PRS | 0.0092 | 0.0100 | 0.0096 | 0.0096 | 0.0001 | -2.5715 | 3.4787 | -0.0251 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |

a. The "top SNPs" PRS was constructed using the genome-wide significant SNPs reported from the genomewide association study (GWAS) of general cognitive function (Davies et al., 2018).
b. The "all SNPs" PRS was constructed using independent SNPs ( $\mathrm{p}<10 \mathrm{E}-04$ ) reported from the genome-wide association study (GWAS) of general cognitive function (Davies et al., 2018). Independent SNPs were selected using a clumping approach ( $r^{2}<0.25$, window size 250 kb ) with LD estimated in South Asian ancestry from 1000 Genomes Reference Panel.

Figure 3. Histogram of the polygenic risk scores (PRS) constructed using (A) genome-wide significant SNPs or (B) independent SNPs at p<10E-4 reported from the genome-wide association study (GWAS) of general cognitive function (Davies et al., 2018).
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## 6. Structure of Codebook

The Data Codebook contains the codebook documenting all variables in the Harmonized LASIDAD Data. This section explains how to interpret the codebook entries. The figure below shows a typical codebook page; the numbers in circles correspond to comments below.


## Descriptive Statistics

| R1BPCOMPL | 4041 | 1.01 | 0.14 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(6) $\rightarrow$ Categorical Variable Code

| Value----------------------------------- | R1BPCOMPL |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| .d:DK | 2 |  |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |  |
| .m:Missing | 27 |  |
| .S:Skipped | 14 |  |
| 1.Fully compliant | 400 |  |
| 2. Prevented from being fully compliant | 20 |  |
| 3.Not fully compliant |  | 16 |

## 7) How Constructed

RwBPCOMPL indicates how compliant the respondent was for the blood pressure tests. RwBPCOMPL is coded as follows: 1.Fully compliant, 2.Prevented from fully complying due to illness, pain, or other symptoms or discomfort, and 3.Not fully compliant. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent did not understand the directions, was unwilling to participate in the blood pressure measurement, or had a rash, a cast, edema, open sores or wounds, or a significant bruise where the blood pressure cuff would be placed. Don't know and other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d) and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.
8 Cross-Wave Differences in DAD
No differences known.
$9 \rightarrow$ Differences with LASI
No differences known.
$10 \longrightarrow$ DAD Variables Used
GA122 HOW COMPLIANT DURING TEST

1 Title: The variables are documented in groups according to the concept that
they measure. For example, the variables related to compliance during the blood pressure test corresponds to one wave and to the respondent. The title is often followed by a short description of the concept that is captured.
(2) Variable Names: This entry shows the waves of variables in the group. Not all waves are present for all variables.
(3) Variable Labels: This entry shows the Stata variable labels. As discussed above, the labels typically include the name of the variable, the file on which it is present, and a description of its contents.
(4) Variable Type: This entry indicates the type of variable. It may be continuous (Cont), categorical (Categ), or character (Char).

5 Descriptive Statistics: This entry shows descriptive statistics on each variable. They include the number of nonmissing values, the mean, standard deviation, minimum value, and maximum value.

Categorical Value Codes: This entry shows the value label codes. These are only relevant for categorical variables. The first character(s) of the value labels indicate the value to which each label has been assigned. For example, value " 1 " is mapped into " 1 . fully compliant" (not just "fully compliant"). The entry also indicates which labels are assigned to which variables, and shows frequency tabulations for all categorical variables.

7 How Constructed: This entry provides background on the manner in which variables were constructed.

8 Cross-Wave Differences in DAD: This entry briefly describes differences in question wording or contents between interview waves.

9 Differences with LASI: This entry describes any differences between the LASI version of the variable and the LASI-DAD version of the variable. It is imperative these differences are understood when using harmonized measures. DAD variables that were used to construct the new variables.

## 7. Distribution and Technical Notes

The Harmonized LASI-DAD Data file is distributed on the Gateway to Global Aging Data (https://g2aging.org/) website along with the original LASI-DAD data. The Harmonized LASI-DAD Data file is made available free of charge but only to users who register with the Gateway to Global Aging Data and agree to the standard conditions. For more information on obtaining access to the LASI-DAD data visit: https://g2aging.org/.

The Harmonized LASI-DAD Data file is distributed in Stata, SAS, SPSS, and tab delimited dataset formats.

This is Release Version $\boldsymbol{A}$ of the Harmonized LASI-DAD Data.

A copy of the Harmonized LASI-DAD dataset and a copy of this Harmonized LASI-DAD Codebook can be obtained on the Gateway to Global Aging Data (https://g2aging.org/) under the Download tab.

## 8. Data Codebook

## Section A: Demographics and Identifiers

## Phase I, II, and III

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1PHASE | r1phase: DAD phase |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1PHASE | 4096 | 1.82 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 3.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value------------------------------------ | R1PHASE |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1.Phase 1 | 1592 |
| 2. Phase 2 | 1652 |
| 3. Phase 3 | 852 |

## How Constructed

```
RwPHASE indicates whether the respondent is in phase I, phase II, or phase III of that wave's data collection. This variable is relevant since there were some questions added or dropped between the waves.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## Interview Status

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1IWSTAT_D | rliwstat_d:w1 Interview status |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1IWSTAT_D | 4096 | 1.01 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 2.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1IWSTAT_D |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Both cog and inf | 4047 |
| 2.Cognitive tests only | 49 |

## How Constructed

RwIWSTAT_D indicates the interview status for the types of tests conducted in the current wave of data collection. 1 indicates that both the cognitive tests and informant report were completed. 2 indicates that only the cognitive tests were completed (the respondent does not have an informant interview).

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

RwIWSTAT in the Harmonized LASI indicates the response status of the respondent at each wave (whether the respondent participated in the current wave). In the DAD, RwINSTAT_D indicates the interview status for each type of test: whether only the cognitive tests were completed, only the informant reports were completed, or both the cognitive tests and informant reports were completed.

## Interview Date: Year and Month

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1IWY_D | rliwy_d:w1 r year of DAD interview |
| 1 | R1IWM_D | rliwm_d:w1 r month of DAD interview |
| 1 | R1LASIDY | rllasidy:w1 r \# days between LASI and DAD interview |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1IWY_D | 4096 | 2018.50 | 0.78 | 2017.00 | 2020.00 |
| R1IWM_D | 4096 | 5.48 | 4.18 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| R1LASIDY | 4096 | 326.37 | 274.86 | 16.00 | 1084.00 |

## How Constructed

```
RWIWY_D and RWIWM_D indicate the respondent's DAD interview year and month, respectively. RwIWY_D and
RwIWM_D are assigned plain missing (.) if the respondent did not participate in the current wave.
RwLASIDY indicates the number of days between the DAD interview and the LASI interview. RwLASIDY is
assigned plain missing (.) if the respondent did not participate in the current wave.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

## Wave 1 Cog:

BEGINTIME TIMESTAMP START

## Birth Date: Year and Month

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | RABYEAR | rabyear: r birth year |
| 1 | RABMONTH | rabmonth $\boldsymbol{r}$ rirth month |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RABYEAR | 4096 | 1948.47 | 7.61 | 1913.00 | 1959.00 |
| RABMONTH | 3569 | 4.58 | 3.30 | 1.00 | 12.00 |

## How Constructed

```
RABYEAR and RABMONTH are taken from Harmonized LASI.
RABYEAR is the respondent's reported birth year. RABMONTH is the respondent's reported birth month.
RABYEAR and RABMONTH are derived through the face-to-face computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI),
and if missing, RABYEAR is calculated by subtracting the respondent's age from their interview year.
Don't know, refused, and other missing responses to RABYEAR and RABMONTH are assigned special missing .d,
.r, and .m, respectively. RABYEAR and RABMONTH are set to plain missing (.) if the respondent did not
respond to any wave.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## Age at Interview

| Wave Variable | Label |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 R1AGEY | rlagey:w1 rage (years) at LASI-DAD ivw |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1AGEY | 4096 | 69.72 | 7.60 | 60.00 | 105.00 |

## How Constructed

RwAGEY is the respondent's age in years at the time of the LASI-DAD interview. RwAGEY is derived from the LASI-DAD interview month and year and the respondent's birth month and year.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## Gender

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Type |  |
| 1 | RAGENDER | ragender: r Gender |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RAGENDER | 4096 | 1.54 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 2.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value-------------------------------------- | RAGENDER |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1.Male | 1889 |
| 2.Female | 2207 |

## How Constructed

RAGENDER indicates the respondent's gender. RAGENDER is coded as follows: 1.Male and $2 . F e m a l e$.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

```
No differences known.
```

DAD Variables Used

## Education: Categorical Summary

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | RAEDUC_L | raeduc_l: r highest level of education | Cype $\quad$ Categ

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RAEDUC_L | 4096 | 1.49 | 1.98 | 0.00 | 9.00 |
| RAEDUCL | 4096 | 1.29 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| RAEDYRS | 4096 | 3.84 | 4.67 | 0.00 | 21.00 |
| R1ILLITERATE | 4096 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Valu | RAEDUC L |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0:never attended school | $20 \overline{0} 9$ |
| 1.less than primary school(standard 1-4)\| | 549 |
| 2.primary school (standard 5-7) | 527 |
| 3.middle school (standard 8-9) | 314 |
| 4.secondary school (standard 10-11) | 381 |
| 5.higher secondary (standard 12) | 124 |
| 6.diploma and certificate | 27 |
| 7.graduate degree (ba,bs) | 102 |
| 8.post-graduate degree(ma,ms,phd) | 40 |
| 9.professional course/degree(mbbs,md,mba\| | 23 |
| Value- | RAEDUCL |
| 1.less than lower secondary | 3085 |
| 2.upper secondary \& vocational training | 846 |
| 3.tertiary | 165 |
| Value------------------------------------ ${ }^{\text {R1ILILITERATE }}$ |  |
|  | 1777 |
| 1.cannot read or write | 2319 |

## How Constructed

RAEDUC_L, RAEDUCL and RAEDYRS are taken from Harmonized LASI.
RAEDUC_L identifies the highest level of education that the respondent has attained. RAEDUC_L is defined using the following codes: 0.Never attended school, 1.Less than primary school (Standard 1-4), 2. Primary school completed (Standard 5-7), 3.Middle school completed (Standard 8-9), 4.Secondary school/matriculation completed, 5.Higher secondary/Intermediate/Senior secondary school completed, 6. Diploma and certificate holders, 7.Graduate degree (B.A., B.Sc., B.Com.) completed, 8.Post-graduate degree (M.A., M.Sc., M.Com.) or above (M.Phil, Ph.D., Post-Doc) completed, 9.Professional course/degree (B.Ed, BE, B.Tech, MBBS, BHMS, BAMS, B. Pharm, BCS, BCA, BBA, LLB, BVSc., B.Arch, M.Ed, ME, M.Tech, MD, M. Pharm, MCS, MCA, MBA, LLM, MVSc., M.Arch, MS, CA, CS, CWA) completed. Don't know, refused, and other missing responses are coded as special missing .d, .r, and .m, respectively. RAEDUC_L is set to plain missing (.) if the respondent did not participate in any wave.

RAEDUCL identifies the level of education completed according to a three-tier harmonized scale which we developed to compare education levels across countries. This harmonized education scale is a simplified version of the 1997 International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED-97) codes. For more information on ISCED codes, see www.uis.unesco.org and the OECD document entitled "Classifying Educational Programmes: Manual for ISCED-97 Implementation in OECD Countries, 1999 Edition". RAEDUCL is coded as follows: 1.Less than lower secondary education, 2. Upper secondary \& vocational training, and 3. Tertiary education. Respondents are assigned a code of 1 if the respondent completed no education, or reported their highest education level as "Less than primary school" or "Primary school completed". Respondents are assigned a code of 2 if the respondent reported their highest education level as "Middle school completed", "Secondary school/matriculation completed", "Higher secondary/Intermediate/Senior secondary completed" or "Diploma and certificate holders". Respondents are assigned a code of 3 if the respondent reported their highest education level as "Graduate degree completed", "Post-graduate degree or above completed", or "Professional course/degree completed". Don't know, refused, and other missing responses are coded as special missing .d, .r, and .m, respectively. RAEDUCL is set to plain missing (.) if the respondent did not participate in any wave.

RAEDYRS indicates the number of years of education that the respondent completed. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses of RAEDYRS are assigned special missing codes .d, .r, .m respectively. RAEDYRS is set to plain missing (.) for respondents who did not respond to any wave.

RwILLITERATE indicates whether the respondent is illiterate. A 1 is assigned if the respondent reported that s/he can not read and writhe from question mmse117. A 0 is assigned if the respondent reported that s/he can read and write.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## Live in Urban or Rural Area

| Wave Variable | Label |
| :---: | :--- | Type

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H1RURAL | 4096 | 0.62 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value----------------------------------- | H1RURAL |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. urban community | 1561 |
| 1.rural village | 2535 |

## How Constructed

```
HwRURAL is taken from Harmonized LASI.
HwRURAL indicates the respondent's living region. This variable is based on the information recorded in
census data. A code of 0 indicates that the respondent is located in an urban region, and a code of 1
indicates that the respondent is located in a rural region. Don't know, refused, or other missing
responses to HwRURAL are assigned special missing codes.d, .r, and .m, respectively. HwRURAL is set to
plain missing (.) for respondents who did not respond to the current wave.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

## Interview Language

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1LANG_D | rllang_d:w1 r language of interview |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1LANG_D | 4096 | 7.87 | 6.23 | 1.00 | 19.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1LANG D |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.English | 10 |
| 2.Hindi | 1393 |
| 3. Kannada | 245 |
| 5. Malayalam | 349 |
| 6.Gujarati | 288 |
| 7.Tamil | 301 |
| 8.Punjabi | 159 |
| 11. Urdu | 152 |
| 15.Bengali | 309 |
| 16.Assamese | 199 |
| 17.Odiya | 252 |
| 18.Marathi | 250 |
| 19.Telugu | 189 |

## How Constructed

RwLANG_D indicates the language that the respondent used for the interview. RwLANG_D is coded as follows: 1.English, 2.Hindi, 3.Kannada, 4.Konkani, 5.Malayalam, 6.Gujarati, 7.Tamil, 8. Punjabi, 9.Manipuri, 10.Mizo, 11.Urdu, 12.Nepali, 13.Garo, 14.khasi, 15.Bengali, 16.Assamese, 17.Odiya, 18.Marathi, 19.Telugu. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses of RwLANG_D are set to .d, .r and .m, respectively. RwLANG_D is set to plain missing (.) if the respondent did not participate in the current wave.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

LANGUAGE_IW

## Cognitive Impairment Risk

| Wave Variable | Label |
| :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Type

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1RISK | 4096 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value------------------------------------- | R1RISK |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. No | 2115 |
| 1. Yes | 1981 |

## How Constructed

```
RwRISK is created using LASI main data.
RwRISK indicates whether the respondent was considered at high risk for cognitive impairment based on the
core LASI interview.
A O is coded if the respondent is low risk (mid tertile) or very low risk (top tertile) based on the
total cognition score without number series, in the upper 85% on word recall, in the upper 85% for the
cognition score without number series and without word recall, in the bottom 85% for the missing number
of cognition tests, or if the respondent's Jorm IQ code is less than 3.9.
A 1 is coded is the respondent is high risk (bottom tertile) based on the total cognition score without
number series, in the bottom 15% on word recall, in the bottom 15% for the cognition score without number
series and without word recall, in the upper 15% for the missing number of cognition tests, or if the
respondent's Jorm IQ code is 3.9 or higher.
Note: The cognition score used in determining risk is calculated as follows: rowtotal(rldy rlmo rlyr rldw
rlplace rlcity rlstreet rldist rlimrc rldlrc r1verbf rlobject1 rlobject2 r1bwc20 r1bwc100 r1ser7 rlcompu1
rlcompu2 r1task r1write rlaction r1draw1 r1draw2).
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

## Location

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 R1LOCATION | rllocation:w1 r location of interview | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1LOCATION | 4096 | 1.92 | 0.27 | 1.00 | 2.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value------------------------------------- | R1LOCATION |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1.Hospital | 323 |
| 2. Home visit | 3773 |

## How Constructed

RwLOCATION indicates whether the interview was conducted at a hospital or at the home of the respondent. 1 indicates that the interview was conducted at a hospital. 2 indicates that the interview was a home visit. Special missing .r is assigned if the respondent refused to be interviewed.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

|  | Weights |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wave Variable | Label |
| 1 R1WTRESP | r1wtresp:w1 r post-stratification weight |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1WTRESP | 4096 | 1.00 | 0.72 | 0.07 | 2.77 |

## How Constructed

RwWTRESP is the person-level cross-sectional weight. The weight is provided to make the data a nationally representative sample.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

[^3]
## Interviewer Observation

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1OBSNOISE | rlobsnoise:w1 Interviewer observation - noise in $R$ home |
| 1 | R1OBSODOR | rlobsodor:w1 Interviewer observation - odor in R home |
| 1 | R1OBSAIR | rlobsair:w1 Interviewer observation - air pollution in $R$ hom Categ |
| 1 | R1OBSHOUSE | rlobshouse:w1 Interviewer observation - upkeep house in $R$ ho Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1OBSNOISE | 800 | 2.18 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1OBSODOR | 800 | 2.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1OBSAIR | 800 | 1.73 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1OBSHOUSE | 800 | 2.47 | 1.13 | 1.00 | 5.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1OBSNOISE |
| :---: | :---: |
| .m:Missing | 16 |
| .r:Refuse | 38 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 3242 |
| 1. Quiet | 223 |
| 2.2 | 314 |
| 3.3 | 184 |
| 4.4 | 53 |
| 5.Noisy | 26 |
| Value- | R1OBSODOR |
| .m:Missing | 16 |
| .r:Refuse | 38 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 3242 |
| 1. No smell | 286 |
| 2.2 | 297 |
| 3.3 | 172 |
| 4.4 | 23 |
| 5.Strong smell | 22 |
| Value- | R1OBSAIR |
| .m:Missing | 16 |
| .r:Refuse | 38 |
| . $x$ Not in phase/wave | 3242 |
| 1.No air pollution | 395 |
| 2.2 | 254 |
| 3.3 | 126 |
| 4.4 | 23 |
| 5.Strong air pollution | 2 |
| Value- | R1OBSHOUSE |
| .m:Missing | 16 |
| .r:Refuse | 38 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 3242 |
| 1.Very well kept | 191 |
| 2.2 | 225 |
| 3.3 | 239 |
| 4.4 | 108 |

## How Constructed

Variables in this section refer to the interviewer's observations about various issues within the respondent's inside home environment. These questions were asked starting in phase 3 of data collection.

RwOBSNOISE indicates the interviewer's ranking of the noise level in the respondent's inside home environment, and ranges from 1 to 5. A 1 indicates that the noise level is quiet and a 5 indicates that the noise level is noisy.

RwOBSODOR indicates the interviewer's ranking of the odor in the respondent's inside home environment, and ranges from 1 to 5. A 1 indicates that there is no smell inside the respondent's home environment and a 5 indicates that there is a strong smell inside the home.

RwOBSAIR indicates the interviewer's ranking of the air pollution in the respondent's inside home environment, and ranges from 1 to 5. A 1 indicates that there is no air pollution and a 5 indicates that there is strong air pollution in the respondent's inside home environment.

RwOBSHOUSE indicates the interviewer's ranking of how well kept the respondent's inside home environment is in, and ranges from 1 to 5. A 1 indicates that the respondent's inside home environment is very well kept and a 5 indicates that the inside home environment is very poorly kept and needs major repairs.

Refused or missing responses are coded as special missing (.r) or (.m), respectively. Responses coded as special missing (.x) indicate that the respondents from phase 1 and phase 2 of data collection were not asked these questions.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

These questions are not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

iwer observation noise
iwer observation odor
iwer observation odor
iwer observation upkeep house

## Section B: Cognition

## Date Naming

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1MO | r1mo:w1 R cognition date naming-month(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FMO | rlfmo:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1YR | rlyr:w1 R cognition date naming-year(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FYR | rlfyr:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1DW | r1dw:w1 R cognition date naming-day of week(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FDW | rlfdw:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1SEASON | rlseason:w1 R cognition date naming-season(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FSEASON | rlfseason:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1DATE | rldate:w1 R cognition date naming-date(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FDATE | rlfdate:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ORIENT_T5 | rlorient_t5:w1 R orientation to time (0-5) | Categ |
| 1 | R1ORIENT_T4 | rlorient_t4:w1 R orientation to time (0-4)- comparable w LASI | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1mo | 4096 | 0.81 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FMO | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1YR | 4096 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FYR | 4096 | 0.57 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1DW | 4096 | 0.81 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FDW | 4096 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1SEASON | 4096 | 0.83 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FSEASON | 4096 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1DATE | 4096 | 0.61 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FDATE | 4096 | 0.34 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1ORIENT_T5 | 4096 | 3.51 | 1.46 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1ORIENT_T4 | 4096 | 2.67 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value------------------------------------- \| | R1MO |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 0.Incorrect | 784 |


| 1.Correct | \| | 3312 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value |  | R1FMO |
| 0. Not imputed |  | 3628 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 325 |
| 2.Missing | , | 6 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 110 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 27 |
| Value |  | R1YR |
| 0 . Incorrect |  | 2275 |
| 1. Correct | \| | 1821 |
| Value |  | R1FYR |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 2750 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 867 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 6 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 440 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 33 |
| Value |  | R1DW |
| 0. Incorrect |  | 777 |
| 1. Correct | \| | 3319 |
| Value- |  | R1FDW |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 3779 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 150 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 6 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 135 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 26 |
| Value |  | R1SEASON |
| 0. Incorrect | I | 682 |
| 1.Correct | \| | 3414 |
| Value |  | R1FSEASON |
| 0. Not imputed | I | 3767 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 235 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 6 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 68 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 20 |
| Value |  | R1DATE |
| 0. Incorrect | \| | 1598 |
| 1. Correct | \| | 2498 |
| Value |  | R1FDATE |
| 0. Not imputed | I | 3247 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 589 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 6 |
| 3.Not Assessed | \| | 226 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 28 |
| Value |  | ORIENT_T5 |
| 0 | \| | 148 |
| 1 | \| | 332 |
| 2 | \| | 552 |
| 3 | \| | 736 |
| 4 | \| | 920 |
| 5 | \| | 1408 |
| Value |  | ORIENT_T4 |
| 0 | \| | 323 |
| 1 | \| | 544 |
| 2 | \| | 769 |
| 3 | । | 972 |
| 4 | \| | 1488 |

## How Constructed

The following variables indicate whether the respondent was able to report today's date correctly.
RwMO indicates whether a respondent was able to report the month correctly. RwYR indicates whether a respondent was able to report the year correctly. RwDW indicates whether a respondent was able to report the day of the week correctly. RwSEASON indicates whether a respondent was able to report the season of the year correctly. RwDATE indicates whether a respondent was able to report the date correctly.

RwMO, RwYR, RwDW, RwSEASON, and RwDATE are coded as 1 if the respondent correctly reports the value. RwMO, RwYR, RwDW, RwSEASON, and RwDATE are coded as 0 if the respondent incorrectly reports the value. Don't know responses are coded as special missing (.d). Refused responses are coded as special missing codes (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not assessed" is assigned when the test was not administered due to a respondent's physical disability or technical issues.

RwORIENT T5 is the summary measure for RwYR, RwSEASON, RwDATE, RwDW, and RwMO ranging from 0 to 5. 5 indicates all correct answers. If RwYR, RwSEASON, RwDATE, RwDW, and RwMO are assigned special missing (.d), (.n), (.r), or (.m), RwORIENT_T5 is assigned special missing (.d), (.n), (.r), or (.m), respectively.

RwORIENT T4 is the summary measure for RwYR, RwDATE, RwDW, and RwMO ranging from 0 to 4.4 indicates all correct answers. This measure is comparable with the measures from the main LASI study. If RwYR, RwDATE, RwDW, and RwMO are assigned special missing (.d), (.n), (.r), or (.m), RwORIENT_T4 is assigned special missing (.d), (.n), (.r), or (.m), respectively.

RwFMO, RwFYR, RwFDW, RwFSEASON, and RwFDATE are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable was assigned an imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, $1 . D o n ' t$ know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In the Harmonized LASI, only 4 questions were asked: day of month, month, year, and day of week (RwDW, RwMO, RwYR, and RwDW). In DAD, there are 5 questions: day of month, month, year, date, and season (RwDW, RwMO, RwYR, RwDATE, and RwSEASON).

## DAD Variables Used

```
Wave 1 Cog:
```

    MMSE102_YEAR CORRECT YEAR
    MMSE103_SEASON CURRENT SEASON OF THE YEAR--CORRECT
    MMSE104_DATE DATE CORRECT
    MMSE105_DAY CURRENT DAY OF THE WEEK--CORRECT
    MMSE106_MONTH CURRENT MONTH--CORRECT
    
## Location Naming

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1STATE | r1state:w1 R cognition place naming-state(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FSTATE | rlfstate:impflag wl r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CITY | rlcity:w1 R cognition place naming-city(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCITY | rlfcity:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLOOR | rlfloor:w1 R cognition place naming-floor(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FFLOOR | rlffloor:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1NAME | rlname:w1 R cognition place naming-name of place/hospital(0- | Categ |
| 1 | R1FNAME | rlfname:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ADDRESS | rladdress:w1 R cognition place naming-address(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FADDRESS | rlfaddress:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ORIENT_P5 | rlorient_p5:w1 R orientation to place(0-5) | Categ |
| 1 | R1ORIENT_P4 | rlorient_p4:w1 R orientation to place(0-4)-comparable w LASI | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1STATE | 4096 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FSTATE | 4096 | 0.34 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1CITY | 4096 | 0.94 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FCITY | 4096 | 0.08 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FLOOR | 4096 | 0.90 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FFLOOR | 4096 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1NAME | 4096 | 0.77 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FNAME | 4096 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1ADDRESS | 4096 | 0.86 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FADDRESS | 4096 | 0.16 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1ORIENT_P5 | 4096 | 4.07 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1ORIENT_P4 | 4096 | 3.17 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1STATE |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Incorrect | 1671 |



## How Constructed

The following variables indicate whether the respondent was able to correctly report his/her current location.

RwSTATE indicates whether a respondent was able to report the state he/she were in when interviewed. RwCITY indicates whether a respondent was able to report the city or village he/she were in at the time of the interview. RwFLOOR indicates whether a respondent was able to report which building floor he/she were on when interviewed. For interviews conducted at the respondent's home, RwFLOOR indicates whether the respondent was able to answer the question "What is this place used for?". RwNAME indicates whether a respondent was able to report the name of the hospital he/she were in during the interview. For interviews conducted at the respondent's home, RwNAME indicates whether a respondent was able to report the name of his/her district. RwADDRESS indicates whether a respondent was able to report his/her home address. If the respondent did not answer or did not know, he/she were asked for the name of the area of town/village, house number, or any landmark. If the respondent correctly identified the street name, this was coded as 1 for correct; the full address was not required.

RwSTATE, RwCITY, RwFLOOR, RwNAME, and RwADDRESS are coded as 1 if the respondent answered correctly and as 0 if the respondent answered incorrectly. Don't know responses are coded as special missing (.d). Refused responses are coded as special missing codes (.r). Other missing is coded as special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not assessed" is assigned when the test was not administered because of a respondent's physical disability or technical issues.

RwORIENT_P5 is the summary measure for RwSTATE, RwCITY, RwFLOOR, RwNAME, and RwADDRESS, ranging from 0 to 5. 5 indīcates that all answers were correct. If RwSTATE, RwCITY, RwFLOOR, RwNAME, and RwADDRESS are coded as (.d) or (.n), RwORIENT_P5 is coded as (.d) or (.n), respectively. If RwSTATE, RwCITY, RwFLOOR, RwNAME, and RwADDRESS are coded as (.r), RwORIENT_P5 is assigned (.r).

RwORIENT_P4 is the summary measure for RwSTATE, RwCITY, RwNAME, and RwADDRESS, ranging from 0 to 4.4 indicates that all answers were correct. This measure is comparable with the measures from the main LASI study. If RwSTATE, RwCITY, RwNAME, and RwADDRESS are coded as (.d) or (.n), RwORIENT_P4 is coded as (.d) or (.n), respectively. If RwSTATE, RwCITY, RwNAME, and RwADDRESS are coded as (.r), RwORIENT_P4A is assigned (.r).

RwFSTATE, RwFCITY, RwFFLOOR, Rw1FNAME, and RwFADDRESS are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an imputed value assigned. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In HRS HCAP, 5 questions were asked: state, county, city/town, floor of the building and address of the place. In DAD, similar questions were asked: state, city/village, floor of the building, name of the hospital or home address. As DAD study interviews were conducted in hospitals or in respondents' homes, either the name of the hospital or home address was asked.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In the Harmonized LASI interview, only 4 questions were asked: current place, city, street and district where the respondent lives (RwPLACE, RwCITY, RwSTREET, and RwDIST). In the DAD, 5 questions were asked: current place, city, state, district/town/village, and floor (RwNAME, RwCITY, RwSTATE, RwADDRESS, and RwFLOOR).

## DAD Variables Used

MMSE107_STATE
MMSE108 ${ }^{-}$CITY
MMSE109-FLOOR
MMSE109_ELOOR_HOME
MMSE110_NAME

CURRENT STATE R IN IS--CORRECT
CURRENT CITY/VILLAGE--CORRECT
CURRENT FLOOR OF BLDG R IS ON
CURRENT FLOOR--CORRECT -- CHANGED TO WHAT IS
CURRENT ADDRESS--CORRECT -- CHANGED TO DISTRI

```
MMSE110_NAME_HOME CURRENT ADDRESS--CORRECT -- CHANGED TO DISTRI
MMSE111_ADDRESS
HOME ADDRESS
```


## 3-Word Recall

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1TRIAL1 | r1triall:w1 R 3-word recall trial 1(0-3) | Cont |
| 1 | R1FTRIAL1 | rlftriall:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TRIAL2 | r1trial2:w1 R 3-word recall trial 2(0-3) | Cont |
| 1 | R1FTRIAL2 | r1ftrial2:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TRIAL3 | r1trial3:w1 R 3-word recall trial 3(0-3) | Cont |
| 1 | R1FTRIAL3 | rlftrial3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IMRC3 | rlimrc3:w1 R immediate word recall(0-3) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIMRC3 | rlfimrc3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1DLRC3 | r1dlrc3:w1 R delayed word recall (0-3) | Cont |
| 1 | R1FDLRC3 | r1fdlrc3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1TRIAL1 | 4096 | 2.74 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FTRIAL1 | 4096 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1TRIAL2 | 752 | 2.41 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FTRIAL2 | 4096 | 8.97 | 4.22 | 0.00 | 11.00 |
| R1TRIAL3 | 273 | 4096 | 10.23 | 1.15 | 2.72 |
| R1FTRIAL3 | 4096 | 4096 | 0.05 | 0.61 | 0.00 |
| R1IMRC3 | 1.96 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 3.00 |  |
| R1FIMRC3 | 0.09 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 11.00 |  |
| R1DLRC3 | 4096 |  | 0.00 | 3.00 |  |
| R1FDLRC3 | 4096 |  |  |  |  |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1FTRIAL1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 4021 |
| 1. Dont know | 22 |
| 2.Missing | 6 |
| 4.Refused | 47 |
| Value | R1FTRIAL2 |
| O. Not imputed | 695 |
| 1. Dont know | 28 |
| 2.Missing | 6 |
| 4.Refused | 49 |


| 11.Skipped | I | 3318 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | \| | R1FTRIAL3 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 219 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 33 |
| 2.Missing | I | 6 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 51 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 3787 |
| Value | \| | R1IMRC3 |
| 0 | I | 80 |
| 1 | I | 136 |
| 2 | I | 536 |
| 3 | I | 3344 |
| Value | \| | R1FIMRC3 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 4021 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 22 |
| 2.Missing | I | 6 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 47 |
| Value- | I | R1FDLRC3 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 3903 |
| 1. Dont know | I | 129 |
| 2.Missing | I | 6 |
| 3. Not Assessed | I | 3 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 55 |

## How Constructed

RwTRIAL1, RwTRIAL2, and RwTRIAL3 indicate a series of consecutive trials that ask the respondent to repeat back three objects named by the interviewer.

RwTRIAL1 is the first trial in which interviewers name three objects and ask the respondent to repeat each object back to them. The respondents are asked to remember what the objects are because they will be asked to name them again in a few minutes. The three objects are "Mango", "Chair", and "Coin". Interviewers record the number of correct words repeated with values ranging from 0-3 for correct words recalled.

RwTRIAL2 and RwTRIAL3 indicate the second and third trial in which interviewers name the same three objects as in trial 1. If the respondent correctly names all three objects in the first trial, trial 2 is skipped. If the respondent correctly names all three objects in the first or second trial, trial 3 is skipped. Otherwise, RwTRIAL2 and RwTRIAL3 follow the same procedure as RwTRIAL1.

RwTRIAL1, RwTRIAL2, and RwTRIAL3 range from 0-3, indicating the number of correct responses. Don't know responses are coded as special missing (.d). Refused responses are coded as special missing codes (.r). If the question is skipped in RwTRIAL2 or RwTRIAL3 because the respondent correctly answered all words in the previous trial, special missing (.s) is assigned. Other missing is assigned as (.m).

RwIMRC3 provides a summary measure for immediate word recall. The first word recall trial, RwTRIAL1, is used for this variable. Interviewers record the number of correct words repeated with values ranging from 0-3 for correct words recalled. Don't know responses are coded as special missing (.d). Refused responses are coded as special missing codes (.r). Other missing is as (.m).

RwDLRC3 provides a measure for delayed word recall. RwDLRC3 is the number of words from the 3-word immediate recall list that were recalled correctly after a delay, in which other survey questions were asked and answered. Specifically, respondents were asked for the three objects they were asked to remember previously. Interviewers record the number of correct words repeated after the delay. Don't know responses are coded as special missing (.d). Refused is coded as special missing codes (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not assessed" is assigned when the test was not administered because of the respondent's physical disability or technical issues.

RwFTRIAL1, RwFTRIAL2, RwFTRIAL3, RwFIMRC3 and RwFDLRC3 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an imputed value assigned. RwFTRIAL1 is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, and 4.Refused. RwFTRIAL2 and RwFTRIAL3 are coded as follows: The flag variables

```
are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, and 11.Skipped. RwFIMRC3 is
```

coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, and 4. Refused. RwFDLRC3 is coded as follows:
0. Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is
otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In DAD, we used the HMSE word recall list "Mango, Chair, Coin" instead of HRS HCAP word recall list "Apple, Table, Penny". In the HRS HCAP, the interviewer also records the number of trials as H1RMSE11T.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In the LASI study, the MMSE three word recall test is not administered.

## DAD Variables Used

```
MMSE112 TRIAL1
MMSE112_TRIAL2
MMSE112_TRIAL3
MMSE114_DELAYED
```

TRIAL 1
TRIAL 2
TRIAL 3
MMSE114 Delayed

## Serial 7's

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1SER7 | rlser7:w1 R serial $7 \mathrm{~s}(0-5)$ |
| Categ |  |  |
| 1 | R1FSER7 | rlfser7:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1SER7 | 2713 | 2.30 | 1.80 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FSER7 | 4096 | 2.31 | 2.80 | 0.00 | 6.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1SER7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| .c:Cannot Count | 1383 |
| 0 | 575 |
| 1 | 560 |
| 2 | 389 |
| 3 | 368 |
| 4 | 315 |
| 5 | 506 |
| Value | R1FSER7 |
| 0. Not imputed | 2289 |
| 1. Dont know | 155 |
| 2.Missing | 24 |
| 4.Refused | 245 |
| 6. Cannot Count | 1383 |

## How Constructed

RwSER7 provides the number of correct subtractions in the serial 7's test. This test asks the individual to subtract 7 from the prior result, beginning with 100 , for five trials. Correct subtractions are based on the prior number given, so that even if one subtraction is incorrect, subsequent trials are evaluated on the given (perhaps wrong) answer. Valid scores are 0-5. If the respondent cannot count, special missing (.c) is assigned. Don't know responses are coded as (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFSER7 is a flag variable, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an imputed value. The flag variable is coded as follows: 0. Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, and 6.Cannot Count. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

This test in DAD was not conducted in the HRS HCAP. Instead, the HRS HCAP uses a Number Series test. Although the Number Series was included in the main LASI, a large portion of respondents refused to answer the questions; hence we decided to drop the Number Series from DAD and use the Serial 7 's test instead.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.
DAD Variables Used

| SS_1 | subtraction from 100 |
| :--- | :--- |
| SS_1NUMBER | 7 Subtracted from 100 |
| SS_2 | 2nd time subtraction |
| SS_3 | 3rd time subtraction |
| SS_4 | 4th time subtraction |
| SS_5 | 5th time subtraction |

## Backward Day Naming

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1BACKWARD_D | r1backward_d:w1 R backward day naming (0-5) |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1BACKWARD_D | 4096 | 3.30 | 2.15 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FBACKWAR_D | 4096 | 0.23 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | \| R1BACKWARD_D |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $9 \overline{7} 6$ |
| 1 | 248 |
| 2 | 173 |
| 3 | 152 |
| 4 | 288 |
| 5 | 2259 |
| Value----------------------------------\|R1FBACKWAR_D |  |
| 0. Not imputed | 3684 |
| 1. Dont know | 200 |
| 2.Missing | 60 |
| 4.Refused | 152 |

## How Constructed

RwBACKWARD_D indicates the number of days of the week the respondent was able to correctly list in backwards order, starting from Sunday. While there are 6 possible answers, RwBACKWARD_D recodes 6 as 5 and thus, ranges from 0-5. Each day in the sequence was given one point if correctly reported. If the respondent gave the wrong response for the first day but a logically correct sequence, one point was deducted from the total score.

Don't know responses are coded as special missing (.d). Refused responses are coded as special missing (.r). Other missing responses are coded as (.m).

RwFBACKWAR_D is a flag variable, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variable is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't Know, 2.Missing, and $4 . R e f u s e d . ~ T h e ~$ original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In DAD, given the illiteracy in the older population, we asked respondents to say days of the week backwards staring from Sunday. In HRS HCAP, respondents were given the word "WORLD" and were asked to spell it backwards.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| S_1 | LIST Backwards |
| :---: | :---: |
| MMSE113 CORRBACKWARDS 2 | LIST Backwards |
| MMSE113 CORRBACKWARDS 3 | LIST Backwards |
| MMSE113_CORRBACKWARDS_4 | LIST Backwards |
| MMSE113_CORRBACKWARDS | LIST Backwards |
| MMSE113 CORRBACKWARDS | LIST Backwards |
| MMSE113 CORRBACKWARDS | LIST Backwards |

## Object Naming

| Wave Variable | Label |  | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1OBJECT1 | rlobject1:w1 R naming lst object correct-watch(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FOBJECT1 | rlfobject1:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1OBJECT2 | rlobject2:w1 R naming 2 nd object correct-pencil(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FOBJECT2 | rlfobject2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1OBJECT | rlobject:w1 R total object naming (0-2) | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1OBJECT1 | 4096 | 0.98 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FOBJECT1 | 4096 | 0.06 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1OBJECT2 | 4096 | 0.84 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FOBJECT2 | 4096 | 0.06 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1OBJECT | 4096 | 1.82 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 2.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value------------------------------------- | R1OBJECT1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. Incorrect | 99 |
| 1. Correct | 3997 |


| Value | R1FOBJECT1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 4007 |
| 1. Dont know | 21 |
| 2.Missing | 6 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 29 |
| 4.Refused | 33 |


| Value---------------------------------------- | R1OBJECT2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. Incorrect | 647 |
| 1. Correct | 3449 |


| Value---------------------------------- | R1FOBJECT2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 4010 |
| 1. Dont know | 17 |
| 2. Missing | 6 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 29 |
| 4. Refused | 34 |


|  |  | R10BJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 56 |
| 1 |  | 634 |
| 2 |  | 3406 |

## How Constructed

RwOBJECT1 indicates whether the respondent properly identified a watch. For this task, interviewers are instructed to point to their watch (not dial) and ask what the watch is called. RwOBJECT2 indicates whether the respondent properly identified a pencil. For this task, interviewers are instructed to show the respondent their pencil and ask what the pencil is called. Don't know responses are coded as special

```
missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is coded as special
missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not assessed" is assigned when
the test was not administered because of the respondent's physical disability or technical issues.
RwOBJECT indicates the number of correct responses between RwOBJECT1 and RwOBJECT2. RwOBJECT ranges from
0-2. If RwOBJECT1 or RwOBJECT2 is assigned special missing (.d) or (.n), RwOBJECT is coded as special
missing (.d) or (.n). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is coded as
special missing (.m).
RwFOBJECT1 and RwFOBJECT2 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable was assigned an imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In DAD, the respondent is asked to identify two specific objects (watch and pencil). Unlike DAD, LASI asks the respondent to name two random objects that the interviewer points to.

## DAD Variables Used

```
MMSE115 PENCIL PENCIL IDENTIFICATION--CORRECT
MMSE115_WATCH WATCH ID--CORRECT
```


## Whether able to repeat a phrase

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1REPEAT | r1repeat:w1 R able to repeat a phrase(0-1) |
| 1 | R1FREPEAT | r1frepeat:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1REPEAT | 4096 | 0.88 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FREPEAT | 4096 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1REPEAT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Incorrect | 498 |
| 1. Correct | 3598 |
| Value | R1FREPEAT |
| 0. Not imputed | 3933 |
| 1. Dont know | 40 |
| 2.Missing | 6 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 66 |
| 4.Refused | 51 |

## How Constructed

RwREPEAT indicates whether the respondent is able to repeat a phrase back to the interviewer. This phrase is "Neither this nor that". The respondent is allowed only one attempt to repeat the phrase. The interviewer cannot repeat the phrase if the respondent has already attempted the phrase. If the respondent struggles to hear the phrase, the interviewer can repeat the phrase up to five times. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not assessed" is assigned when the test was not administered because of the respondent's physical disability or technical issues.

RwFREPEAT is a flag variable, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an imputed value assigned. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In DAD, we used the HMSE phrase "Neither this nor that" instead of HRS HCAP's MMSE phrase "No if's, and's, or buts".

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

## Whether able to Follow Command

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1COPYFOL | rlcopyfol:w1 R able to follow example and close eyes (0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCOPYFOL | rlfcopyfol:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1READFOL | rlreadfol:w1 R able to read command and close eyes (0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FREADFOL | rlfreadfol:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1COMBFOL | rlcombfol:w1 R able to read/follow and close eyes(0-1) | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1COPYFOL | 2355 | 0.82 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FCOPYFOL | 4096 | 4.73 | 5.40 | 0.00 | 11.00 |
| R1READFOL | 1741 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FREADFOL | 4096 | 7.96 | 6.91 | 0.48 | 0.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1COPYFOL |
| :---: | :---: |
| .s:Skipped | 1741 |
| 0. Incorrect | 421 |
| 1. Correct | 1934 |
| Value- | R1FCOPYFOL |
| 0. Not imputed | 2270 |
| 1. Dont know | 24 |
| 2.Missing | 6 |
| 4.Refused | 60 |
| 11.Skipped | 1736 |
| Value | R1READFOL |
| .l:Cannot read and write | 2355 |
| 0. Incorrect | 1003 |
| 1. Correct | 738 |
| Value- | R1FREADFOL |
| 0. Not imputed | 1721 |
| 1. Dont know | 1 |
| 2.Missing | 41 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 8 |
| 4.Refused | 6 |
| 14.Cannot read/write | 2319 |
| Value-- | R1COMBFOL |
| $0 . I n c o r r e c t$ | 1424 |
| 1.Correct | 2672 |

## How Constructed

The following variables indicate whether the respondent can follow an instruction. The respondent's ability to follow an instruction was assessed in two ways depending on literacy. The original MMSE asks the respondent to read. For illiterate respondents, the HHSE replaces this task with a copying task.

RwCOPYFOL indicates whether the respondent is able to perform a task that is given to them by gestures. This task is only given to respondents who report that they cannot read and write. If the respondent cannot read and write, the respondent is asked to mimic the interviewer's gesture. The interviewer closes his/her eyes for 3 seconds. If the respondent does not close his/her eyes, a 0 is coded for incorrect. If the respondent closes his/her eyes, a 1 is coded for correct. Special missing (.s) is assigned if this task is skipped because the respondent reported that he/she can read and write. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not Assessed" option was marked only if the respondent has some physical disability that prevents him/her from performing the test, e.g. if the respondent is blind.

RwREADFOL indicates whether the respondent is able to perform a task that is given to them through text. This task is only given to respondents who report that they can read and write. If respondents can read and write, they are asked to read the words on a page and do as it says. The page says, "Close your eyes". If the respondents do not close their eyes, a 0 is coded for incorrect. If the respondents close their eyes, a 1 is coded for correct. Special missing (.l) is assigned if this task was skipped because the respondent reported they cannot read and write. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not assessed" is assigned when the test was not administered because of the respondent's physical disability or technical issues.

RwCOMBFOL indicates whether the respondent is able to perform a task that is given to them by text or gesture. RwCOMBFOL is derived from RwCOPYFOL and RwREADFOL. If respondents can read and write, they are asked to read the words on a page and do as it says. The page says, "Close your eyes". If the respondents cannot read and write, they are asked asked to mimic the interviewer's gesture. The interviewer closes his/her eyes for 3 seconds. If the respondents do not close their eyes after reading the text or observing the gesture, $a \operatorname{is~coded~for~incorrect.~If~the~respondent~closed~their~eyes,~a~} 1$ is coded for correct. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not assessed" is assigned when the test was not administered because of the respondent's physical disability or technical issues.

RwFCOPYFOL and RwFREADFOL are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. RwFCOPYFOL is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, and 11.Skipped. RwFREADFOL is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, $2 . \mathrm{Missing}$, $3 . \mathrm{Not}$ Assessed, 4. Refused, and 14.Cannot read/write. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In HRS HCAP, respondents were only asked to read and follow the instructions, while DAD first asked the respondent if he/she can read and write and had an alternate test for illiterates to see and copy the actions.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In the Harmonized LASI, respondents were asked to read a sentence on the paper and act out the action. If the respondents were illiterate, the question was skipped. In the DAD, illiterate respondents were asked to copy the action that the interviewer performed. If respondents could read or write, the question was asked the same way in both studies.

## DAD Variables Used

MMSE117
MMSE117_COPY
can respondent Read and Write COPY

## Executive Functioning

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1EXECU | rlexecu:w1 R cognition executive function-able to do 3-stage Categ |
| 1 | R1FEXECU | rlfexecu:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1EXECU | 4096 | 2.58 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FEXECU | 4096 | 0.07 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes



## How Constructed

RwEXECU counts the number of correct actions the respondent follows regarding folding a piece of paper. The respondent is asked to do the following three actions: (1) take the paper in his/her right hand, (2) fold the paper in half with both hands, and (3) give the paper back to the interviewer. The interviewer can read the instructions only once. The interviewer can repeat the instructions only if the respondent did not hear the instructions.

RwEXECU ranges from 0-3, with 3 indicating that all 3 tasks were completed. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are assigned special missing (.n). "Not Assessed" option was marked only if the respondent has some physical disability that prevents him/her from performing the test, e.g. if the respondent has hemiplegia.

RwFEXECU is a flag variable, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an imputed value assigned. The flag variable is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In HRS HCAP, interviewer asked respondents to "take the paper in your right hand, fold the paper in half with both hands, and put the paper down on your lap". In the DAD study, we asked respondents to "take the paper in your right hand, fold the paper in half with both hands" and give the paper back to the interviewer.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In the Harmonized LASI study, the interviewer asks the respondent to "turn it over, fold it in half, and give it back."

## DAD Variables Used

| MMSE118_BACK | GIVES PAPER BACK |
| :--- | :--- |
| MMSE118_FOLDS | FOLDS PAPER |
| MMSE118_HAND | HANDEDNESS |

## Writing or Saying Sentence

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1SAY | rlsay:w1 R able to say a sentence (0-1) | Categ

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1SAY | 2355 | 0.82 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FSAY | 4096 | 4.72 | 5.41 | 0.00 | 11.00 |
| R1WRITE | 1741 | 0.92 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FWRITE | 4096 | 7.99 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 14.00 |
| R1SENTEN | 4096 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1SAY |
| :---: | :---: |
| .s:Skipped | 1741 |
| 0. Incorrect | 417 |
| 1. Correct | 1938 |
| Value- | R1FSAY |
| 0. Not imputed | 2260 |
| 1. Dont know | 55 |
| 2.Missing | 6 |
| 4.Refused | 39 |
| 11.Skipped | 1736 |
| Value- | R1WRITE |
| .l:Cannot read and write | 2355 |
| 0. Incorrect | 132 |
| 1. Correct | 1609 |
| Value- | R1FWRITE |
| 0. Not imputed | 1678 |
| 1. Dont know | 11 |
| 2.Missing | 41 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 22 |
| 4. Refused | 25 |
| 14.Cannot read/write | 2319 |
| Value- | R1SENTEN |
| 0. Incorrect | 549 |
| 1. Correct | 3547 |

## How Constructed

RwSAY indicates whether a respondent can tell the interviewer something about his/her house. This is only asked if the respondent reports that he/she cannot read and write. A coded value of 1 indicates that the
respondent was able to say one full sentence about his/her house. A coded value of 0 indicates that the respondent could not say one full sentence about his/her house. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). If this task was skipped because the respondent reports being able to read and write, the special missing (.s) is assigned. Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

RwWRITE indicates whether the respondent can write a complete sentence on a piece of paper. This is only asked if the respondent reports that he/she can read and write. A coded value of 1 indicates that the respondent was able to write a complete sentence or his/her full name. A coded value of 0 indicates that the respondent could not write a sentence. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). If this task was skipped because the respondent reported that he/she cannot read and write, special missing (.l) is assigned. Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). "Not assessed" is assigned when the test was not administered because of the respondent's physical disability or technical issues.

RwSENTEN indicates whether a respondent is able to write or say a complete sentence. RwSENTEN uses RwWRITE and RwSAY to determine if either is successfully completed. A coded value of 1 indicates that the respondent was either able to write a complete sentence or his/her full name or was able to say one full sentence about his/her house. A coded value of 0 indicates that the respondent could not write a sentence or could not say one full sentence about his/her house. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are assigned special missing (.n). "Not Assessed" option was marked only if the respondent has some physical disability that prevents him/her from performing the test.

RwFSAY and RwFWRITE are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. RwFSAY is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, and 11.Skipped. RwFWRITE is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, 4.Refused, and 14.Cannot read/write. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

HRS HCAP asked respondents to write any complete sentence on a piece of paper whereas DAD incorporates an alternate test for those who are illiterate, namely, to tell interviewers "something about your house" if respondents can't read and write. The outcomes of the test used in DAD is captured by the variable RwSAY.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In the Harmonized LASI, the respondent was asked to write a sentence about how he/she is feeling today and question was skipped if respondent is illiterate. In DAD, the respondent was asked to write a sentence or his/her full name if the respondent reports that he/she can read and write. If the respondent cannot read or write, he/she was asked to tell the interviewer something about his/her house.

## DAD Variables Used

MMSE117
MMSE119_SAY
MMSE119_WRITE
can respondent Read and Write
Respondent says the sentance
WRITE COMPLETE SENTENCE

## Drawing Pentagon

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1DRAW | r1draw:w1 R cognition able to draw assign picture (0-1) | Cype

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1DRAW | 4096 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FDRAW | 4096 | 0.27 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1DRAW2 | 4096 | 0.51 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FDRAW2 | 4096 | 0.27 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 8.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1DRAW |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 . Incorrect | 3147 |
| 1. Correct | 949 |
| Value- | R1FDRAW |
| 0. Not imputed | 3723 |
| 1. Dont know | 18 |
| 2.Missing | 157 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 49 |
| 4.Refused | 141 |
| 8. Bad image | 8 |
| Value- | R1DRAW2 |
| 0 | 2963 |
| 1 | 184 |
| 2 | 949 |
| Value | R1FDRAW2 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3723 |
| 1. Dont know | 18 |
| 2.Missing | 157 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 49 |
| 4.Refused | 141 |
| 8.Bad image | 8 |

## How Constructed

RwDRAW indicates whether the respondent was able to draw an assigned picture: two overlapping pentagons. The respondent is assigned 1 as correct if the drawing met both requirements: (1) the drawing consists of two five-sided figures that intersect to form a four-sided figure and (2) all angles in the five-sided figures are preserved.

If the respondent's drawing doesn't meet both requirements, a 0 score is assigned. That is, the drawing has two five-sided figures that intersect to form a four-sided figure but not all angles in the fivesided figures are preserved, the respondent did not draw the two five-sided figures that intersect to form a four-sided figure, or the respondent did not draw the figure.

RwDRAW2 indicates a score ranging from 0-2 based on the respondent's ability to draw an assigned picture: two overlapping pentagons. The picture is scored on two features. 2 is coded if the drawing has two fivesided figures that intersect to form a four-sided figure and all angles in the five-sided figure are preserved. 1 is coded if either the drawing has two five-sided figures that intersect to form a foursided figure or all angles in the five-sided figure are preserved. 0 is coded if the respondent did not draw two five-sided figures that intersect to form a four-sided figure.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing codes (.r). Cases where the respondent's uploaded images were blurry and not yet scored were assigned special missing (.b). If the figure has not been scored yet, special missing (.z) is assigned. Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). "Not Assessed" responses are assigned special missing (.n). "Not Assessed" option was marked only if the respondent has some physical disability that prevented him/her from performing the test.

RwFDRAW and RwFDRAW2 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable was assigned an imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, 4.Refused, and 8.Bad image. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

HRS HCAP provides a 1-point detailed score while DAD provides a MMSE-comparable 1-point score and a 2point detailed score.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In the Harmonized LASI, the answer yes or no was used to indicate whether the respondent was able to draw an assigned picture. In the DAD, a 2-point detailed score was provided based on the respondent's ability to draw an assigned picture.

## DAD Variables Used

MMSE120_DRAW

COPY DRAWING

## HMSE Summary Score

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1HMSE_SCORE | 4096 | 22.59 | 5.52 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| R1LASI_SCORE | 4096 | 11.98 | 2.85 | 0.00 | 16.00 |

## How Constructed

RwHMSE_SCORE sums the total value between RwORIENT_T4, RwORIENT_P4, RwIMRC3, RwBACKWARD5, RwDLRC3, RwOBJECT, RwREPEAT, RwCOMBFOL, Rw3TASK, RwSENTEN, and RwDRAW, with missing values. If any of the variables contain a missing value, RwHMSE_SCORE is missing.

If Rworient_t4, Rworient_p4, RwimRC3, RwBACkwARD5, RwDLRC3, RwOBJECT, RwREPEAT, RwCOMBFOL, Rw3TASk, RwSENTEN, and RwDRAW are assigned (.d) or (.n), RwHMSE_SCORE is coded as (.d) or (.n), respectively. Refused responses are assigned special missing codes (.r). Cases in which the respondents' images were blurry and not yet scored were assigned special missing (.b). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwLASI_SCORE sums the total value between RwORIENT_T4, RwORIENT_P4, RwOBJECT, RwCOMBFOL, Rw3TASK, RwSENTEN, and RwDRAW, with missing values. If any of the variables contain a missing value, RwLASI_SCORE is missing.

If RwORIENT_T4, RwORIENT_P4, RwOBJECT, RwCOMBFOL, Rw3TASK, RwSENTEN, and RwDRAW are assigned (.d) or (.n), RwLAS $\bar{I}$ _SCORE is cō̄ed as (.d) or (.n), respectively. Refused responses are assigned special missing codes (.r). Cases in which the respondents' images were blurry and not yet scored were assigned special missing (.b). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

For further information on the component variables used in this section, please refer to their respective sections above.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

The HRS HCAP uses the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and LASI-DAD uses the Hindi Mental State Examination (HMSE). The HMSE score in the DAD is largely similar to the HRS HCAP's MMSE score except that the DAD uses backward day naming instead of the backward spelling task used in the HRS HCAP.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In the DAD, the summary score counts the total value between RwORIENT_T4 (4 points), RwORIENT_P4 (4 points), RwIMRC3 (3 points), RwDLRC3 (3 points), RwOBJECT (2 points), ${ }^{-}$RwBACKWARD5 (5 points), ${ }^{-}$RwREPEAT (1 point), RwCOMBFOL (1 point), Rw3TASK (3 points), RwSENTEN (1 pooint), and RwDRAW (1 point).

In the Harmonized LASI, the summary score counts the total value between RwORIENT (4 points), RwORIENTP (4 points), RwOBJECT (2 points), RwTASK (1 point), RwACTION (3 points), RwWRITE (1 point), and RwDRAW (1 point).

## DAD Variables Used

```
MMSE118 BACK
MMSE118_FOLDS
MMSE118 HAND
MMSE119-SAY
MMSE119_WRITE
MMSE120 DRAW
GIVES PAPER BACK
MMSE118 FOLDS
MMSE118 HAND
FOLDS PAPER
HANDEDNESS
Respondent says the sentance
WRITE COMPLETE SENTENCE
COPY DRAWING
```


## 10-Word List Learning

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1WORD1 | r1wordl:w1 R word list learning trial 1(0-10) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FWORD1 | rlfwordl:impflag wl r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1WORD2 | r1word2:w1 R word list learning trial 2(0-10) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FWORD2 | r1fword2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1WORD3 | r1word3:w1 R word list learning trial 3(0-10) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FWORD3 | r1fword3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1WORD_TOTAL | r1word_total:w1 R word list learning total(0-30) | Cont |
| 1 | R1WORD_D | r1word_d:w1 R word list learning recall(0-10) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FWORD_D | rlfword_d:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1WORD_INT | r1word_int:w1 R word list any interruption(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1WORD_PROB | r1word_prob:w1 R word list had hearing problem(0-1) | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1WORD1 | 4096 | 2.73 | 1.64 | 0.00 | 9.00 |
| R1FWORD1 | 4096 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1WORD2 | 4096 | 4.07 | 1.96 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| R1FWORD2 | 4096 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1WORD3 | 4096 | 4.69 | 2.18 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| R1FWORD3 | 4096 | 0.12 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1WORD_TOTAL | 4096 | 11.49 | 5.11 | 0.00 | 28.00 |
| R1WORD_D | 4096 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| R1FWORD_D | 4096 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1WORD_INT | 4035 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1WORD_PROB | 4035 |  |  |  | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value------------------------------------- | R1WORD1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0 | 531 |
| 1 | 330 |
| 2 | 892 |
| 3 |  |
| 4 | 1070 |
| 5 | 746 |


| 6 | \| | 140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | I | 36 |
| 8 | \| | 12 |
| 9 | \| | 2 |
| Value- | \| | R1FWORD1 |
| O. Not imputed | \| | 3992 |
| 1. Dont know | I | 17 |
| 2.Missing | I | 6 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 81 |
| Value- | \| | R1WORD2 |
| 0 | I | 350 |
| 1 | I | 101 |
| 2 | \| | 289 |
| 3 | \| | 656 |
| 4 | \| | 911 |
| 5 | I | 868 |
| 6 | \| | 548 |
| 7 | \| | 257 |
| 8 | I | 92 |
| 9 | I | 22 |
| 10 | \| | 2 |
| Value | \| | R1FWORD2 |
| O. Not imputed | I | 3983 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 15 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 7 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 91 |
| Value | \| | R1WORD3 |
| 0 | I | 327 |
| 1 | \| | 63 |
| 2 | \| | 168 |
| 3 | \| | 455 |
| 4 | \| | 714 |
| 5 | I | 916 |
| 6 | \| | 660 |
| 7 | I | 432 |
| 8 | \| | 249 |
| 9 | I | 92 |
| 10 | \| | 20 |
| Value | I | R1FWORD3 |
| O. Not imputed | \| | 3959 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 14 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 7 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 116 |
| Value | \| | R1WORD_D |
| 0 | \| | 961 |
| 1 | \| | 215 |
| 2 | \| | 420 |
| 3 | \| | 676 |
| 4 | \| | 675 |
| 5 | \| | 529 |
| 6 | \| | 322 |
| 7 | \| | 178 |
| 8 | \| | 79 |
| 9 | \| | 35 |
| 10 | I | 6 |
| Value- | \| | R1FWORD_D |
| O. Not imputed | \| | 3965 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 25 |
| 2.Missing | I | 9 |
| 4.Refused | I | 97 |
| Value | - | R1WORD_INT |
| . d: DK | \| | 4 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 13 |


| .r:Refuse | 44 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3847 |
| 1.Yes | 188 |
| Value | R1WORD_PROB |
| .d:DK | 4 |
| .m:Missing | 13 |
| .r:Refuse | 44 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3851 |
| 1.Yes | 184 |

## How Constructed

RWWORD1, RWWORD2, RWWORD3 are a set of consecutive tasks asking the respondent to repeat a set of 10 words back to the interviewer. Each task consists of the same words but in a different order each time.

RwWORD1 indicates the total number of correct words recalled in the first task. For this task, the interviewer reads a set of 10 words and asks the respondent to recall as many as he/she can. The interviewer states that the set of words is purposely made long so that it will be difficult for anyone to recall all the words and that most people recall just a few. The interviewer cannot repeat the words. The respondent can repeat back the set of words in any order and is given up to about 2 minutes. Once the respondent understands the task, the interviewer reads the items at a slow, steady rate, allowing the respondent to repeat the word before moving on to the next word on the list. The set of 10 words, in order, is Butter, Arm, Corner, Letter, Queen, Book, Stick, Ticket, Grass, and Stone.

RwWORD2 and RwWORD3 indicate the total number of correct words recalled in the second and third tasks. For the second and third task, the interviewer reads the same list of words as the first task but in a different order. Once the interviewer has read the list of words, the respondent is asked to say aloud the words from the list. The order for the second set of 10 words is: Ticket, Book, Butter, Corner, Stone, Arm, Queen, Letter, Stick, and Grass. The order for the third set of 10 words is: Queen, Grass, Arm, Book, Stick, Corner, Butter, Stone, Ticket, and Letter.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwWORD TOTAL counts the total number of correct words between RwWORD1, RwWORD2, and RwWORD3. RwWORD TOTAL is coded as don't know (.d) or refused (.r) if all RwWORD1, RwWORD2, and RwWORD3 are coded as don't know, or refused. Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwWORD_D indicates the total number of correct words recalled from a 10 -word list after a delay where other survey questions were asked and answered. Respondents were given up to 2 minutes to recall as many of the 10 words they could remember.

RwWORD_INT indicates whether there were any interruptions in the administration of any of the three word lists. A code of 0 indicates that there were no interruptions. A code of 1 indicates that there was an interruption.

RwWORD_PROB indicates whether there were any interruptions in the administration of the word lists due to the respondent having difficulty hearing the words. A code of 0 indicates there were no issues with the respondent hearing the words. A code of 1 indicates there was an issue with the respondent hearing the words.

RwFWORD1, RwFWORD2, RwFWORD3, and RwFWORD_D are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, $1 . D o n ' t$ know, 2.Missing, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In HRS HCAP, the word list is, "Butter, Arm, Shore, Letter, Queen, Cabin, Pole, Ticket, Grass, Engine".

In the DAD study, we have changed some words that are culturally different for Indian population, so the resulting word list is "Butter, Arm, Corner, Letter, Queen, Book, Stick, Ticket, Grass, Stone".

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

In DAD, respondents were asked to perform 3 trials of word recalls. The respondent repeats each word after the Interviewer. Each trial consists of the same words but in a different order each time. In the main LASI, there is only one trial for the word recall and the Respondents don't repeat the words after the Interviewer. The word list used in the main LASI is different from the word lists used in DAD.

## DAD Variables Used

DR100S1
DR100S10
DR100S2
DR100S3
DR100S4
DR100S5
DR100S6
DR100S7
DR100S8
DR100S9
WR102AS1
WR102AS10
WR102AS2
WR102AS3
WR102AS 4
WR102AS5
WR102AS6
WR102AS 7
WR102AS 8
WR102AS9
WR102AS 97
WR103AS1
WR103AS10
WR103AS2
WR103AS 3
WR103AS 4
WR103AS5
WR103AS6
WR103AS 7
WR103AS 8
WR103AS9
WR103AS 97
WR104AS1
WR104AS10
WR104AS2
WR104AS 3
WR104AS 4
WR104AS5
WR104AS 6
WR104AS 7
WR104AS8
WR104AS 9
WR104AS 97
WR105S1
WR105S2
WR105S3
WR105S4

```
DELAYED RECALL 1 Butter
DELAYED RECALL 10 Stone
DELAYED RECALL 2 Arm
DELAYED RECALL 3 Corner
DELAYED RECALL 4 Letter
DELAYED RECALL 5 Queen
DELAYED RECALL 6 Book
DELAYED RECALL 7 Stick
DELAYED RECALL 8 Ticket
DELAYED RECALL 9 Grass
WORD RECALL 1 1 Butter
WORD RECALL 1 10 Stone
WORD RECALL 1 2 Arm
WORD RECALL 1 3 Corner
WORD RECALL 1 4 Letter
WORD RECALL 1 5 Queen
WORD RECALL 1 6 Book
WORD RECALL 1 }7\mathrm{ Stick
WORD RECALL 1 }8\mathrm{ Ticket
WORD RECALL 1 9 Grass
WORD RECALL 1 }97\mathrm{ No words remembered
Trial List 2 Recall 1 Butter
Trial List 2 Recall 10 Stone
Trial List 2 Recall 2 Arm
Trial List 2 Recall 3 Corner
Trial List 2 Recall 4 Letter
Trial List 2 Recall 5 Queen
Trial List 2 Recall 6 Book
Trial List 2 Recall }7\mathrm{ Stick
Trial List 2 Recall 8 Ticket
Trial List 2 Recall 9 Grass
Trial List 2 Recall }97\mathrm{ No words remembered
Trial List 3 Recall 1 Butter
Trial List 3 Recall }10\mathrm{ Stone
Trial List 3 Recall 2 Arm
Trial List 3 Recall 3 Corner
Trial List 3 Recall 4 Letter
Trial List 3 Recall }5\mathrm{ Queen
Trial List 3 Recall 6 Book
Trial List 3 Recall }7\mathrm{ Stick
Trial List 3 Recall 8 Ticket
Trial List 3 Recall 9 Grass
Trial List 3 Recall }97\mathrm{ No words remembered
WR ADMINISTRATION ISSUES 1 An interruption Oc
WR ADMINISTRATION ISSUES 2 An interruption Oc
WR ADMINISTRATION ISSUES 3 An interruption Oc
WR ADMINISTRATION ISSUES 4 Respondent had dif
```


## Word List Recognition

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1WRE_ORG | rlwre_org:w1 R word list recognition: original(0-10) | Cont $\quad$ Categ

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1WRE_ORG | 4096 | 8.15 | 2.34 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| R1FWRE_ORG | 4096 | 0.17 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1WRE_FOIL | 4096 | 7.85 | 2.79 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| R1FWRE_FOIL | 4096 | 0.17 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1WRE_SCORE | 4096 | 16.00 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 20.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes



## How Constructed

Respondents are presented with a list of 20 words, half of which were previously presented to the respondent in an earlier part of the interview, and RwWRE ORG counts the number of words that are correctly identified as repeated words. The repeated words include Butter, Arm, Corner, Letter, Queen, Book, Stick, Ticket, Grass, and Stone. RwWRE_FOIL counts the number of words correctly identified as new words, ones not previously seen in an earlier section of questionnaire. From a list of 20 words, 10 of the words were new words. These words include Temple, Tea, Key, Five, Hotel, Mountain, Slipper, Village, String, and Troops. The interviewer states that some of the words are from the list of words they read to the respondent earlier and some of the words have not been read to them before. As the interviewer reads aloud the list of 20 words, the respondent is asked to say "Yes" after a word if he/she heard it earlier. The respondent is asked to say "No" if a word was not heard earlier.

RwWRE_SCORE is the sum of RwWRE_ORG and RwWRE_FOIL, indicating the total number of correct responses given by the respondent.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFWRE ORG and RwFWRE FOIL are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable was assigned an imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2 . Missing, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In the HRS HCAP, the interviewer showed respondents a set of words printed on cards while in DAD, the interviewer read respondents a list of words. In the HRS HCAP, the words are "Church, Coffee, Dollar, Arm, Shore, Five, Letter, Hotel, Mountain, Queen, Cabin, Slipper, Pole, Village, String, Ticket, Troops, Grass, Engine" while in DAD, the words are "Temple, Tea, Key, Arm, Corner, Five, Letter, Hotel, Mountain, Queen, Book, Book, Slipper, Stick, Village, String, Ticket, Troops, Grass, Stone".

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| WRE_100 | Temple |
| :--- | :--- |
| WRE_101 | Tea |
| WRE_102 | Butter |
| WRE_103 | Key |
| WRE_104 | Arm |
| WRE_105 | Corner |
| WRE_106 | Five |
| WRE_107 | Letter |
| WRE_108 | Hotel |
| WRE_109 | Mountain |
| WRE_110 | Queen |
| WRE_111 | Book |
| WRE_112 | Slipper |
| WRE_113 | Stick |
| WRE_114 | Village |
| WRE_115 | String |
| WRE_116 | Ticket |
| WRE_117 | Troops |
| WRE_118 | Grass |
| WRE_119 | Stone |

## Logical Memory: Brave Man Story

| Wave | Variable | Label |  | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1BM_S1 | r1bm_s1:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 1(0-2) |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S1 | rlfbm_s1:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S2 | r1bm_s2:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point $2(0-2)$ |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S2 | rlfbm_s2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S3 | r1bm_s3:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 3(0-2) |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S3 | rlfbm_s3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S 4 | r1bm_s4:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 4(0-2) |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S 4 | rlfbm_s4:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S5 | r1bm_s5:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 5(0-2) |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S5 | rlfbm_s5:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S6 | r1bm_s6:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 6(0-2) |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S6 | rlfbm_s6:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S 7 | r1bm_s7:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 7(0-2) |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S 7 | rlfbm_s7:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S8 | r1bm_s8:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 8(0-2) |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S8 | r1fbm_s8:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S 9 | r1bm_s9:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 9(0-2) |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S 9 | rlfbm_s9:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BM_S10 | r1bm_s10:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point $10(0-2)$ |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBM_S10 | r1fbm_s10:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |  | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S1 | r1bmex_s1:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point $1(0-1)$ | exact | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S2 | r1bmex_s2:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point $2(0-1)$ | exact | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S3 | r1bmex_s3:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 3(0-1) | exact | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S4 | r1bmex_s 4 :w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 4(0-1) | exact | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S5 | r1bmex_s5:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 5(0-1) | exact | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S6 | r1bmex_s6:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 6(0-1) | exact | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S7 | r1bmex_s7:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 7(0-1) | exact | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S8 | r1bmex_s8:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 8(0-1) | exact | Categ |
| 1 | R1BMEX_S9 | r1bmex_s9:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point 9(0-1) | exact | Categ |

1 R1BMEX_S10
1 R1BM_RS1
1 R1FBM_RS1
R1BM_RS2
R1FBM_RS2
R1BM_RS3
R1FBM_RS3
R1BM_RS4
R1FBM_RS4
R1BM_RS5
R1FBM_RS5
R1BM_RS 6
R1FBM_RS6
R1BM_RS 7
R1FBM_RS7
R1BM_RS8
R1FBM_RS8
R1BM_RS 9
R1FBM_RS9
1 R1BM_RS10
1 R1FBM_RS10

1 R1BMEX_RS1
1 R1BMEX_RS2
1 R1BMEX_RS3
1 R1BMEX_RS 4
1 R1BMEX_RS5
1 R1BMEX_RS6
1 R1BMEX_RS 7
1 R1BMEX_RS 8
1 R1BMEX_RS 9
1 R1BMEX_RS10
r1bmex_s10:w1 R Brave man immediate: story point $10(0-1)$ exa r1bm_rs1:w1 R Brave man recall: story point $1(0-2)$ rlfbm_rsi:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs2:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 2(0-2)
r1fbm_rs2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs3:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 3(0-2) r1fbm_rs3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs4:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 4(0-2)
rlfbm_rs4:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs5:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 5(0-2) r1fbm_rs5:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs6:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 6(0-2)
rlfbm_rs6:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs7:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 7(0-2) rlfbm_rs7:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs8:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 8(0-2) rlfbm_rs8:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs9:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 9(0-2) rlfbm_rs9:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1bm_rs10:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 10(0-2) r1fbm_rs10:impflag w1 r whether imputed value rlbmex_rs1:w1 R Brave man recall: story point $1(0-1)$ exact r1bmex_rs2:w1 R Brave man recall: story point $2(0-1)$ exact r1bmex_rs3:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 3(0-1) exact r1bmex_rs4:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 4(0-1) exact r1bmex_rs5:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 5(0-1) exact r1bmex_rs6:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 6(0-1) exact r1bmex_rs7:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 7(0-1) exact r1bmex_rs8:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 8(0-1) exact r1bmex_rs9:w1 R Brave man recall: story point 9(0-1) exact r1bmex_rs10:w1 R Brave man recall: story point $10(0-1)$ exact

Categ Categ Categ Categ Categ Categ Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ

1 R1BM_IMM r1bm_imm:w1 R Brave man immediate: summary score, HRS compar Cont
1 R1BM_IMM_D r1bm_imm_d:w1 R Brave man immediate: summary score 2pts-exac Cont
1 R1BM_IMMEX rlbm_immex:w1 R Brave man immediate: summary score exact(0-6 Cont
1 R1BM_RECL rlbm_recl:w1 R Brave man recall: summary score, HRS comparabl Cont
1 R1BM_RECL_D r1bm_recl_d:w1 R Brave man recall: summary score 2pts-exact, Cont
1 R1BM_RECLEX rlbm_reclex:w1 R Brave man recall: summary score exact (0-6) Cont

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | Maximum


| R1BMEX_S5 | 4096 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1BMEX_S6 | 4096 | 0.25 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_S 7 | 4096 | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_S8 | 4096 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_S9 | 4096 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_S10 | 4096 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BM_RS1 | 4096 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS1 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS2 | 4096 | 0.36 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS2 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS3 | 4096 | 0.75 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS3 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS4 | 4096 | 0.44 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS4 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS5 | 4096 | 0.22 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS5 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS6 | 4096 | 0.39 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS6 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS 7 | 4096 | 0.46 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS 7 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS8 | 4096 | 0.29 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS8 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS 9 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS9 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BM_RS10 | 4096 | 0.21 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FBM_RS10 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BMEX_RS1 | 4096 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_RS2 | 4096 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_RS3 | 4096 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_RS4 | 4096 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_RS5 | 4096 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 1.00 |


| R1BMEX_RS6 | 4096 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1BMEX_RS7 | 4096 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_RS8 | 4096 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_RS9 | 4096 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BMEX_RS10 | 4096 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BM_IMM | 4096 | 5.30 | 3.09 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BM_IMM_D | 4096 | 2.08 | 1.62 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| R1BM_IMMEX | 4096 | 4096 | 4.95 | 3.47 | 0.00 |
| R1BM_RECL | 1.19 | 1.61 | 0.00 | 6.00 |  |
| R1BM_RECL_D | 4096 | 4096 |  |  | 0.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| , | R1BM_S1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 886 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 603 |
| 2.Exact answer | 2607 |
| Value- | R1FBM S1 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value- | R1BM_S2 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2215 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 1068 |
| 2.Exact answer | 813 |
| Value- | R1FBM_S2 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value- | R1BM_S3 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 974 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 894 |
| 2.Exact answer | 2228 |
| Value- | R1FBM_S3 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value-- | R1BM_S4 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 1732 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 1539 |
| 2.Exact answer | 825 |
| Value- | R1FBM_S4 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |


| Val | R1BM_S5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2966 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 457 |
| 2.Exact answer | 673 |
| Value | R1FBM S5 |
| 0. Not imputed | $3 \overline{8} 52$ |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value- | R1BM_S6 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2233 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 825 |
| 2.Exact answer | 1038 |
| Value- | R1FBM_S6 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value- | R1BM_S 7 |
| O. Not correct, not mentioned | 1809 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 1239 |
| 2.Exact answer | 1048 |
| Value | R1FBM_S7 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value- | R1BM_S8 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2712 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 509 |
| 2.Exact answer | 875 |
| Value- | R1FBM S8 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value- | R1BM S9 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | $3 \overline{2} 06$ |
| 1.Approximate answer | 157 |
| 2.Exact answer | 733 |
| Value-- | R1FBM_S9 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value-- | R1BM_S10 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3085 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 427 |
| 2.Exact answer | 584 |
| Value- | R1FBM_S10 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3852 |
| 1. Dont know | 29 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 188 |
| Value---- | R1BMEX_S1 |
| O.Not correct/Not exact answe | 1489 |
| 1.Exact answer | 2607 |


| Value | R1BMEX_S2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.Not correct/Not exact answers | $3 \overline{2} 83$ |
| 1.Exact answer | 813 |
| Value- | R1BMEX_S3 |
| O.Not correct/Not exact answers | 1868 |
| 1.Exact answer | 2228 |
| Value- | R1BMEX_S4 |
| 0.Not correct/Not exact answers | 3271 |
| 1.Exact answer | 825 |
| Value- | R1BMEX_S5 |
| 0.Not correct/Not exact answers | 3423 |
| 1.Exact answer | 673 |
| Value- | R1BMEX_S6 |
| 0.Not correct/Not exact answers | 3058 |
| 1.Exact answer | 1038 |
| Value- | R1BMEX_S7 |
| $0 . N$ Not correct/Not exact answers | 3048 |
| 1.Exact answer | 1048 |
| Value- | R1BMEX_S8 |
| 0.Not correct/Not exact answers | $3 \overline{2} 21$ |
| 1.Exact answer | 875 |
| Value- | R1BMEX_S9 |
| O.Not correct/Not exact answers | $3 \overline{3} 63$ |
| 1.Exact answer | 733 |
| Value- | R1BMEX_S10 |
| 0.Not correct/Not exact answers | 3512 |
| 1.Exact answer | 584 |
| Value- | R1BM RS1 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 2275 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 358 |
| 2.Exact answer | 1463 |
| Value- | R1FBM_RS1 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3790 |
| 1. Dont know | 68 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 227 |
| Value | R1BM_RS2 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3125 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 456 |
| 2.Exact answer | 515 |
| Value | R1FBM_RS2 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3790 |
| 1. Dont know | 68 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 227 |
| Value- | R1BM_RS3 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 2343 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 445 |
| 2.Exact answer | 1308 |
| Value- | R1FBM_RS3 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3790 |
| 1. Dont know | 68 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 227 |
| Value-- | R1BM_RS4 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2817 |



| 1. Dont know | 68 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 227 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS1 |
| $0 . N o t$ correct/Not exact answers | 2633 |
| 1.Exact answer | 1463 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS2 |
| O.Not correct/Not exact answers | 3581 |
| 1.Exact answer | 515 |
| Value | R1BMEX RS3 |
| O.Not correct/Not exact answers | 2788 |
| 1.Exact answer | 1308 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS4 |
| 0. Not correct/Not exact answers | 3583 |
| 1.Exact answer | 513 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS5 |
| $0 . N o t$ correct/Not exact answers | 3744 |
| 1.Exact answer | 352 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS6 |
| 0. Not correct/Not exact answers | 3493 |
| 1.Exact answer | 603 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS7 |
| $0 . N o t$ correct/Not exact answers | 3455 |
| 1.Exact answer | 641 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS8 |
| $0 . N o t$ correct/Not exact answers | 3612 |
| 1.Exact answer | 484 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS9 |
| O.Not correct/Not exact answers | 3744 |
| 1.Exact answer | 352 |
| Value | R1BMEX_RS10 |
| O.Not correct/Not exact answers | 3771 |
| 1.Exact answer | 325 |

## How Constructed

In this section, respondents were tested on their immediate and delayed recollection of a brave man story that was read aloud to them.

RwBM_S1 - RwBM_S10 indicate how well respondents remembered the story's points immediately after it was read to them. They are coded as follows: 0. Not correct, not mentioned, 1.Approximate answer, and 2. Exact answer.

RwBMEX S1 - RwBMEX S10 indicate how well respondents remembered the exact story points immediately after it was read to them. One point was given if respondents recalled the exact story point and no points were given if respondents either did not remember the story point or could only recall the general gist of the story point.

RwBM IMM, RwBM_IMM_D, and RwBM IMMEX are summary scores for the respondents' immediate recollection of the brave man story. RwBM_IMM $\bar{i}$ s the summary score based on the 6-point system that the HRS HCAP uses, with the summary scores ranging from 0 to 12. RwBM_IMM_D follows the 10-point score used in LASI-DAD and is calculated as the total score of RwBM_S1 - RwBM_S10, with scores ranging from 0 to 20 . RwBM IMMEX is the summary score of exact story point responses añ is based upon the total score of RwBMEX_S RwBMEX_S10, after converting to the 6-point score used in the HRS HCAP. RwBM_IMMEX has scores ranging from 0 to 6.

RwBM RS1 - RwBM RS10 indicate how well respondents remembered the story points after some time had passed and they had answered some unrelated interview questions. They are coded as follows: 0.Not correct, not mentioned, 1.Approximate answer, and 2.Exact answer.

RwBMEX_RS1 - RwBMEX_RS10 indicate how well respondents remembered the exact story points after a delay where the respondent was asked other survey questions. One point was given if respondents recalled the exact story point and no points were given if respondents either did not remember the story point or could only recall the general gist of the story point.

RwBM RECL, RwBM RECL_D, and RwBM RECLEX are summary scores for the respondents' delayed recollection of the brave man story. RwBM_RECL is the summary score based on the 6-point system that the HRS HCAP uses, with the summary scores ranging from 0 to 12. RwBM RECL D is calculated as the total score of RwBM RS1 RwBM_RS10, with scores ranging from 0 to 20. RwBM_RECLEX is the summary score of exact story point responses and is based upon the total score of RwBMEX_RS1 - RwBMEX_RS10, after converting to the 6-point score used in the HRS HCAP. RwBM RECLEX has scores ranging from 0 to 6.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFBM S1 - RwFBM S10 and RwFBM RS1 - RwFBM RS10 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variā̄le has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, $1 . \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{b}}$ 't know, 2.Missing, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

Both HRS HCAP and LASI-DAD used the same story. However, HRS HCAP uses a 6-point scale while LASI-DAD uses a 10-point scale. To facilitate comparison across studies, the LASI-DAD's 10-point scores have also been converted to the 6 -point scores used in the HRS HCAP. Both HRS HCAP and DAD give scores for exact words and approximate answers.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| BM_1S1 |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{BM}^{-1} 1 \mathrm{~S} 10$ |
| BM 1S101 |
| BM 1S103 |
| BM_1S104 |
| BM_1S105 |
| BM_1S106 |
| BM 1S107 |
| BM_1S108 |
| BM_1S109 |
| BM_1S110 |
| BM 1S3 |
| BM 1S4 |
| BM_1S5 |
| BM_1S6 |
| BM_1S7 |
| BM 1S8 |
| BM_1S9 |
| LM2B_1B_S1 |
| LM2B_1B_S10 |
| LM2B_1B_S101 |
| LM2B 1B S103 |

BM1 - Recall of Story Points 1 Three children
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 10 all were well
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 101 Three childr
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 103 House caught
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 104 Brave man
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 105 Climbed
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 106 back window
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 107 carry to saf
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 108 Minor cuts
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 109 bruises
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 110 all were wel
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 3 House caught o
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 4 Brave man
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 5 Climbed
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 6 back window
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 7 carry to safet
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 8 Minor cuts
BM1 - Recall of Story Points 9 bruises
Recall of Story 1 Points 1 Three children
Recall of Story 1 Points 10 all were well
Recall of Story 1 Points 101 Three children
Recall of Story 1 Points 103 House caught on

| LM2B_1B_S104 |
| :---: |
| LM2B-1B_S105 |
| LM2B_1B_S106 |
| LM2B_1B_S107 |
| LM2B_1B_S108 |
| LM2B_1B_S109 |
| LM2B_1B_S110 |
| LM2B 1B S3 |
| LM2B_1B_S4 |
| LM2B_1B_S5 |
| LM2B_1B_S6 |
| LM2B_1B_S7 |
| LM2B 1B S8 |
| LM2B 1B S9 |

```
Recall of Story 1 Points 104 Brave man
Recall of Story 1 Points 105 Climbed
Recall of Story 1 Points }106\mathrm{ back window
Recall of Story 1 Points }107\mathrm{ carry to safety
Recall of Story 1 Points }108\mathrm{ Minor cuts
Recall of Story 1 Points 109 bruises
Recall of Story 1 Points 110 all were well
Recall of Story 1 Points 3 House caught on fi
Recall of Story 1 Points 4 Brave man
Recall of Story 1 Points 5 Climbed
Recall of Story 1 Points 6 back window
Recall of Story 1 Points }7\mathrm{ carry to safety
Recall of Story 1 Points 8 Minor cuts
Recall of Story 1 Points 9 bruises
```


## Logical Memory: Robbery Story

| Wave | Variable | Label |  | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1LMB_S1 | r1lmb_s1:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point $1(0-2)$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S1 | rlflmb_s1:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S2 | rllmb_s2:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point $2(0-2)$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S2 | rlflmb_s2:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S3 | r1lmb_s3:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point 3(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S3 | rlflmb_s3:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S 4 | rllmb_s4:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point 4(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S4 | rlflmb_s4:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S5 | r1lmb_s5:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point 5(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S5 | rlflmb_s5:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S 6 | r11mb_s6:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point 6(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S6 | rlflmb_s6:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S 7 | rllmb_s7:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point 7(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S7 | rlflmb_s7:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S8 | r1lmb_s8:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point 8(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S8 | rlflmb_s8:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S 9 | rllmb_s9:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point 9(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S9 | rlflmb_s9:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S10 | rllmb_s10:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point $10(0-2)$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S10 | r1flmb_s10:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S11 | rllmb_s11:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point $11(0-2)$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S11 | rlflmb_s11:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S12 | rllmb_s12:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point $12(0-2)$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S12 | rlflmb_s12:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S13 | r1lmb_s13:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point $13(0-2)$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S13 | r1flmb_s13:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S14 | rllmb_s14:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point 14(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S14 | r1flmb_s14:impflag w1 r whether imputed | value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S15 | r1lmb_s15:w1 R Robbery story immediate: | story point $15(0-2)$ | Categ |


| 1 | R1FLMB_S15 | r1flmb_s15:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1LMB_S16 | r1lmb_s16:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 16(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S16 | r1flmb_s16:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S17 | r1lmb_s17:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 17(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S17 | r1flmb_s17:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S18 | r1lmb_s18:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 18(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S18 | rlflmb_s18:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S19 | r1lmb_s19:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 19(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S19 | r1flmb_s19:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S20 | r1lmb_s20:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 20(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S20 | r1flmb_s20:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S21 | r1lmb_s21:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 21(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S21 | r1flmb_s21:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S22 | r1lmb_s22:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 22(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S22 | r1flmb_s22:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S23 | r1lmb_s23:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 23(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S23 | r1flmb_s23:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S24 | r1lmb_s24:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 24(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S24 | r1flmb_s24:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_S25 | r1lmb_s25:w1 R Robbery story immediate: story point 25(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_S25 | r1flmb_s25:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_RS1 | rllmb_rsl:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $1(0-2)$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS1 | rlflmb_rsl:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_RS2 | r1lmb_rs2:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $2(0-2)$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS2 | r1flmb_rs2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_RS3 | r1lmb_rs3:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 3(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS3 | rlflmb_rs3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_RS4 | rllmb_rs4:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 4(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS 4 | rlflmb_rs4:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_RS5 | r1lmb_rs5:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 5(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS5 | rlflmb_rs5:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |

1 R1LMB RS6

1 R1FLMB_RS 6
1 R1LMB_RS7
1 R1FLMB_RS 7
1 R1LMB_RS8

1 R1FLMB_RS8
1 R1LMB_RS9
1 R1FLMB_RS 9

1 R1LMB_RS10

1 R1FLMB_RS10
1 R1LMB_RS11
1 R1FLMB_RS11
1 R1LMB_RS12

1 R1FLMB_RS12
1 R1LMB_RS13
1 R1FLMB_RS13

R1LMB_RS1
R1FLMB_RS1
R1LMB_RS15
R1FLMB RS15

R1LMB_RS16
1 R1FLMB_RS16
1 R1LMB_RS17

1 R1FLMB RS1

1 R1LMB_RS18
1 R1FLMB_RSI
1 R1LMB_RS19

1 R1FLMB_RS19
1 R1LMB_RS20
1 R1FLMB_RS20
1 R1LMB_RS21

1 R1FLMB_RS21
r1lmb_rs6:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 6(0-2)
rlflmb_rs6:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs7:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 7(0-2)
rlflmb_rs7:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs8:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 8(0-2)
rlflmb_rs8:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs9:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $9(0-2)$ r1flmb rs9:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs10:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $10(0-2)$ r1flmb_rs10:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs11:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $11(0-2)$ rlflmb_rs11:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs12:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $12(0-2)$ r1flmb_rs12:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs13:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 13(0-2) r1flmb_rs13:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs14:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 14(0-2) r1flmb_rs14:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value rllmb_rs15:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $15(0-2)$ r1flmb_rs15:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs16:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 16(0-2) r1flmb_rs16:impflag w1 r whether imputed value rllmb_rs17:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $17(0-2)$ rlflmb_rs17:impflag w1 r whether imputed value rllmb_rs18:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 18(0-2) r1flmb_rs18:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs19:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 19(0-2) rlflmb_rs19:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs20:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 20(0-2)
r1flmb_rs20:impflag w1 r whether imputed value r1lmb_rs21:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point $21(0-2)$ r1flmb_rs21:impflag w1 r whether imputed value

Categ

Categ

Categ
Categ

Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ

| 1 | R1LMB_RS22 | rllmb_rs22:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 22(0-2) | Categ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS22 | r1flmb_rs22:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_RS23 | r1lmb_rs23:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 23(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS23 | rlflmb_rs23:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_RS24 | rllmb_rs24:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 24(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS24 | r1flmb_rs24:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_RS25 | rllmb_rs25:w1 R Robbery story recall: story point 25(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLMB_RS25 | r1flmb_rs25:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LMB_IMM | rllmb_imm:w1 R Robbery story immediate:summaryscore, exact wo | Cont |
| 1 | R1LMB_IMM_D | rllmb_imm_d:w1 R Robbery story immediate:summary score, with | Cont |
| 1 | R1LMB_RECL | rllmb_recl:w1 R Robbery story recall: summary score, exact wo | Cont |
| 1 | R1LMB_RECL_D | rllmb_recl_d:w1 R Robbery story recall: summary score,with g | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1LMB_S1 | 4096 | 0.42 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB S1 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S2 | 4096 | 0.54 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S2 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S3 | 4096 | 0.27 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S3 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S 4 | 4096 | 0.59 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S4 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S5 | 4096 | 0.34 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S5 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S6 | 4096 | 0.40 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S6 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S 7 | 4096 | 0.21 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S 7 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S8 | 4096 | 0.18 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S8 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S 9 | 4096 | 0.34 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 2.00 |


| R1FLMB_S9 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1LMB_S10 | 4096 | 0.53 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S10 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S11 | 4096 | 0.32 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S11 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S12 | 4096 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S12 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S13 | 4096 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S13 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S14 | 4096 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S14 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S15 | 4096 | 0.53 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S15 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S16 | 4096 | 0.50 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S16 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S17 | 4096 | 0.43 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S17 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S18 | 4096 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S18 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S19 | 4096 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S19 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S20 | 4096 | 0.27 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S20 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S21 | 4096 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S21 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S22 | 4096 | 0.67 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S22 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S23 | 4096 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S23 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S24 | 4096 | 0.59 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_S24 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_S25 | 4096 | 0.34 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 2.00 |


| R1FLMB_S25 | 4096 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1LMB_RS1 | 4096 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS1 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS2 | 4096 | 0.34 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS2 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS3 | 4096 | 0.17 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS3 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS4 | 4096 | 0.41 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS4 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS5 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS5 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS6 | 4096 | 0.27 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS6 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS 7 | 4096 | 0.14 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS7 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS8 | 4096 | 0.15 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS8 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS9 | 4096 | 0.27 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS9 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS10 | 4096 | 0.38 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS10 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS11 | 4096 | 0.24 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS11 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS12 | 4096 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS12 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS13 | 4096 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS13 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS14 | 4096 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS14 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS15 | 4096 | 0.36 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS15 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |


| R1LMB_RS16 | 4096 | 0.40 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1FLMB_RS16 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS17 | 4096 | 0.30 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS17 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS18 | 4096 | 0.37 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS18 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS19 | 4096 | 0.10 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS19 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS20 | 4096 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS20 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS21 | 4096 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS21 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS22 | 4096 | 0.49 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS22 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS23 | 4096 | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS23 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS24 | 4096 | 0.42 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS24 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_RS25 | 4096 | 0.25 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FLMB_RS25 | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LMB_IMM | 4096 | 3.86 | 4.02 | 0.00 | 24.00 |
| R1LMB_IMM_D | 4096 | 4.57 | 4.27 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| R1LMB_RECL | 4096 | 2.76 | 3.98 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| R1LMB_RECL_D | 4096 | 3.21 | 4.27 | 0.00 | 25.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1LMB S1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3098 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 275 |
| 2.Exact answer | 723 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S1 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S2 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 2897 |


| 1.Approximate answer | 183 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.Exact answer | 1016 |
| Value | R1FLMB S2 |
| 0. Not imputed | $3 \overline{766}$ |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S3 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3514 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 52 |
| 2.Exact answer | 530 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S3 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S 4 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2870 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 49 |
| 2.Exact answer | 1177 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S4 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S5 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3276 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 249 |
| 2.Exact answer | 571 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S5 |
| 0. Not imputed | $3 \overline{766}$ |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S6 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3170 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 210 |
| 2.Exact answer | 716 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S6 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S7 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | $3 \overline{6} 30$ |
| 1.Approximate answer | 73 |
| 2.Exact answer | 393 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S7 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S8 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3668 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 99 |
| 2.Exact answer | 329 |
| Value--- | R1FLMB S8 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |


| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S9 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | $3 \overline{313}$ |
| 1.Approximate answer | 157 |
| 2.Exact answer | 626 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S9 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S10 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 2949 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 116 |
| 2.Exact answer | 1031 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S10 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB S11 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3413 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 36 |
| 2.Exact answer | 647 |
| Value | R1FLMB S11 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S12 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | $\overline{3} 929$ |
| 1.Approximate answer | 65 |
| 2.Exact answer | 102 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S12 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S13 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3802 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 168 |
| 2.Exact answer | 126 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S13 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S14 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 4028 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 24 |
| 2.Exact answer | 44 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S14 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value | R1LMB_S15 |


| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 2838 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.Approximate answer | 346 |
| 2.Exact answer | 912 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S15 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S16 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2901 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 347 |
| 2.Exact answer | 848 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S16 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value | R1LMB_S17 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3044 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 337 |
| 2.Exact answer | 715 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S17 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S18 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2647 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 513 |
| 2.Exact answer | 936 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S18 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S19 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | $\overline{3} 751$ |
| 1.Approximate answer | 115 |
| 2.Exact answer | 230 |
| Value | R1FLMB S19 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB S20 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3390 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 295 |
| 2.Exact answer | 411 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_S20 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S21 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | $\overline{3} 891$ |
| 1.Approximate answer | 41 |
| 2.Exact answer | 164 |
| Value-- | R1FLMB_S21 |


| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S22 |
| O.Not correct, not mentioned | 2681 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 74 |
| 2.Exact answer | 1341 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S22 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_S23 |
| O. Not correct, not mentioned | 3310 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 212 |
| 2.Exact answer | 574 |
| Value | R1FLMB S23 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value | R1LMB_S24 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 2681 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 398 |
| 2.Exact answer | 1017 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S24 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value | R1LMB_S25 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3334 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 137 |
| 2.Exact answer | 625 |
| Value | R1FLMB_S25 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3766 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 209 |
| 4.Refused | 86 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS1 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3386 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 176 |
| 2.Exact answer | 534 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS1 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB RS2 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | $\overline{3} 29$ |
| 1.Approximate answer | 123 |
| 2.Exact answer | 644 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_RS2 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |


| Value | R1LMB RS3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3739 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 22 |
| 2.Exact answer | 335 |
| Value | R1FLMB RS3 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value | R1LMB_RS 4 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3244 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 29 |
| 2.Exact answer | 823 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS4 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS5 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3535 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 137 |
| 2.Exact answer | 424 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_RS5 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS6 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3473 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 122 |
| 2.Exact answer | 501 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS6 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS 7 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3797 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 43 |
| 2.Exact answer | 256 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_RS7 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS8 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3755 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 70 |
| 2.Exact answer | 271 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_RS8 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS 9 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3494 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 99 |
| 2.Exact answer | 503 |


| Valu | R1FLMB_RS9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS10 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3280 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 86 |
| 2.Exact answer | 730 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS10 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value | R1LMB RS11 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3591 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 14 |
| 2.Exact answer | 491 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS11 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS12 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3974 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 34 |
| 2.Exact answer | 88 |
| Value | R1FLMB RS12 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value | R1LMB_RS13 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3907 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 108 |
| 2.Exact answer | 81 |
| Value- | R1FLMB RS13 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value | R1LMB_RS14 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 4025 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 16 |
| 2.Exact answer | 55 |
| Value | R1FLMB RS14 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS15 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3237 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 227 |
| 2.Exact answer | 632 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS15 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |


| Valu | R1LMB_RS16 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3168 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 238 |
| 2.Exact answer | 690 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_RS16 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS17 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3383 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 214 |
| 2.Exact answer | 499 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS17 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value | R1LMB_RS18 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3183 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 299 |
| 2.Exact answer | 614 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS18 |
| O. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS19 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3863 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 71 |
| 2.Exact answer | 162 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS19 |
| O. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4. Refused | 349 |
| Value | R1LMB_RS20 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3650 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 192 |
| 2.Exact answer | 254 |
| Value | R1FLMB RS20 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS21 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3941 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 32 |
| 2.Exact answer | 123 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS21 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value | R1LMB_RS22 |
| 0 . Not correct, not mentioned | 3078 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 33 |
| 2.Exact answer | 985 |


| Value | R1FLMB_RS22 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS23 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3510 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 166 |
| 2.Exact answer | 420 |
| Value- | R1FLMB_RS23 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS24 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3087 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 286 |
| 2.Exact answer | 723 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS24 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |
| Value- | R1LMB_RS25 |
| 0. Not correct, not mentioned | 3539 |
| 1.Approximate answer | 96 |
| 2.Exact answer | 461 |
| Value | R1FLMB_RS25 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 152 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 349 |

## How Constructed

RWLMB_S1 - RwLMB_S25 indicate how well the respondent remembered the robber story's points immediately after hearing it. They are coded as follows: 0.Not correct, not mentioned, 1.Approximate answer, 2. Exact answer.

RwLMB_IMM and RwLMB_IMM_D are scores based on the robbery story that was read aloud to the respondent. After the story was read, the respondent was asked to retell as much of the story that he/she could remember. Before the story was read, the interviewer stated that the respondent should listen carefully as he/she will be asked to retell the story with as many details as the respondent can remember.

RwLMB_IMM indicates the number of exact story points the respondent was able to recall when retelling a story immediately after it was read aloud to him/her. Scores range from 0-24.

RwLMB_IMM_D indicates the total score of exact story points and approximate answers of RwLMB_S1 RwLMB_S25. Exact answer is counted as 1 and approximate answer is counted as 0.5 . Scores range from $0-25$.

RwLMB_RS1 - RwLMB_RS10 indicate how well the respondent remembered the story points when there was a delay between the story and interview questions. They are coded as follows: 0.Not correct, not mentioned, 1.Approximate answer, 2.Exact answer.

RwLMB RECL and RwLMB RECL D provide aggregate measures of how well respondents remembered the robbery story's plot after some time has elapsed. As a prompt for respondents to start recalling the story, the interviewer reminded the respondents that they had been read aloud 2 different stories earlier in the survey, and at that time, they had been asked to retell the stories. The interviewer then asked if the respondents remembered anything from the stories at this later point in time. Respondents are first asked to think back to the first story and then the second story to recall as much as possible.

For the robbery story, RwLMB_RECL indicates the number of exact story points the respondent was able to recall about the robbery story when there was a delay between hearing the story and having to recall it. Scores range from 0-25.

RwLMB_RECL_D indicates the total score of the exact story points and approximate answers given in RwLMB_RS1 - RwLMB_RS25. An exact answer is counted as 1 and an approximate answer is counted as 0.5 . Scores range from 0-25.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing code (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFLMB_S1 - RwFLMB_S10 and RwFLMB_RS1 - RwFLMB_RS10 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In DAD, the stories' character names and places were changed so that the Indian population could relate to them. In addition, a score of 0.5 is assigned in the DAD for approximate answers.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

LM1B_1S1
LM1B_1S10
LM1B_1S101
LM1B_1S102
LM1B_1S103
LM1B_1S104
LM1B_-1S105
LM1B_1S106
LM1B_1S107
LM1B_1S108
LM1B_1S109
LM1B_1S11
LM1B_-1S110
LM1B_1S111
LM1B_1S112
LM1B_1S113
LM1B_-1S114
LM1B_1S115
LM1B_1S116
LM1B_1S117
LM1B_-1S118
LM1B_1S119
LM1B_-1S12
LM1B_1S120
LM1B_-1S121
LM1B_1S122
LM1B_1S123
LM1B_1S124
LM1B_-1S125
LM1B_1S13
LM1B_1S14
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 1 Manju
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 10 at the polic
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 101 Manju
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 102 Rani
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 103 From East
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 104 Delhi
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 105 employed
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 106 as a cook
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 107 in a school
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 108 canteen
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 109 reported
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 11 station
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 110 at the poli
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 111 station
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 112 that she ha
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 113 at Ramnagar
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 114 the night b
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 115 and robbed
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 116 of two hund
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 117 She had fou
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 118 small child
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 119 the rent wa
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 12 that she had
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 120 and they ha
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 121 for two day
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 122 The police,
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 123 touched by
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 124 took up a c
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 125 for her
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 13 at Ramnagar
LM1B - Recall of Story Points 14 the night be


LM2B_1C_S3
LM2B_1C_S4
LM2B_-1C-S5
LM2B_1C_S6
LM2B_1C-S7
LM2B_1C_S8
LM2B_1C_S

```
Recall of Story 2 Points 3 From East
Recall of Story 2 Points 4 Delhi
Recall of Story 2 Points 5 employed
Recall of Story 2 Points 6 as a cook
Recall of Story 2 Points 7 in a school
Recall of Story 2 Points 8 canteen
Recall of Story 2 Points 9 reported
```


## Logical Memory: Recall Problem

| Wave Variable | Label | Type | Categ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1LOG_RCMIX | rllog_rcmix:w1 R logical memory recall-mix up | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLOG_RCMIX | rlflog_rcmix:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1LOG_WRON | rllog_wron:w1 R logical memory recall-wrong story | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1LOG_RCMIX | 4096 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FLOG_RCMIX | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1LOG_WRON | 4096 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FLOG_WRON | 4096 | 0.34 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes



## How Constructed

RwLOG_RCMIX indicates whether the respondent confused or mixed up story points from story 1 and story 2.
RwLOG_WRON indicates whether the respondent mentioned story points that did not belong to either story.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFLOG RCMIX and RwFLOG WRON are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, $2 . \mathrm{Missing}$, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

```
No differences known.
```


## Differences with HRS HCAP

These questions were not asked in the HRS HCAP.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

These questions were not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| LM2_IWERCKPT1 | Iwer Checkpoint 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LM2_IWERCKPT2 | Iwer Checkpoint 2 |

## Logical Memory: Recognition (0-15)

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1LOG_RECO | r1log_reco:w1 R logical memory recognition score(0-15) |
| 1 | R1FLOG_RECO | r1flog_reco:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1LOG_RECO | 4096 | 7.46 | 3.14 | 0.00 | 15.00 |
| R1FLOG_RECO | 4096 | 0.37 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value-------------------------------------- | R1FLOG_RECO |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 3532 |
| 1. Dont know | 180 |
| 2. Missing | 99 |
| 4. Refused | 285 |

## How Constructed

RwLOG RECO is a score based on the respondent's number of correct answers when asked a series of questions about the second story that had been read to him/her earlier. The interviewer does not specify which story the second story was. Scores range from 0-15. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFLOG_RECO is a flag variable, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variable is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, and $4 . R e f u s e d . ~ T h e ~$ original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

Both HRS HCAP and DAD use 15-point scores, but in DAD, the stories' character names and places are changed so that the Indian population can relate to it.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| LM2B_10 | Report Robbery at Police Station |
| :--- | :--- |
| LM2B_10A | LM2b_10 Score |
| LM2B_11 | Robbed of 450 rupees |
| LM2B_11A | LM2b_11 Score |
| LM2B_12 | No Food for 4 Days |
| LM2B_12A | LM2b_12 Score |
| LM2B_13 | Was Rent Due |
| LM2B_13A | LM2b_13 Score |


| LM2B_14 | Police Catch Thief |
| :--- | :--- |
| LM2B_14A | LM2b_14 Score |
| LM2B_15 | Police Feel Sorry |
| LM2B_15A | LM2b_15 Score |
| LM2B_16 | Police Take Up Collection |
| LM2B_16A | LM2b_16 Score |
| LM2B_2 | Womans Name |
| LM2B_2A | LM2b_2 Score |
| LM2B_3 | Story location |
| LM2B_3A | LM2b_3 Score |
| LM2B_4 | Cook_ |
| LM2B_4A | LM2b_4 Score |
| LM2B_5 | Work in Restaurant |
| LM2B_5A | LM2b_5 Score |
| LM2B_6 | Have Four Children |
| LM2B_6A | LM2b_6 Score |
| LM2B_7 | Children Teens |
| LM2B_7A | LM2b_7 Score |
| LM2B_8 | Robbery location |
| LM2B_8A | LM2b_8 Score |
| LM2B_9 | Report Robbery 2 Nights Before |
| LM2B_9A | LM2b_9 Score |

## TICS

Wave Variable

1 R1SCIS

1 R1FSCIS
1 R1COCONUT
1 R1FCOCONUT

1 R1PRIME

1 R1FPRIME
1 R1TICS_SCORE

Label
rlscis:w1 R cognition scissors(0-1)
rlfscis:impflag w1 r whether imputed value
rlcoconut:w1 $R$ cognition coconut(0-1)
r1fcoconut:impflag w1 r whether imputed value
rlprime:w1 R cognition Prime Minister(0-1)
rlfprime:impflag w1 r whether imputed value
r1tics_score:w1 R TICS 3-item score(0-3)

Type
Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ

Categ
Categ
Categ

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1SCIS | 4096 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FSCIS | 4096 | 0.05 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1COCONUT | 4096 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FCOCONUT | 4096 | 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1PRIME | 4096 | 0.61 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FPRIME | 4096 | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1TICS_SCORE | 4096 | 2.02 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 3.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1SCIS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Incorrect | 658 |
| 1. Correct | 3438 |
| Value | R1FSCIS |
| 0. Not imputed | 3995 |
| 1. Dont know | 63 |
| 2.Missing | 7 |
| 4.Refused | 31 |
| Value | R1COCONUT |
| 0. Incorrect | 1774 |
| 1. Correct | 2322 |
| Value | R1FCOCONUT |
| O.Not imputed | 3737 |
| 1. Dont know | 304 |
| 2.Missing | 7 |
| 4.Refused | 48 |
| Value | R1PRIME |
| 0. Incorrect | 1582 |
| 1. Correct | 2514 |
| Value | R1FPRIME |


| 0. Not imputed | 3239 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dont know | 799 |
| 2.Missing | 7 |
| 4.Refused | 51 |
| Value | \|R1TICS_SCORE |
| 0 | 257 |
| 1 | 861 |
| 2 | 1521 |
| 3 | 1457 |

## How Constructed

RwSCIS indicates whether a respondent can name the item that people usually use to cut paper; the correct answers are scissors or shears.

RwCOCONUT indicates whether a respondent can name the fruit/thing that has a thick brown fibrous cover and water inside, with the correct answer being coconut.

RwPRIME indicates whether a respondent can name the current Prime Minister of India, with the correct answer being Modi.

RwSCIS, RwCOCONUT, and RwPRIME are assigned a 1 if the respondent answers correctly and a if they do not answer correctly. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing codes (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwTICS SCORE indicates the number of correct responses between RwSCIS, RwCOCONUT, and RwPRIME. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFSCIS, RwFCOCONUT, and RwFPRIME are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

HRS HCAP asked questions about Scissors or Shears, a cactus, and the President of the United states, while DAD asked questions about Scissors or Shears, a Coconut, and the Prime Minister of India.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

```
HT102_SCISSORS
Cut paper
HT103 COCONUT NAME COCONUT
HT104 PM Current Prime Minister
```


## Digit Span

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1DS_FOR | r1ds_for:w1 R digit span forward (0-1) |$\quad$ Cype

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1DS_FOR | 4096 | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FDS_FOR | 4096 | 0.18 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1DS_BACK | 4096 | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FDS_BACK | 4096 | 0.22 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Valu | R1DS_FOR |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Incorrect | 2990 |
| 1. Correct | 1106 |
| Value | R1FDS_FOR |
| 0. Not imputed | 3875 |
| 1. Dont know | 46 |
| 2.Missing | 7 |
| 4.Refused | 168 |
| Value | R1DS_BACK |
| 0. Incorrect | 2929 |
| 1. Correct | 1167 |
| Value | R1FDS_BACK |
| 0. Not imputed | 3813 |
| 1. Dont know | 75 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 4.Refused | 200 |

## How Constructed

RwDS_FOR indicates whether the respondent was able to repeat 5 digits correctly in forward order after the digits were read aloud by the interviewer. RwDS BACK indicates whether the respondent was able to repeat 3 digits correctly in backwards order after the digits were read aloud by the interviewer. RwDS_FOR and RwDS_BACK are assigned a 1 if correctly repeated and a 0 if incorrectly repeated.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFDS_FOR and RwFDS_BACK are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, $1 . D o n ' t ~ k n o w, ~ 2 . M i s s i n g, ~$ and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

## These tests are not included in the HRS HCAP.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

## DS001

DS002
Digits repeated in forward order Digits in Backward order

## Verbal Fluency

| Wave Variable | Label |  | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1VERBAL | rlverbal:w1 R verbal fluency:animal naming-correct | Cont |
| 1 | R1FVERBAL | rlfverbal:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1VERBAL_INC | rlverbal_inc:w1 R verbal fluency:animal naming-incorrect | Cont |
| 1 | R1FVERBAL_IN | r1fverbal_inc:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1VERBAL_PRB | r1verbal_prb:w1 R verbal fluency:animal naming-problem | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1VERBAL | 4096 | 11.32 | 4.57 | 0.00 | 32.00 |
| R1FVERBAL | 4096 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1VERBAL_INC | 4096 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 16.00 |
| R1FVERBAL_IN | 4096 | 0.13 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1VERBAL_PRB | 4004 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes



## How Constructed

RwVERBAL indicates the number of correct animals that the respondent names. The respondent has 60 seconds to name as many and as fast as they can. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m). We exclude some outliers and top-code the value to 32.

RWVERBAL_INC indicates the number of incorrect animals the respondent names in the 60 seconds window. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwVERBAL_PRB indicates whether any problems occurred while the respondent was naming animals. A 1 is assigned if there was an interruption during the 60 second response period, a technical/computer problem,
the respondent did not understand the task, or another issue occurred. A 0 is assigned if there were no issues. Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r).

RwFVERBAL and RwFVERBAL_IN are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, $1 . D o n ' t ~ k n o w, ~ 2 . M i s s i n g, ~$ and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In HRS HCAP, repeated animals are counted as incorrect, while in DAD, the total animals named, the number of incorrect names, and the number of repetitions are recorded separately.

The response period in both the HRS HCAP and LASI-DAD is 60 seconds. However, in the HRS HCAP, RwVERBAL_PRB is assigned a value of 1 if there was an interruption during the 60 second response period, the response period exceeded 60 seconds, a technical/computer problem occurred, the respondent did not understand the task, or another issue occurred. The LASI-DAD does not ask whether the response period exceeded 60 seconds.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

RF103_ANIMALSANSWERS
RF105_ANIMALNUMINCORRECT
RF106_ANIMALPROBLEMSS1
RF106_ANIMALPROBLEMSS3
RF106_ANIMALPROBLEMSS 4
RF106_ANIMALPROBLEMSS5

TOTAL ANIMAL ANSWERS
NUMBER OF INCORRECT ANIMAL NAMES GIVEN
PROBLEMS THAT OCCURRED WHILE NAMING ANIMALS 1 PROBLEMS THAT OCCURRED WHILE NAMING ANIMALS 3 PROBLEMS THAT OCCURRED WHILE NAMING ANIMALS 4 PROBLEMS THAT OCCURRED WHILE NAMING ANIMALS 5

## Symbol Cancellation

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1SC_ANW | r1sc_anw:w1 R symbol cancellations | Cont |
| 1 | R1FSC_ANW | rlfsc_anw:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1SC_WR | rlsc_wr:w1 R symbol cancellation wrong | Cont |
| 1 | R1FSC_WR | rlfsc_wr:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1SC_SCORE | r1sc_score:w1 R symbol cancellation score | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1SC_ANW | 4096 | 8.34 | 8.13 | 0.00 | 58.00 |
| R1FSC_ANW | 4096 | 0.14 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1SC_WR | 4096 | 2.17 | 3.42 | 0.00 | 27.00 |
| R1FSC_WR | 4096 | 0.13 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 7.00 |
| R1SC_SCORE | 4096 | 6.89 | 8.32 | 0.00 | 57.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| , | R1FSC ANW |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not imputed | $\overline{3} 937$ |
| 1. Dont know | 24 |
| 2.Missing | 27 |
| 4.Refused | 93 |
| 7.No score | 9 |
| 8.Bad image | 6 |
| Value | R1FSC_WR |
| 0. Not imputed | 3939 |
| 1. Dont know | 30 |
| 2.Missing | 26 |
| 4.Refused | 92 |
| 7.No score | 9 |

## How Constructed

RwSC_ANW, RwSC_WR, and RwSC_SCORE pertain to a task in which respondents are asked to find figures that
 can and draw a circle around each matching figure. The interviewer demonstrates to the respondent how the circle should be drawn in the middle of the page. The respondent is instructed to start from the top left corner of the page, go line by line, and work as fast as he/she can until the interviewer says to stop. The interviewer starts counting when the respondent circles the first figure and stops the respondent after 60 seconds. Circling at random is not allowed; if this starts to happen, the respondents are reminded to go from left to right, line by line.

RwSC_ANW indicates the number of symbol cancellations. RwSC_WR indicates the number of incorrect symbol cancellations. RwSC SCORE indicates the difference between the number of correct and incorrect cancelations; it is coded so that it is never less than 0 . Cases where the respondent's uploaded images are blurry and unreadable are assigned special missing (.b). If the respondent's score is not yet

```
available, special missing (.z) is assigned. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d).
Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).
RwFSC ANW and RwFSC WR are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned
imputed value. RwFSC_ANW is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, 7.No
Score, and 8.Bad image. RwFSC WR is coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused,
and 7.No score. The original missing value is otherwise included.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

HRS HCAP uses a Digit Symbol test. As most of the age 60 and above population in India is illiterate, DAD replaced the Digit Symbol test with the Symbol Cancellation test, an assessment that does not rely on literacy. The Symbol Cancellation test was taken from the "Mexican Health and Aging Study (MHAS)".

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

```
SC001 Phase 1
SC002 Phase 1 wrong
SC1_CORRECT
SC1_INCORRECT
Phase 1
correctly circled
incorrectly circled
```


## Constructional Praxis

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1CP_CIRCLE | r1cp_circle:w1 R circle drawing score(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCP_CIRCLE | rlfcp_circle:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CP_RECTAN | rlcp_rectan:w1 R drew a rectangle(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCP_RECTAN | rlfcp_rectan:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CP_CUBE | r1cp_cube:w1 R drew a cube (0-4) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCP_CUBE | rlfcp_cube:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CP_DIAMON | r1cp_diamon:w1 R drew a diamond(0-3) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCP_DIAMON | rlfcp_diamon:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CP_SCORE | rlcp_score:w1 R Constructional Praxis score(0-11) | Categ |
| 1 | R1CPR_CIRCLE | rlcpr_circle:w1 R drew a circle-recall(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCPR_CIRCL | rlfcpr_circle:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CPR_RECTAN | rlcpr_rectan:w1 R drew a rectangle-recall(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCPR_RECTA | rlfcpr_rectan:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CPR_CUBE | rlcpr_cube:w1 R drew a cube-recall(0-4) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCPR_CUBE | rlfcpr_cube:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CPR_DIAMON | rlcpr_diamon:w1 R drew a diamond-recall(0-3) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCPR_DIAMO | rlfcpr_diamon:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CPR_SCORE | rlcpr_score:w1 R Constructional Praxis score-recall(0-11) | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1CP_CIRCLE | 4096 | 1.85 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCP_CIRCLE | 4096 | 0.31 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1CP_RECTAN | 4096 | 1.28 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCP_RECTAN | 4096 | 0.34 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1CP_CUBE | 4096 | 0.84 | 1.45 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FCP_CUBE | 4096 | 0.38 | 1.07 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1CP_DIAMON | 4096 | 0.33 | 1.36 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FCP_DIAMON | 4096 | 5.59 | 3.25 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1CP_SCORE | 4096 |  |  | 11.00 |  |


| R1CPR_CIRCLE | 4096 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1FCPR_CIRCL | 4096 | 0.47 | 1.24 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1CPR_RECTAN | 4096 | 0.63 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCPR_RECTA | 4096 | 0.50 | 1.27 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1CPR_CUBE | 4096 | 0.23 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FCPR_CUBE | 4096 | 0.58 | 1.34 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1CPR_DIAMON | 4096 | 0.73 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FCPR_DIAMO | 4096 | 2.64 | 2.68 | 0.00 | 8.00 |
| R1CPR_SCORE | 4096 |  |  | 11.00 |  |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Valu | R1CP_CIRCLE |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 298 |
| 1 | 28 |
| 2 | 3770 |
| Value | R1FCP_CIRCLE |
| O. Not imputed | 3666 |
| 1. Dont know | 10 |
| 2.Missing | 154 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 129 |
| 4.Refused | 131 |
| 8. Bad image | 6 |
| Value | R1CP_RECTAN |
| 0 | 1275 |
| 1 | 398 |
| 2 | 2423 |
| Value | R1FCP RECTAN |
| 0. Not imputed | 3634 |
| 1. Dont know | 15 |
| 2.Missing | 151 |
| 3.Not Assessed | 137 |
| 4.Refused | 152 |
| 8.Bad image | 7 |
| Value | R1CP_CUBE |
| 0 | 2959 |
| 1 | 90 |
| 2 | 251 |
| 3 | 323 |
| 4 | 473 |
| Value | R1FCP_CUBE |
| O. Not imputed | 3584 |
| 1. Dont know | 19 |
| 2.Missing | 145 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 162 |
| 4.Refused | 181 |
| 8. Bad image | 5 |
| Value | R1CP_DIAMON |
| 0 | 1606 |
| 1 | 69 |
| 2 | 708 |
| 3 | 1713 |


| Value | R1FCP_DIAMON |
| :---: | :---: |
| O.Not imputed | 3650 |
| 1. Dont know | 11 |
| 2.Missing | 152 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 140 |
| 4.Refused | 136 |
| 8. Bad image | 7 |
| Value | R1CP_SCORE |
| 0 | 278 |
| 1 | 22 |
| 2 | 746 |
| 3 | 148 |
| 4 | 524 |
| 5 | 200 |
| 6 | 446 |
| 7 | 682 |
| 8 | 143 |
| 9 | 225 |
| 10 | 286 |
| 11 | 396 |
| Value | \|R1CPR_CIRCLE |
| 0 | 1927 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 2 | 2162 |
| Value- | \|R1FCPR_CIRCL |
| 0. Not imputed | 3466 |
| 1. Dont know | 76 |
| 2.Missing | 135 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 215 |
| 4.Refused | 176 |
| 8. Bad image | 28 |
| Value | \|R1CPR_RECTAN |
| 0 | 2669 |
| 1 | 278 |
| 2 | 1149 |
| Value | \| R1FCPR_RECTA |
| O.Not imputed | 3439 |
| 1. Dont know | 83 |
| 2.Missing | 105 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 249 |
| 4.Refused | 193 |
| 8. Bad image | 27 |
| Value | R1CPR CUBE |
| 0 | 3779 |
| 1 | 29 |
| 2 | 80 |
| 3 | 84 |
| 4 | 124 |
| Value | \| R1FCPR_CUBE |
| 0. Not imputed | 3336 |
| 1. Dont know | 94 |
| 2.Missing | 74 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 320 |
| 4.Refused | 248 |
| 8.Bad image | 24 |
| Value | \|R1CPR_DIAMON |
| 0 | 2996 |
| 1 | 26 |
| 2 | 265 |
| 3 | 809 |


| 0. Not imputed | 3424 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dont know | 88 |
| 2.Missing | 136 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 262 |
| 4.Refused | 157 |
| 8.Bad image | 29 |
| Value | R1CPR_SCORE |
| 0 | 1412 |
| 1 | 73 |
| 2 | 1018 |
| 3 | 190 |
| 4 | 464 |
| 5 | 281 |
| 6 | 181 |
| 7 | 290 |
| 8 | 53 |
| 9 | 45 |
| 10 | 37 |
| 11 | 52 |

## How Constructed

The following variables pertain to a series of questions asking the respondent to draw a shape. The respondent is asked to draw a circle, overlapping rectangles, a cube, and a diamond. Respondents are presented with each shape and asked to draw that shape freehand. The respondent is given one or two minutes to draw the figure with a pencil to allow for erasing errors. The interviewer is allowed to repeat the instructions once if the respondent does not understand the first time. If the respondent cannot draw the figure in the allotted time, the interviewer is instructed to reassure the respondent and select "Respondent Cannot Draw". Multiple self-starts were allowed but repeated attempts were not encouraged.

RwCP_CIRCLE indicates whether a respondent successfully drew a circle. RwCP CIRCLE ranges from 0-2. If the respondent drew a circular shape and drew a closed circle (within 1/8'r), 2 is coded. If the respondent drew a circular shape but did not draw a closed circle (within 1/8'r), 1 is coded. If the respondent did not draw a circular shape, 0 is coded.

RwCP_RECTANGLE indicates whether a respondent successfully drew two overlapping rectangles. RwCP_RECTANGLE ranges from 0-2. If the respondent drew two 4-sided, overlapping figures that resembled the original picture, a 2 is coded. If the respondent drew two 4 -sided figures but the overlapping sections did not resemble the original picture, a 1 is coded. If the respondent did not draw two 4-sided figures, a 0 is coded.

RwCP CUBE indicates whether a respondent successfully drew a cube. RwCP CUBE ranges from 0-4. If the respōndent drew a 3 -dimensional figure, drew the frontal face correctly oriented (either left or right), drew the internal lines correctly, and drew the opposite sides parallel with each other (within 10 degrees), a 4 is coded. If the respondent drew a 3-dimensional figure, drew the frontal face correctly oriented (either left or right), and drew the internal lines correctly, a 3 is coded. If the respondent drew a 3-dimensional figure and drew the frontal face correctly oriented (either left or right), a 2 is coded. If the respondent drew a 3 -dimensional figure, a 1 is coded. If the respondent did not draw a $3-$ dimensional figure, a 0 is coded.

RwCP_DIAMOND indicates whether a respondent successfully drew a diamond. RwCP_DIAMOND ranges from 0-3. If
 approximately equal length, $a 3$ is assigned. If the respondent drew four sides, closed all 4 angles of the figure (within $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ ), but did not draw sides of approximately equal length, a 2 is assigned. If the respondent drew four sides but did not close all 4 angles of the figure (within 1/8'r), a 1 is assigned. If the respondent did not draw a 4 -sided figure, a 0 is assigned.

RwCP_SCORE provides the total score between RwCP_CIRCLE, RwCP_RECTANGLE, RwCP_CUBE, and RwCP_DIAMOND.
Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Cases where the uploaded respondent's images were blurry were assigned special missing (.b). Cases where scores are not yet available are assigned special missing (.z). If the respondent cannot draw, special missing (.n) is assigned as "Not Assessed". "Not Assessed" option was marked only if the
respondent has some physical disability that prevented him/her from performing the test. Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

The following variables pertain to a series of questions asking the respondent to draw from memory the same figures that he/she previously drew in the interview: a circle, two overlapping rectangles, a cube, and a diamond. The respondent is given a sheet of paper to draw the shapes and allowed up to 8 minutes to draw all 4 shapes.

The results of this second batch of drawings are stored in the variables RwCPR CIRCLE, RwCPR RECTANGLE, RwCPR_CUBE, and RwCPR_DIAMOND, with the same scoring rules applied as in the first set of drawings. RwCPR_SCORE provides the total score between RwCPR_CIRCLE, RwCPR_RECTANGLE, RwCPR_CUBE, and RwCPR_DIAMOND.

RwFCP_CIRCLE, RwFCP_RECTAN, RwFCP_CUBE, RwFCP_DIAMON, RwFCPR_CIRCLE, RwFCPR_RECTAN, RwFCPR_CUBE, and RWFCPR_DIAMON are f $\bar{l}$ ag variables, ${ }^{-}$indicating $\bar{w} h e t h e r ~ t h e ~ c o r \bar{r} e s p o n d i n g ~ v a r i \overline{a b l e ~ h a s ~ a n ~ a s s i g n e d ~ i m p u t e d ~}$ value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, 4.Refused, and 8.Bad image. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In addition to HRS HCAP comparable scores, we also have more detailed scores for overlapping Rectangles and Cube.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

CEOO1
CE002
CEOO3
CEOO 4
DC001
DC002
DD001
DD002
DD003
DR001
DR002
RCE0 01
RCE002
RCE0 03
RCE004
RDC001
RDC002
RDD001
RDD002
RDD003
RDR001
RDR002

```
CP - Cube 3D - Respondent
CP - Cube face correct oriented - Respondent
CP - Cube internal lines - Respondent
CP - Cube parallel sides - Respondent
CP - Circular shape - Respondent
CP - Closed circle - Respondent
CP - Diamond draw 4 sides - Respondent
CP - Diamond close 4 angles - Respondent
CP - Diamond sides equal length - Respondent
CP - Rectangle Both 4-Sided - Respondent
CP - Rectangle overlaps - Respondent
CPR - Cube 3D - Respondent
CPR - Cube face correct oriented - Respondent
CPR - Cube internal lines - Respondent
CPR - Cube parallel sides - Respondent
CPR - Circular shape - Respondent
CPR - Closed circle - Respondent
CPR - Diamond draw 4 sides - Respondent
CPR- Diamond close 4 angles - Respondent
CPR - Diamond sides equal length - Respondent
CPR - Rectangle both 4-Sided - Respondent
CPR - Rectangle overlaps - Respondent
```


## Drawing: Clocks

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1DR_CLOCK3 | r1dr_clock3:w1 R clock drawing score(0-3) |$\quad$ Categ

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1DR_CLOCK3 | 4096 | 0.98 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FDR_CLOCK3 | 4096 | 0.39 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 8.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes



## How Constructed

RwDR_CLOCK3 is based on 3 components, specifically: 1) whether the respondent drew a closed circle, 2) whether the respondent correctly placed and ordered clock numbers on the circle, and 3) whether the respondent drew two clock hands. Scores range from 0-3. This measure is comparable with the measures from the main LASI study.

Don't know response are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Cases where the uploaded respondent's images were blurry and unreadable were assigned special missing (.b). Cases where scores are not yet available are assigned special missing (. z). If the respondent cannot draw, special missing (.n) is assigned as "Not Assessed". "Not Assessed" option was marked only if the respondent had some physical disability that prevented him/her from performing the test. Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFDR_CLOCK3 is a flag variable, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.NotAssessed, 4. Refused, and 8. Bad image. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

CKOO1
CK002
CK003
CKOO 4
CK005

```
Clock - Closed circle
Clock - Numbers placed correctly
Clock - Two clock hands
Clock - Correct time
Clock - Hr and min hands diff length
```


## CSID

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1ELBOW | rlelbow:w1 R cognition elbow(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FELBOW | rlfelbow:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1HAMMER | r1hammer:w1 R cognition hammer(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FHAMMER | rlfhammer:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1STORE | r1store:w1 R cognition store(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FSTORE | rlfstore:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1POINT | r1point:w1 R cognition point(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FPOINT | rlfpoint:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSID_SCORE | rlcsid_score:w1 R CSID 4-item score(0-4) | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1ELBOW | 4096 | 0.94 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FELBOW | 4096 | 0.09 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1HAMMER | 4096 | 0.70 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FHAMMER | 4096 | 0.10 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1STORE | 4096 | 4096 | 0.90 | 0.31 | 0.00 |
| R1FSTORE | 4096 | 0.90 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1POINT | 4096 | 3.43 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FPOINT | 0.82 | 0.00 | 4.00 |  |  |
| R1CSID_SCORE | 4096 |  | 0.00 |  |  |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1ELBOW |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Incorrect | 236 |
| 1. Correct | 3860 |
| Value | R1FELBOW |
| 0. Not imputed | 3968 |
| 1. Dont know | 28 |
| 2.Missing | 21 |
| 4.Refused | 79 |
| Value | R1HAMMER |
| 0. Incorrect | 1227 |
| 1. Correct | 2869 |
| Value | R1FHAMMER |
| 0. Not imputed | 3947 |


| 1. Dont know | \| | 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.Missing | \| | 21 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 82 |
| Value---- |  | R1STORE |
| 0. Incorrect | \| | 427 |
| 1. Correct | \| | 3669 |
| Value- |  | R1FSTORE |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 3931 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 62 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 15 |
| 4.Refused | I | 88 |
| Value- | \| | R1POINT |
| 0.Incorrect | \| | 428 |
| 1. Correct | । | 3668 |
| Value- | \| | R1FPOINT |
| 0 O. Not imputed | । | 3938 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 44 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 16 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 98 |
| Value | \| | SID_SCORE |
| 0 | I | 33 |
| 1 | \| | 115 |
| 2 | \| | 345 |
| 3 | I | 1151 |
| 4 |  | 2452 |

## How Constructed

RwELBOW indicates whether the respondent correctly identified an elbow when pointed at by the interviewer. If the respondent correctly identified the elbow, a 1 is coded. If the respondent incorrectly identified the elbow, a 0 is coded.

RwHAMMER indicates whether the respondent correctly described what one does with a hammer, with "driving a nail into something" as the correct answer. Correct answers are coded as 1 and incorrect answers are coded as 0 .

RwSTORE indicates whether the respondent correctly described where the local market/local store was located. Correct answers can be a specific address or a clear description on how to get to the market/store. Incorrect answers include just repeating the store's name or giving a very confused answer. If the respondent originally provided a vague response, interviewers are instructed to probe for a more specific answer. Correct answers are coded as 1 and incorrect answers are coded as 0 .

RwPOINT indicates whether the respondent correctly points first at a window and then at a door after being instructed to do so. If there is no window available, then the respondent is asked to point first at the ceiling and then at the door. If the respondent correctly follows the interviewer's directions, a 1 is coded. If the respondent does not point at the objects in the correct order, a 0 is coded.

RwCSID SCORE provides a score indicating the total number of correct responses between RwELBOW, RwHAMMER, RwSTORE, and RwPOINT. Scores range from 0 to 4.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFELBOW, RwFHAMMER, RwFSTORE, and RwFPOINT are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

In HRS HCAP, when respondents were asked to point first to a window and then at the door, if only a window or a door was available (not both), respondents were only asked to point at whichever object was present; a "replacement" object was not used. In DAD, if a window was not available, respondents were asked to point at the ceiling and then at the door. If the door was not available, respondents were asked to point at a window and then at the ceiling.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| CSID1_ELBOW | CSID1: Elbow |
| :--- | :--- |
| CSID2_HAMMER | CSID2: Hammer |
| CSID3_STORE | CSID3: Store |
| CSID4_POINT | CSID4: Point |

## Raven's Test

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1RV_SCORE | rlrv_score:w1 R Raven's test score (0-17) |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1RV_SCORE | 4096 | 7.48 | 3.32 | 0.00 | 17.00 |
| R1FRV_SCORE | 4096 | 0.30 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value------------------------------------------ | R1FRV_SCORE |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 3723 |
| 1. Dont know | 92 |
| 4. Refused | 281 |

## How Constructed

RwRV_SCORE indicates the number of correct answers to a series of questions where respondents were presented with incomplete images and asked to identify the missing piece for each image out of six possible options. The Raven's booklet was used for this task (item Al-B10). For the first image that was presented to respondents, interviewers pointed out that the image had a pattern with a piece cut out of it. Next, the interviewer described why four of the six options for the image's missing pieces could not be correct and stated that only one of the options was correct. The respondent was then instructed to point to the correct answer. If the respondent did not point to the correct piece, the interviewer explained the answer. After working through the first image, the respondent continues with items A2-B10 without any feedback on whether the response is correct or incorrect. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwFRV_SCORE is a flag variable, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variable is coded as follows: 0. Not imputed, 1.Don't know, and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No difference known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No difference known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| RV_A1 | RAVEN A1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| RV_A11 | RAVEN A11 |
| RV_A12 | RAVEN A12 |
| RV_A2 | RAVEN A2 |


| RV_A4 | RAVEN A4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| RV_A5 | RAVEN A5 |
| RV_A6 | RAVEN A6 |
| RV_A7 | RAVEN A7 |
| RV_A8 | RAVEN A8 |
| RV_B1 | RAVEN B1 |
| RV_B10 | RAVEN B10 |
| RV_B2 | RAVEN B2 |
| RV_B3 | RAVEN B3 |
| RV_B4 | RAVEN B4 |
| RV_B5 | RAVEN |

## Go-no-go Score

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1GO_SCORE1 | r1go_score1:w1 R Go-no-go trial 1 total score(0-10) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FGO_SCORE1 | r1fgo_score1:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1GO_SCORE2 | r1go_score2:w1 R Go-no-go trial 2 total score(0-10) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FGO_SCORE2 | r1fgo_score2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1GO_SCORE | rlgo_score:w1 R Go-no-go total score(0-20) | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1GO_SCORE1 | 4096 | 6.44 | 3.45 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| R1FGO_SCORE1 | 4096 | 0.17 | 4.93 | 0.79 | 0.00 |
| R1GO_SCORE2 | 4096 | 0.19 | 3.59 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FGO_SCORE2 | 4096 | 11.37 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| R1GO_SCORE | 4096 |  | 0.00 | 4.00 |  |

## Categorical Variable Codes



| 1. Dont know | 25 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2. Missing | 19 |
| 4.Refused |  |

## How Constructed

The following variables pertain to the Go-no-go task. This task allows for up to 3 practice trials until the subject can correctly respond (for both part 1 and part 2). This task consists of two parts. For each part, the interviewer scores each response as either correct or incorrect.

The first part goes as follows:
"In this task, when I tap the table once, like this (tap), I want you to tap twice. And when I tap twice (tap tap) I want you to tap once. Let's practice."
"So when I tap once (tap) - you tap...?" (subject taps)
"...and when I tap twice (tap tap) - you tap...?" (subject taps)
If incorrect, the interviewer is instructed to say, "Let's try again: remember when $I$ tap once, you tap twice. And when I tap twice, you tap once - here we go" (examiner repeats above practice trial).

Instructions and practice rounds can be repeated one more time if necessary, making a maximum of three times.

If correct, the interviewer is instructed to say, "OK that's right, remember - I tap once, you tap twice. I tap twice, you tap once. Here we go."

The examiner begins the test by tapping once. If the respondent responds incorrectly, the examiner stops and repeats the instructions. This will be the last time the subject can be reminded of the instructions.

There are 10 trials total. If the respondent has five consecutive incorrect responses, part 1 ends.
The second part goes as follows:
"Now I am going to change the rules. This time when I tap once, you tap twice just like before. But now, when I tap twice, you do nothing - OK? Let us practice. So, when I tap once (tap), you tap...? And when I tap twice (tap tap), you...?"

If an incorrect response is given, the interviewer says, "Let's do that again. Remember, when $I$ tap once, you tap twice, and when I tap twice, you do nothing - let's practice again (examiner taps once, then twice).

If the subject gives another incorrect response, the interviewer repeats the instructions again and allows one more practice round, making three rounds total in all.

When the subject has correctly completed the practice round(s), the interviewer says, "OK that's right. Remember, when I tap once, you tap twice. And when I tap twice, you do nothing - here we go." The examiner always begins the sequence with two taps. If the subject responds incorrectly, the examiner stops and reminds him/her of the instructions again. This is the last time a reminder can be given.

There are 10 trials total. If the respondent has five consecutive incorrect responses, part 2 ends.

RwGO_SCORE1 provides the score indicating the number of correct responses to part one. RwGO_SCORE2 provídes the score indicating the number of correct responses to part two. RwGO SCORE is the sum of RWGO_SCORE1 and RwGO_SCORE2. RWGO_SCORE ranges from 0-20. Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refuse $\bar{d}$ responses are assigned special missing (.r). Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

R1FGO SCORE1 and R1FGO SCORE2 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, $1 . D o n ' t ~ k n o w, ~ 2 . M i s s i n g, ~$ and 4.Refused. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

## This test is not included in the HRS HCAP.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

This question was not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

G1_TOTAL
G1 Total Correct
G2_TOTAL
G2_Total Correct

## Hand Sequencing Test

| Wave | Lariable | Label |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1EF_PALM | rlef_palm:w1 R able to repeat palm-up, palm-down test(0-2) |
| 1 | R1FEF_PALM | rlfef_palm:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |
| 1 | R1EF_CLENCH | rlef_clench:w1 R able to do clenched extended hand movement ( |
| 1 | R1FEF_CLENCH | rlfef_clench:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |
| 1 | R1EF_FIST | rlef_fist:w1 R able to do fist-side-palm test(0-2) |
| 1 | R1FEF_FIST | rlfef_fist:impflag w1 r whether imputed value |
| 1 | R1EF_SCORE | rlef_score:w1 R Hand Sequencing 3-item score (0-6) |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1EF_PALM | 2504 | 1.86 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FEF_PALM | 4096 | 2.02 | 2.43 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1EF_CLENCH | 2504 | 1.79 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FEF_CLENCH | 4096 | 2.03 | 2.43 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1EF_FIST | 2504 | 0.90 | 0.08 | 2.42 | 0.00 |
| R1FEF_FIST | 4096 | 4.55 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1EF_SCORE | 2504 |  | 0.00 | 5.00 |  |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Val | R1EF PALM |
| :---: | :---: |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect or did not repeat | 56 |
| 1. Correctly repeated 1-4 movements | 231 |
| 2.Correctly repeated all 5 movements | 2217 |
| Value | R1FEF_PALM |
| 0. Not imputed | 2398 |
| 1. Dont know | 19 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 51 |
| 4.Refused | 38 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1EF CLENCH |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect or did not repeat | 118 |
| 1.Correctly repeated 1-4 movements | 287 |
| 2.Correctly repeated all 5 movements | 2099 |
| Value | \|R1FEF_CLENCH |
| O. Not imputed | 2396 |
| 1. Dont know | 9 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 49 |


| 4.Refused | 42 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value- | R1EF_FIST |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect or did not repeat | 881 |
| 1. Correctly repeated 1-4 movements | 993 |
| 2. Correctly repeated all 5 movements | 630 |
| Value- | R1FEF FIST |
| 0. Not imputed | 2329 |
| 1. Dont know | 9 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 111 |
| 4.Refused | 47 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1EF_SCORE |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0 | 40 |
| 1 | 33 |
| 2 | 90 |
| 3 | 196 |
| 4 | 710 |
| 5 | 848 |
| 6 | 587 |

## How Constructed

RwEF PALM indicates how the respondent did on the Palm-Up Palm-Down task. For this task, the interviewer instructs the respondent to watch the demonstration of this task three times. Then, the respondent is asked to make the same movement with the interviewer and is then asked to perform it alone for 5 times. RWEF PALM is coded as follows: 0. Incorrect or did not repeat, 1.Correctly repeated $1-4$ movements, and 2. Correctly repeated all 5 movements.

RwEF CLENCH indicates how the respondent performed on the Clenched Extended Hand Movement task. For this task, the interviewer instructs the respondent to watch the demonstration of this task three times. Then, the respondent is asked to make the same movement with the interviewer, and then asked to perform it alone for 5 times. RwEF_CLENCH is coded as follows: 0.Incorrect or did not repeat, $1 . C o r r e c t l y ~ r e p e a t e d ~$ 1-4 movements, and 2 . Correctly repeated all 5 movements.

RwEF_FIST indicates how the respondent did on the Fist-Edge-Palm task. For this task, the interviewer instructs the respondent to watch the demonstration of this task three times. Then, the respondent is asked to make the same movement with the interviewer, and then asked to perform it alone for 5 times. RWEF_FIST is coded as follows: 0.Incorrect or did not repeat, 1.Correctly repeated $1-4$ movements, and 2. Correctly repeated all 5 movements.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Special missing (.x) is assigned if not in phase/wave. If the respondent cannot perform the hand movements, special missing (.n) is assigned as "Not Assessed". "Not Assessed" option was marked only if the respondent has some physical disability, which prevents him/her from performing the test. Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).

RwEF_SCORE indicates a summary score between RwEF_PALM, RwEF_CLENCH, and RwEF_FIST. Scores range from 06.

These questions were asked starting phase 2 data collection.
RwFEF_PALM, RwFEF_CLENCH and RwFEF_FIST are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, 4.Refused, and 5.Not in phase/wave. The original missing value is otherwise included.

Cross Wave Differences in DAD

```
These questions were asked starting phase 2 data collection.
```


## Differences with HRS HCAP

These questions were not asked in the HRS HCAP.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

These questions were not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| EF100B | Palm-up Palm-down |
| :--- | :--- |
| EF101B | Clenched Extended Hand movements |
| EF102B | fist-side-palm |

## Token Test

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1TT_CRCL | r1tt_crcl:w1 circle: R able to identify and touch(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FTT_CRCL | rlftt_crcl:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TT_SQR | rltt_sqr:w1 yellow square: $R$ able to identify and touch(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1FTT_SQR | rlftt_sqr:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TT_DMND | rltt_dmnd:w1 large diamond: R able to identify and touch(0-1 | Categ |
| 1 | R1FTT_DMND | r1ftt_dmnd:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TT_BLCKCRL | rltt_blckcrl:w1 black circle,black diamond: R able to identi | Categ |
| 1 | R1FTT_BLCKCR | rlftt_blckcrl:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TT_BLSQR | rltt_blsqr:w1 blue square,yellow square: $R$ able to identify | Categ |
| 1 | R1FTT_BLSQR | rlftt_blsqr:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TT_YLDMND | rltt_yldmnd:w1 yellow diamond,blue circle: R able to identif | Categ |
| 1 | R1FTT_YLDMN | rlftt_yldmnd:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TT_YLSQR | rltt_ylsqr:w1 yellow square, black circle: $R$ able to identify | Categ |
| 1 | R1FTT_YLSQR | rlftt_ylsqr:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1TT_SCORE | r1tt_score:w1 R Token Test 7-item score (0-7) | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Maximum


| R1TT_YLSQR | 2504 | 0.60 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1FTT_YLSQR | 4096 | 2.08 | 2.42 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1TT_SCORE | 2504 | 4.28 | 1.93 | 0.00 | 7.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Val | R1TT_CRCL |
| :---: | :---: |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 173 |
| 1.Yes | 2331 |
| Value- | R1FTT_CRCL |
| 0. Not imputed | 2344 |
| 1. Dont know | 26 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 67 |
| 4.Refused | 59 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value- | R1TT_SQR |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0 . No | 627 |
| 1.Yes | 1877 |
| Value- | R1FTT SQR |
| 0. Not imputed | 2346 |
| 1. Dont know | 20 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 69 |
| 4.Refused | 61 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1TT_DMND |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0 . No | 931 |
| 1.Yes | 1573 |
| Value | R1FTT_DMND |
| 0. Not imputed | 2330 |
| 1. Dont know | 26 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 77 |
| 4.Refused | 63 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1TT_BLCKCRL |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 1167 |
| 1.Yes | 1337 |
| Value | R1FTT_BLCKCR |
| 0. Not imputed | 2330 |
| 1. Dont know | 22 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 80 |
| 4.Refused | 64 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1TT_BLSQR |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0 . No | 1434 |
| 1.Yes | 1070 |
| Value | R1FTT_BLSQR |
| 0. Not imputed | 2331 |
| 1. Dont know | 23 |


| 2.Missing | 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3. Not Assessed | 79 |
| 4. Refused | 63 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1TT_YLDMND |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0 . No | 1488 |
| 1.Yes | 1016 |
| Value- | R1FTT_YLDMN |
| 0. Not imputed | 2334 |
| 1. Dont know | 22 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 74 |
| 4. Refused | 66 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1TT_YLSQR |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 1001 |
| 1.Yes | 1503 |
| Value- | R1FTT_YLSQR |
| 0. Not imputed | 2327 |
| 1. Dont know | 20 |
| 2.Missing | 12 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 76 |
| 4.Refused | 69 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1TT_SCORE |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0 | 73 |
| 1 | 166 |
| 2 | 233 |
| 3 | 418 |
| 4 | 418 |
| 5 | 420 |
| 6 | 383 |
| 7 | 393 |

## How Constructed

These questions indicate how the respondent did on the Token Test.

RwTT_CRCL indicates whether the respondent is able to identify the circle.
RwTT_SQR indicates whether the respondent is able to identify the yellow square.
RWTT_DMND indicates whether the respondent is able to identify the large diamond.
RwTT_BLCKCRL indicates whether the respondent is able to identify the black circle and then the black diamond.

RWTT_BLSQR indicates whether the respondent is able to identify the blue square and the yellow square.

RwTT_YLDMND indicates whether the respondent is able to tap the yellow diamond and the blue circle.

RwTT_YLSQR indicates whether the respondent is able to tap the black circle instead of tapping the yellow square.

RwTT_CRCL, RwTT_SQR, RwTT_DMND, RwTT_BLCKCRL, RwTT_BLSQR, RwTT_YLDMND, RwTT_YLSQR are coded as follows: 0 . Nō, 1. Yes. Don't know responses āre assigned special missin̄ (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Special missing (.x) is assigned if not in phase/wave. If the respondent cannot perform the requested actions, special missing (.n) is assigned as "Not Assessed". "Not Assessed" option

```
was marked only if the respondent has some physical disability, which prevents him/her from performing
the test. Other missing is assigned special missing (.m).
RwTT SCORE indicates a summary score between RwTT CRCL, RwTT SQR, RwTT DMND, RwTT BLCKCRL, RwTT BLSQR,
RwTT_YLDMND, and RwTT_YLSQR. Scores range from 0-7.
RwFTT_CRCL, RwFTT_SQR, RwFTT DMND, RwFTT_BLCKCR, RwFTT_BLSQR, RwFTT_YLDMN and RwFTT YLSQR are flag
variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag
variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3.Not Assessed, 4.Refused, and
5.Not in phase/wave. The original missing value is otherwise included.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

These questions were asked starting in phase 2 of the data collection.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

These questions were not asked in the HRS HCAP.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

These questions were not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| EF103A | Touch a circle |
| :--- | :--- |
| EF103B | Touch the yellow square |
| EF103C | Touch the large diamond |
| EF103D | Touch the black circle then the black diamond |
| EF103E | Before touching the blue square, touch the ye |
| EF103F | After tapping the yellow diamond, tap the blu |
| EF103G | Instead of tapping the yellow square, tap the |

## Judgement and Problem Solving

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1JP_ANIML | rljp_animl:w1 similarities: R elephant and monkey | Categ |
| 1 | R1FJP_ANIML | rlfjp_animl:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1JP_FLWR | rljp_flwr:w1 similarities: R rose and jasmine | Categ |
| 1 | R1FJP_FLWR | rlfjp_flwr:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1JP_LIE | rljp_lie:w1 differences: R lie and mistake | Categ |
| 1 | R1FJP_LIE | rlfjp_lie:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1JP_RIVER | rljp_river:w1 differences: R river and pond | Categ |
| 1 | R1FJP_RIVER | rlfjp_river:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1JP_RUPEE1 | r1jp_rupeel:w1 R 25 paise coins for one Rupee | Categ |
| 1 | R1FJP_RUPE1 | rlfjp_rupee1:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1JP_RUPEE2 | r1jp_rupee2:w1 R 25 paise coins for six and half rupees | Categ |
| 1 | R1FJP_RUPE2 | r1fjp_rupee2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1JP_FNDKID | rljp_fndkid:w1 judgement: R find a lost child on road | Categ |
| 1 | R1FJP_FNDKI | rlfjp_fndkid:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1SIM_SCORE | rlsim_score:w1 R similiarity and difference summary score | Categ |
| 1 | R1PRO_SCORE | rlpro_score:w1 R problem solving summary score | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1JP_ANIML | 2504 | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FJP_ANIML | 4096 | 2.05 | 2.40 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1JP_FLWR | 2504 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FJP_FLWR | 4096 | 2.05 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1JP_LIE | 2504 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FJP_LIE | 4096 | 2.07 | 2.40 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1JP_RIVER | 2504 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FJP_RIVER | 4096 | 2.02 | 2.42 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1JP_RUPEE1 | 2504 | 0.77 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FJP_RUPE1 | 4096 | 2.06 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1JP_RUPEE2 | 2504 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |


| R1FJP_RUPE2 | 4096 | 2.13 | 2.38 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1JP_FNDKID | 2504 | 0.71 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FJP_FNDKI | 4096 | 2.00 | 2.43 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1SIM_SCORE | 2504 | 1.75 | 1.21 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| R1PRO_SCORE | 2504 | 1.79 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 3.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1JP_ANIML |
| :---: | :---: |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect | 1547 |
| 1. Correct | 957 |
| Value | R1FJP_ANIML |
| 0. Not imputed | 2222 |
| 1. Dont know | 219 |
| 2.Missing | 11 |
| 4.Refused | 52 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1JP_FLWR |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect | 1017 |
| 1. Correct | 1487 |
| Value | R1FJP_FLWR |
| 0. Not imputed | 2242 |
| 1. Dont know | 197 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 4.Refused | 57 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1JP_LIE |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect | 2062 |
| 1. Correct | 442 |
| Value | R1FJP_LIE |
| 0. Not imputed | 2178 |
| 1. Dont know | 253 |
| 2.Missing | 10 |
| 4.Refused | 63 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value- | R1JP_RIVER |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect | 1017 |
| 1. Correct | 1487 |
| Value | R1FJP_RIVER |
| 0. Not imputed | 2359 |
| 1. Dont know | 85 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 4. Refused | 52 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1JP_RUPEE1 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect | 580 |
| 1. Correct | 1924 |
| Value- | R1FJP RUPE1 |
| 0. Not imputed | - 2260 |


| 1. Dont know | 162 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 4. Refused | 74 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1JP_RUPEE2 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect | 1710 |
| 1. Correct | 794 |
| Value- | R1FJP_RUPE2 |
| 0. Not imputed | 2053 |
| 1. Dont know | 343 |
| 2.Missing | 8 |
| 4.Refused | 100 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1JP_FNDKID |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0. Incorrect | 728 |
| 1. Correct | 1776 |
| Value | R1FJP_FNDKI |
| 0. Not imputed | 2419 |
| 1. Dont know | 18 |
| 2.Missing | 19 |
| 4.Refused | 48 |
| 5. Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| Value | R1SIM_SCORE |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0 | 450 |
| 1 | 667 |
| 2 | 658 |
| 3 | 526 |
| 4 | 203 |
| Value | R1PRO_SCORE |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 0 | 265 |
| 1 | 659 |
| 2 | 905 |
| 3 | 675 |

## How Constructed

RwJP_ANIML and RwJP_FLWR ask the respondent to identify similarities between different things. Prior to these graded tasks, the respondent is given the example that pencils and pens are alike because both are writing instruments. RwJP ANIML indicates whether the respondent correctly associated elephants and monkeys. RWJP_FLWR indicates whether the respondent correctly associated roses and jasmine. They are coded as follows: 0. Incorrect, 1. Correct.

RwJP_LIE and RwJP_RIVER ask the respondent to identify differences between different things. Prior to these tasks, the respondent is given the example that dogs and crows are different because one is an animal and the other is a bird. RwJP_LIE indicates whether the respondent correctly distinguishes the difference between a lie and a mistake. RwJP_RIVER indicates whether the respondent correctly distinguishes the difference between a river and a pond. They are coded as follows: 0. Incorrect, 1. Correct.

RwJP_RUPEE1 indicates whether the respondent correctly answers a calculation problem. The respondent is asked how many 25paise coins will be given for one Rupee. It is coded as follows: 0. Incorrect, 1 . Correct.

RwJP_RUPEE2 indicates whether the respondent correctly answers a calculation problem. The respondent is asked how many 25 paise coins they will need to make six and half rupees. It is coded as follows: 0 . Incorrect, 1. Correct.

RwJP FNDKID indicates whether the respondent correctly indicates what he/she would do if he/she found a lost child on the road. It is coded as follows: 0. Incorrect, 1 . Correct.

Don't know responses are assigned special missing (.d). Refused responses are assigned special missing (.r). Special missing (.x) is assigned if not in phase/wave. Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

RwSIM_SCORE is a similarities and differences summary score referencing RwJP_ANIML, RwJP_FLWR, RwJP_LIE, and RwJP_RIVER. Scores range from 0-4.

RwPRO_SCORE is a problem-solving summary score referencing RwJP_RUPEE1, RwJP_RUPEE2, and RwJP_FNDKID. Scores range from 0-3.

RwFJP ANIML, RwFJP FLWR, RwFJP LIE, RwFJP RIVER, RwFJP RUPE1, RwFJP RUPE2 and RwFJP FNDKI are flag variā̄les, indicatīng whether $\overline{\text { the }}$ correspōnding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, and 5.Not in phase/wave. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

These questions were asked starting in phase 2 of data collection.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

These questions were not asked in the HRS HCAP.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

These questions were not asked in the Harmonized LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

JP100A
JP100B
JP101A
JP101B
JP102A
JP102B
JP103A

```
Elephant - Monkey
Rose - Jasmine
Lie - .Mistake
River - Pond
25paise coins will you give me for one Rupee
    25paise coins will you need to make six and
    find a lost child on road
```


## Factor Analysis

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1BORIENT | r1borient: w1 factor analysis broad domain: orientation | Cont |
| 1 | R1BEXEFU | r1bexefu: w1 factor analysis broad domain: executive functio | Cont |
| 1 | R1BLANGF | r1blangf: w1 factor analysis broad domain: language/fluency | Cont |
| 1 | R1BMEMORY | r1bmemory: w1 factor analysis broad domain: memory | Cont |
| 1 | R1BVSP | rlbvsp: w1 factor analysis broad domain: visuospatial | Cont |
| 1 | R1NMEMIMM | r1nmemimm: w1 factor analysis narrow domain: memory, imm epi | Cont |
| 1 | R1NMEMDEL | r1nmemdel: w1 factor analysis narrow domain: memory, delay e | Cont |
| 1 | R1NMEMREC | r1nmemrec: w1 factor analysis narrow domain: memory, recognt | Cont |
| 1 | R1NREASON | r1nreason: w1 factor analysis narrow domain: abstract reason | Cont |
| 1 | R1NATNSPD | rlnatnspd: w1 factor analysis narrow domain: attention speed | Cont |
| 1 | R1SGCP | rlgcp: w1 factor analysis: general cognitive factor | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1BORIENT | 4096 | -0.05 | 0.81 | -2.49 | 0.94 |
| R1BEXEFU | 4096 | -0.01 | 0.91 | -1.93 | 2.48 |
| R1BLANGF | 4096 | -0.02 | 0.81 | -3.39 | 1.96 |
| R1BMEMORY | 4096 | -0.00 | 0.96 | -2.20 | 3.73 |
| R1BVSP | 4096 | 0.01 | 0.83 | -1.59 | 1.58 |
| R1NMEMIMM | 4096 | -0.00 | 0.88 | -2.13 | 3.51 |
| R1NMEMDEL | 4096 | 0.00 | 0.89 | -1.39 | 3.49 |
| R1NMEMREC | 4096 | 0.00 | 0.67 | -2.43 | 1.23 |
| R1NREASON | 4096 | 0.00 | 0.89 | -1.94 | 1.93 |
| R1NATNSPD | 4096 | -0.01 | 0.83 | -1.32 | 2.21 |
| R1SGCP | 4096 | -0.01 | 0.93 | -3.04 | 2.77 |

## How Constructed

RwBORIENT is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the orientation broad domain. This broad domain is represented by 5 questions about orientation to time (e.g., name the current month, year, season), 5 questions about orientation to place (e.g., state, city), and one question to name the Prime Minister.

RwBEXEFU is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the executive functioning broad domain. This broad domain consists of two narrow subdomains: attention/speed and abstract reasoning. Further information about the tests used are described in the narrow subdomains of executive functioning.

RwBLANGF is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the language/fluency broad domain. This domain is represented by animal naming, writing or saying a sentence, phrase repetition, naming of common objects by sight (watch, pencil), naming of common objects by description (elbow, hammer, scissors, coconut, window), following a read or acted command to close one's eyes, and completing a 3-stage task.

RwBMEMORY is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the memory broad domain. This broad domain consists of 3 narrow subdomains: immediate, delayed, and recognition recall of different cognitive tests used in LASI-DAD. The different cognitive tests used are further described for the memory variables in the narrow domain.

RwBVSP is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the visuospatial broad domain. This domain is measured by constructional praxis, drawing pentagons, and drawing clocks.

RwNMEMIMM is a summary measure for cognitive tests that are organized into the immediate episodic memory narrow subdomain. This subdomain consists of immediate recall of a 3-word task, a 10-word list, the logical memory test, and the Brave man test.

RwNMEMDEL is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the delayed episodic memory narrow subdomain. This subdomain consists of delayed recall of a 10 -word list, the logical memory test, the Brave man test, a 3-word task, and the constructional praxis test that was used to measure delayed memory.

RwNMEMREC is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the recognition memory narrow subdomain. This subdomain consists of a recognition recall of a lo-word list and the logical memory test.

RwNREASON is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the abstract reasoning narrow subdomain within the executive functioning broad domain. This subdomain consists of the Ravens task, clock drawing, and the Go-No-Go test.

RwNATNSPD is a summary measure of cognitive tests that are organized into the attention/speed narrow subdomain within the executive functioning broad domain. This subdomain consists of a numeracy task, backwards counting, symbol cancellation, and the Digit span forwards and backwards task.

RwSGCP is a general cognitive factor score and can be used as a predictor or outcome in a model. It is the broadest cognitive summary variable, measured by memory, executive functioning, visuospatial, and language domains.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

For the variable RwBORIENT: In HRS HCAP, it contains 5 questions about orientation to time and 5 questions about orientation to place. In LASI-DAD, it also includes a question to name the Prime Minister.

For the variable RwBLANGF: No differences known.

For the variable RwBVSP: In HRS HCAP, only the CERAD constructional praxis was tested. In LASI DAD, additional tests were asked: drawing pentagons and drawing clocks.

For the variable RwBMEMORY: No differences known.
For the variable RwBEXEFU: For the attention/speed narrow subdomain, LASI DAD uses the test "Digits Backward/Forward", which is not used in HRS HCAP. For the abstract reasoning subdomain, HRS HCAP uses TMT, but LASI-DAD substitutes this TMT test for the Go-No-Go task.

```
For the variable RwNMEMIMM: No differences known.
For the variable RwNMEMDEL: No differences known.
For the variable RwNMEMREC: No differences known.
For the variable RwNREASON: In HRS HCAP, the TMT test was used. However, the LASI DAD substitutes the TMT
test with the Go-No-Go task.
For the variable RwNATNSPD: HRS HCAP does not have the Digits Forward and Backward task.
For the variable RwSGCP: No differences known.
```


## Differences with Harmonized LASI

## Standardized Summary Scores

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1HMSE_SCORZ | r1hmse_score:w1 R HMSE total score (0-30) (stdized) | Cont |
| 1 | R1WORD_TOTAZ | r1word_total:w1 R word list learning total (0-30) (stdized) | Cont |
| 1 | R1WORD_DZ | rlword_d:w1 R word list learning recall(0-10) (stdized) | Cont |
| 1 | R1WRE_SCOREZ | r1wre_score:w1 R word List Recognition(0-20) (stdized) | Cont |
| 1 | R1LOG_RECOZ | r1log_reco:w1 R logical memory recognition score(0-15) (stdi | Cont |
| 1 | R1BM_IMMEXZ | rlbm_immex:w1 R Brave man immediate: summary score exact (0-6 | Cont |
| 1 | R1BM_RECLEXZ | r1bm_reclex:w1 R Brave man recall: summary score exact (0-6) | Cont |
| 1 | R1VERBALZ | rlverbal:w1 R verbal fluency: animal naming-correct (stdized) | Cont |
| 1 | R1CSID_SCORZ | rlcsid_score:w1 R CSID 4-item score(0-4) (stdized) | Cont |
| 1 | R1RV_SCOREZ | rlrv_score:w1 R Raven's test score(0-17) (stdized) | Cont |
| 1 | R1COG_TOTALZ | rlcog_total:w1 total cognition score (stdized) | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1HMSE_SCORZ | 4096 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -4.09 | 1.34 |
| R1WORD_TOTAZ | 4096 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -2.25 | 3.23 |
| R1WORD_DZ | 4096 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -1.33 | 2.99 |
| R1WRE_SCOREZ | 4096 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -4.48 | 1.12 |
| R1LOG_RECOZ | 4096 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -2.38 | 2.40 |
| R1BM_IMMEXZ | 4096 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -1.28 | 2.42 |
| R1BM_RECLEXZ | 4096 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -0.74 | 2.99 |
| R1VERBALZ | 4096 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -2.48 | 4.52 |
| R1CSID_SCORZ | 4096 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -4.17 | 0.69 |
| R1RV_SCOREZ | 4096 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -2.26 | 2.87 |
| R1COG_TOTALZ | 4096 | 0.00 | 6.75 | -25.45 | 20.62 |

## How Constructed

The following variables are the standardized cognition summary scores, for the common tests also administered in other HCAP studies.

RwHMSE_SCORZ is the standardized summary score of RwHMSE_SCORE, which is the sum total value of RwORIENT_T5, RwORIENT_P5, RwIMRC3, RwBACKwARD5, RwDLRC3, RwOBJECT, RwREPEAT, RwCOMBFOL, RwEXECU, RwSENTEN ${ }^{-}$and RwDRAW.

RwWORD TOTAZ is the standardized summary score of RwWORD TOTAL, the total number of correct words between RwWORD1, RwWORD2, and RwWORD3.

RwWORD_DZ is the standardized summary score of RwWORD_D, the total numer of words recalled from the $10-$ word list after a delay.

RWWRE SCOREZ is the standardized summary score of RWWRE SCORE, the total number of correct responses given by the respondent for RwWRE_ORG and RwWRE_FOIL.

RwLOG_RECOZ is the standardized summary score of RwLOG_RECO, which test how well respondents remember the specific details of the second story that was read to them.

RwBM_IMMEXZ is the standardized summary score of RwBM_IMMEX, which measures how well respondents remembered the exact story points of a brave man story.

RwBM_RECLEXZ is the standardized summary score of RwBM_RECLEX, which measures how well respondents remembered the exact story points of a brave man story after a delay.

RwVERBALZ is the standardized summary score of RwVERBAL, the number of correct animals that the respondents named.

RwCSID_SCORZ is the standardized summary score of RwCSID_SCORE, the total number of correct responses between RwELBOW, RwHAMMER, RwSTORE, and RwPOINT.

RwRV SCOREZ is the standardized summary score of RwRV SCORE, the number of correct answers to a series of questions where respondents identified the missing piece of each image in a set of images.

RwCOG TOTALZ is the standardized total cognition score, and is calculated by adding RwHMSE SCORZ, RwWORD_TOTAZ, RwWORD_DZ, RwWRE_SCOREZ, RwLOG_RECOZ, RwBM_IMMEXZ, RwBM_RECLEXZ, RwVERBALZ, RWCSID_SCORZ, and RwRV_SCOREZ together.
"Not Assessed" responses are coded as special missing (.n). Cases in which respondents' images were blurry and not yet scored were assigned special missing code (.b). Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are coded as special missing (.d), (.r), or (.m), respectively.

For further information on the variables mentioned in this section, please reference their respective sections above.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

The standardized cognition summary scores are available in the HRS HCAP and LASI-DAD.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

The standardized cognition summary scores were not created in the main Harmonized LASI study.

## Section C: Informant Report

## Informant Demographics

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1INF_AGE | rlinf_age:w1 Informant: age | Cont |
| 1 | R1INF_GENDR | rlinf_gendr:w1 Informant: gender | Categ |
| 1 | R1INF_EDUC | rlinf_educ:w1 Informant: education | Categ |
| 1 | R1INF_REL | rlinf_rel:w1 Informant: relation with r | Categ |
| 1 | R1INF_FREQ | rlinf_freq:w1 Informant: freq contact with r | Categ |
| 1 | R1INF_CARE | rlinf_care:w1 Informant: caregiver for $r$ | Categ |
| 1 | R1INF_YRS | r1inf_yrs:w1 Informant: years know r | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1INF_AGE | 4026 | 44.28 | 16.78 | 18.00 | 92.00 |
| R1INF_GENDR | 4036 | 1.64 | 0.48 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| R1INF_EDUC | 4029 | 3.14 | 2.46 | 0.00 | 9.00 |
| R1INF_REL | 4036 | 4.00 | 3.37 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| R1INF_FREQ | 4024 | 1.29 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1INF_CARE | 4035 | 0.83 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1INF_YRS | 4027 | 32.04 | 15.78 | 1.00 | 87.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Valu | R1INF_GENDR |
| :---: | :---: |
| .h:Not interviewed \| | 49 |
| .m:Missing \| | 10 |
| .r:Refuse | 1 |
| 1. Male | 1464 |
| 2.Female \| | 2572 |
| Value-----------------------------------1 | R1INF EDUC |
| .h:Not interviewed | 49 |
| .m:Missing | 10 |
| . o:Other | 6 |
| .r:Refuse | 2 |
| 0 . Never attended school | 913 |
| 1.Less than primary school(standard 1-4)\| | 309 |
| 2.Primary school completed (standard 5-7\| | 482 |
| 3. Middle school completed (standard 8-91 | 495 |
| 4.Secondary school completed (standard 1\| | 724 |
| 5.Higher secondary completed (standard 1\| | 450 |
| 6.Diploma and certificate holders \| | 68 |
| 7.Graduate degree completed | 419 |
| 8.Post-graduate degree | 126 |
| 9.Professional course/degree \| | 43 |
| Value-----------------------------------1 | R1INF_REL |
| .h:Not interviewed | 49 |


| .m:Missing | \| | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 1 |
| 1. Spouse/partner | \| | 1204 |
| 2.Son | \| | 696 |
| 3. Daughter | \| | 302 |
| 4.Son-in-law | \| | 27 |
| 5. Daughter-in-law | \| | 954 |
| 6. Grandchild | \| | 239 |
| 7. Parent | \| | 139 |
| 8. Parent-in-law | I | 119 |
| 9.Brother | \| | 32 |
| 10.Sister | \| | 29 |
| 11.Grandparent | \| | 61 |
| 12.Other relative | \| | 102 |
| 13.Servant | I | 1 |
| 14.Friend | I | 27 |
| 15.Other |  | 104 |
| Value |  | R1INF_FREQ |
| .h:Not interviewed | , | 49 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 10 |
| . o:Other | \| | 12 |
| .r:Refuse |  | 1 |
| 1.Lives with respondent |  | 3057 |
| 2. Daily | I | 819 |
| 3.Once to several times/week | I | 81 |
| 4.Once a month or less | । | 67 |
| Value |  | R1INF_CARE |
| .h:Not interviewed | I | 49 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 11 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 1 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 685 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 3350 |

## How Constructed

RwINF_AGE indicates the age of the informant. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Special missing (.d) is assigned for don't know responses. Special missing (.r) is assigned for refused responses. Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

RwINF GENDR indicates the gender of the informant. A code of 1 indicates male and a code of 2 indicates female. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Special missing (.r) is assigned for refused responses. Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

RwINF EDUC indicates the highest grade of school or year of college the informant completed. Education levels are assigned as follows: 0. Never attended school, 1. Less than primary school (standard 1-4), 2. Primary school completed (standard 5-7), 3. Middle school completed (standard 8- 9), 4. Secondary school completed (standard $10-11$ ) 5. Higher Secondary completed (standard 12), 6. Diploma and certificate holders, 7. Graduate degree (B.A., B.Sc., B. Com.) completed, 8. Post-graduate degree or (M.A., M.Sc., M. Com.) above (M.Phil, Ph.D., Post-Doc) completed, and 9. Professional course/degree (B.Ed, BE, B.Tech, MBBS, BHMS, BAMS, B. Pharm, BCS, BCA, BBA, LLB, BVSc., B. Arch, M.Ed, ME, M.Tech, MD, M. Pharm, MCS, MCA, MBA, LLM, MVSc., M. Arch, MS, CA, CS, CWA). Special missing (.o) is assigned if the informant reports 'other'. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Special missing (.r) is assigned for refused responses. Other missing is as assigned special missing (.m).

RwINF REL indicates the informant's relationship with the respondent. RwINF REL is coded as follows: 1. Spousē/partner, 2. Son, 3. Daughter, 4. Son-in-law, 5. Daughter-in-law, 6. Grandchild, 7. Parent, 8. Parent-in-law, 9. Brother, 10. Sister, 11. Grandparent, 12. Other relative, 13. Servant. 14. Friend, and 15. Other. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Special missing (.r) is assigned for refused responses. Other missing is as assigned special missing (.m).

RwINF FREQ indicates how often the informant generally saw the respondent in the last year. A code of 1 is assigned if the informant lives with the respondent. A code of 2 is assigned if the informant saw the respondent daily. A code of 3 is assigned if the informant saw the respondent between once a week and several times a week. A code of 4 is assigned if the informant never saw the respondent or saw the
respondent up to once a month. Special missing (.o) is assigned if the informant reports an unspecified other frequency. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Special missing (.r) is assigned for refused responses. Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

RwINF CARE indicates whether the informant is a caregiver for the respondent. A code of 1 is assigned if the informant is a caregiver for the respondent. A code of 0 is assigned if the informant is not a caregiver for the respondent. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Special missing (.r) is assigned for refused responses. Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

RwINF YRS indicates the number of years the informant has known the respondent. If the informant is a child, sibling or parent, RwINF_YRS is coded as either the informant's age or respondent's age, whichever is younger. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Special missing (.d) is assigned for don't know responses. Special missing (.r) is assigned for refused responses. Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

Wave 1 Inf:
DM_AGE

DM CARE
Informant Age
Inf Caregiver for Respondent
DM EDUC1
EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL
DM_EDUC2
Informant Ed Level
Informant Freq See Respondent
Informant Gender
Informant Rel To Respondent
Informant Yrs Known Respondent

## Diagnosed Health Conditions

| Wave Variable | Label |  | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1INF_STROK | rlinf_strok:w1 Informant: r diagnosed with stroke | Categ |
| 1 | R1INF_PARKN | rlinf_parkn:w1 Informant: r diagnosed with Parkinsons | Categ |
| 1 | R1INF_ALZHE | rlinf_alzhe:w1 Informant: r diagnosed with Alzheimers | Categ |
| 1 | R1INF_MEMRY | r1inf_memry:w1 Informant: r diagnosed with memory problems Categ |  |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1INF_STROK | 4015 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1INF_PARKN | 4016 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1INF_ALZHE | 4020 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1INF_MEMRY | 4002 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1INF_STROK |
| :---: | :---: |
| . d : DK | 19 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 49 |
| .m:Missing | 11 |
| .r:Refuse | 2 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3777 |
| 1.Yes | 238 |
| Value | R1INF_PARKN |
| . d: DK | 18 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 49 |
| .m:Missing | 11 |
| .r:Refuse | 2 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3874 |
| 1.Yes | 142 |
| Value | R1INF_ALZHE |
| . d : DK | 14 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 49 |
| .m:Missing | 11 |
| .r:Refuse | 2 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3877 |
| 1.Yes | 143 |
| Value | R1INF_MEMRY |
| . d: DK | 32 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 49 |
| .m:Missing | 11 |
| .r:Refuse | 2 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3536 |
| 1.Yes | 466 |

## How Constructed

RwINF_STROK indicates whether the informant reported that the respondent has been diagnosed with a stroke.

```
RwINF PARKN indicates whether the informant reported that the respondent has been diagnosed with
Parkinson's disease.
RwINF_ALZHE indicates whether the informant reported that the respondent has been diagnosed with
Alzheimer's disease.
RWINF MEMRY indicates whether the informant reported that the respondent has been diagnosed with memory
problems.
RwINF_STROK, RwINF_PARKN, RwINF_ALZHE, and RwINF_MEMRY are coded as 1 if the informant reports that the
respondent was diagnosed with the corresponding health condition. A code of 0 is assigned if the
informant reports that the respondent has not been diagnosed with the condition. Special missing (.h) is
assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know responses are assigned
special missing (.d). Special missing (.r) is assigned for refused responses. Other missing is assigned
as special missing (.m).
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

| DM_AD | Resp Diagnosed Alzheimers |
| :--- | :--- |
| DM_MEM | Resp Diagnosed Memory Probs |
| DM_PARK | Resp Diagnosed Parkinsons |
| DM_STROKE | Resp Diagnosed Stroke |

## JORM IQCODE Test

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE1 | r1iqscore1:w1 JORM family/friend details | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE1 | r1fiqscore1:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE2 | r1iqscore2:w1 JORM recent events | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE2 | r1fiqscore2:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE 3 | r1iqscore3:w1 JoRM recent conversations | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE3 | rlfiqscore3:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE 4 | r1iqscore4:w1 JORM address and telephone number | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE4 | rlfiqscore4:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE5 | r1iqscore5:w1 JoRM day and month | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE5 | rlfiqscore5:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE 6 | r1iqscore6:w1 JoRM where things are usually kept | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE6 | rlfiqscore6:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE 7 | r1iqscore7:w1 JORM where to find things | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE7 | rlfiqscore7:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE 8 | rliqscore8:w1 JORM work familiar machines | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE8 | rlfiqscore8:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE 9 | rliqscore9:w1 JORM new gadget or machine | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE9 | rlfiqscore9:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE10 | r1iqscore10:w1 JoRM new things in general | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE10 | r1fiqscore10:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE11 | r1iqscore11:w1 JoRM story in a book or on TV | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE11 | rlfiqscore11:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE12 | r1iqscore12:w1 JoRM making decisions on everyday matters | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE12 | r1fiqscore12:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE13 | r1iqscore13:w1 JoRM handling money for shopping | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE13 | r1fiqscore13:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE14 | r1iqscore14:w1 JORM handling financial matters | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE14 | rlfiqscore14:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE15 | r1iqscore15:w1 JORM handling other everyday arithmetic probl | Categ |


| 1 | R1FIQSCORE15 | r1fiqscore15:impflag w1 $r$ whether imputed value | Categ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1IQSCORE16 | r1iqscore16:w1 JORM reason things through | Categ |
| 1 | R1FIQSCORE16 | r1fiqscore16:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1JORMSCORE | r1jormscore:w1 JORM average score | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Maximum


| R1FIQSCORE13 | 4096 | 0.42 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| R1IQSCORE14 | 4096 | 3.44 | 0.79 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FIQSCORE14 | 4096 | 0.72 | 1.71 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1IQSCORE15 | 4096 | 3.41 | 0.73 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FIQSCORE15 | 4096 | 0.41 | 1.53 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1IQSCORE16 | 4096 | 3.36 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FIQSCORE16 | 4096 | 0.21 | 1.37 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1JORMSCORE | 4096 | 3.45 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 5.00 |

Categorical Variable Codes

| Value- |  | R1IQSCORE1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Much improved | \| | 36 |
| 2.A bit improved | । | 83 |
| 3.Not much changed | । | 2322 |
| 4.A bit worse | । | 1257 |
| 5.Much worse | । | 398 |
| Value- | \| | R1FIQSCORE1 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 3980 |
| 1. Dont know | । | 9 |
| 2.Missing | । | 13 |
| 3.Not Assessed | \| | 42 |
| 4. Refused | \| | 3 |
| 12.Not interviewed | । | 49 |
| Value- | \| | R1IQSCORE2 |
| 1. Much improved | \| | 34 |
| 2.A bit improved | \| | 82 |
| 3.Not much changed | । | 2410 |
| 4.A bit worse | । | 1231 |
| 5.Much worse | । | 339 |
| Value- | \| | R1FIQSCORE2 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 4001 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 16 |
| 2.Missing |  | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 14 |
| 4. Refused |  | 3 |
| 12.Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value- |  | R1IQSCORE3 |
| 1. Much improved |  | 19 |
| 2.A bit improved |  | 75 |
| 3. Not much changed |  | 2390 |
| 4.A bit worse | \| | 1278 |
| 5.Much worse | \| | 334 |
| Value |  | R1FIQSCORE3 |
| 0. Not imputed |  | 4006 |
| 1. Dont know |  | 16 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 7 |
| 4. Refused |  | 5 |
| 12.Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value- |  | R1IQSCORE4 |
| 1. Much improved |  | 22 |
| 2.A bit improved |  | 68 |
| 3. Not much changed |  | 2518 |


| 4.A bit worse | I | 1067 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.Much worse | \| | 421 |
| Value |  | R1FIQSCORE4 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 3598 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 17 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 415 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 4 |
| 12. Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value |  | R1IQSCORE5 |
| 1. Much improved |  | 29 |
| 2.A bit improved | \| | 75 |
| 3. Not much changed | \| | 2583 |
| 4.A bit worse | \| | 1040 |
| 5.Much worse | \| | 369 |
| Value |  | R1FIQSCORE5 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 3906 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 14 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | I | 111 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 3 |
| 12. Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value |  | R1IQSCORE6 |
| 1. Much improved | \| | 12 |
| 2.A bit improved | \| | 55 |
| 3. Not much changed | \| | 2223 |
| 4.A bit worse | \| | 1421 |
| 5. Much worse | \| | 385 |
| Value |  | R1FIQSCORE6 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 3986 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 11 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 34 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 3 |
| 12. Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value |  | R1IQSCORE7 |
| 1. Much improved | I | 15 |
| 2.A bit improved | \| | 53 |
| 3. Not much changed | I | 1985 |
| 4.A bit worse | I | 1592 |
| 5. Much worse | \| | 451 |
| Value |  | R1FIQSCORE7 |
| 0. Not imputed |  | 3979 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 15 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | I | 37 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 3 |
| 12. Not interviewed | । | 49 |
| Value |  | R1IQSCORE8 |
| 1. Much improved | \| | 33 |
| 2.A bit improved | \| | 92 |
| 3. Not much changed | \| | 2512 |
| 4.A bit worse | \| | 1007 |
| 5. Much worse | । | 452 |
| Value |  | R1FIQSCORE8 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 3251 |
| 1. Dont know | । | 29 |
| 2.Missing | । | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | \| | 751 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 3 |
| 12. Not interviewed | \| | 49 |


| Valu | R1IQSCORE9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Much improved | 48 |
| 2.A bit improved | 153 |
| 3. Not much changed | 2061 |
| 4.A bit worse | 1247 |
| 5.Much worse | 587 |
| Value | R1FIQSCORE9 |
| 0. Not imputed | 2825 |
| 1. Dont know | 35 |
| 2.Missing | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 1170 |
| 4.Refused | 14 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1IQSCORE10 |
| 1. Much improved | 45 |
| 2.A bit improved | 195 |
| 3. Not much changed | 1920 |
| 4.A bit worse | 1306 |
| 5.Much worse | 630 |
| Value | \|R1FIQSCORE10 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3479 |
| 1. Dont know | 32 |
| 2.Missing | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 520 |
| 4. Refused | 3 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1IQSCORE11 |
| 1. Much improved | 37 |
| 2.A bit improved | 127 |
| 3. Not much changed | 2675 |
| 4.A bit worse | 881 |
| 5. Much worse | 376 |
| Value | \|R1FIQSCORE11 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3451 |
| 1. Dont know | 20 |
| 2.Missing | 13 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 559 |
| 4.Refused | 4 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1IQSCORE12 |
| 1. Much improved | 26 |
| 2.A bit improved | 95 |
| 3. Not much changed | 2553 |
| 4.A bit worse | 977 |
| 5. Much worse | 445 |
| Value | \|R1FIQSCORE12 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3745 |
| 1. Dont know | 15 |
| 2.Missing | 113 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 1269 |
| 4. Refused | 15 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 149 |
| Value- | \| R1IQSCORE13 |
| 1. Much improved | \| 31 |
| 2.A bit improved | 181 |
| 3. Not much changed | 12645 |
| 4.A bit worse | 1863 |
| 5. Much worse | 1 476 |
| Value | \| R1FIQSCORE13 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3665 |
| 1. Dont know | 112 |
| 2.Missing | 13 |


| 3. Not Assessed | 352 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4. Refused | 5 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1IQSCORE14 |
| 1. Much improved | 41 |
| 2.A bit improved | 110 |
| 3. Not much changed | 2492 |
| 4.A bit worse | 909 |
| 5.Much worse | 544 |
| Value | R1FIQSCORE14 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3252 |
| 1. Dont know | 15 |
| 2.Missing | 14 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 761 |
| 4.Refused | 5 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1IQSCORE15 |
| 1.Much improved | 28 |
| 2.A bit improved | 74 |
| 3. Not much changed | 2609 |
| 4.A bit worse | 960 |
| 5. Much worse | 425 |
| Value | R1FIQSCORE15 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3672 |
| 1. Dont know | 20 |
| 2.Missing | 14 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 336 |
| 4.Refused | 5 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1IQSCORE16 |
| 1. Much improved | 32 |
| 2.A bit improved | 95 |
| 3. Not much changed | 2681 |
| 4.A bit worse | 947 |
| 5. Much worse | 341 |
| Value | R1FIQSCORE16 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3940 |
| 1. Dont know | 17 |
| 2.Missing | 14 |
| 3. Not Assessed | 72 |
| 4. Refused | 4 |
| 12.Not interviewed | 49 |

## How Constructed

The following variables pertain to a series of questions asking the informant whether the respondent has improved, stayed the same, or gotten worse in various situations that require memory or intelligence. The interviewer emphasizes the importance of comparing present performance with past performance. The informant is asked to compare the current year with 10 year ago. If the informant has known the respondent for less than 10 years, they are to compare the current year with the year they first met the respondent.

In RwIQSCORE1, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to remember things about family and friends, such as occupations, birthdays, and addresses, with his/her ability to remember these things in the past

In RwIQSCORE2, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to remember things that have happened recently with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE3, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to recall conversations a few days later with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE4, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to remember their address and telephone number with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE5, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to remember what day and month it is with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE6, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to remember where things are usually kept with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE7, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to remember where to find things that have been put in a different place from usual with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE8, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to know how to work familiar machines around the house with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE9, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to learn to use a new gadget or machine around house with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE10, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to learn new things in general with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE11, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to follow a story in a book or on TV with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE12, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to make decisions on everyday matters with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE13, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to handle money for shopping with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE14, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to handle financial matters with his/her ability in the past. Examples include pension-related decisions or dealing with a bank.

In RwIQSCORE15, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to handle other everyday arithmetic problems, such as knowing how much food to buy and knowing how much time elapsed between visits from family or friends, with his/her ability in the past.

In RwIQSCORE16, the informant compares the respondent's current ability to use his/her intelligence to understand what's going on and to reason things through with his/her ability in the past.

RwIQSCORE1- RwIQSCORE16 are coded as follows: 1. Much improved, 2. A bit improved, 3. Not much changed, 4. A bit worse, and 5. Much worse. If the informant reports that a particular activity does not apply to the respondent, special missing (.n) is assigned. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwJORMSCORE indicates the average value of RwIQSCORE1- RwIQSCORE16. RwJORMSCORE is calculated by taking the sum of values between RwIQSCORE1- RwIQSCORE16 over the number of non-missing values between RwIQSCORE1- RwIQSCORE16. If the informant reports that no activities apply to the respondent, special missing (.n) is assigned. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFIQSCORE1- RwFIQSCORE16 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 3. Not Assessed, 4.Refused, and 12. Not interviewed. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

HRS HCAP asks respondent the same questions, but the questions are formed by the primary questions (H1IQ1 - H1IQ16) and two kinds of sub-questions: 1. (H1IQ1I - H1IQ16I) Is it much improved or a bit improved? and 2. (H1IQ1W - H1IQ16W) Is it much worse or a bit worse? The primary HRS HCAP questions are coded as follows: 1. Improved, 2. Not much changed, 3. Gotten worse, 4. Does not apply; R doesn't do activity, 8. DK (Don't Know), and 9. RF (Refused). Missing is assigned as (.). H1IQ1I - H1IQ16I are coded as follows: 1. Much improved, 2. A bit improved, 8. DK (Don't Know), and 9. RF (Refused). Missing is assigned as (.). H1IQ1W - H1IQ16W are coded as follows: 1. A bit worse, 2. Much worse, 8. DK (Don't Know), and 9. RF (Refused). Missing is assigned as (.). In DAD, the primary questions and sub-questions are combined together.

In HRS HCAP, both the mean score (1-5) and trimmed mean score (3-5) are calculated while in DAD, only the mean score is calculated.

## DAD Variables Used

| J10A | Learning New Things |
| :--- | :--- |
| J11A | Following a Story in Book or on TV |
| J12A | Making Everyday Decisions |
| J13A | Handling Money for Shopping |
| J14A | Handling Fin Matters with Bank |
| J15A | Handling Everyday Math |
| J16A | Using Intelligence to Reason |
| J1A | Remembering Family, Friends, Dates |
| J2A | Remembering Recent Happenings |
| J3A | Recalling Conversations |
| J4A | Remembering Address and Telephone |
| J5A | Remembering Day and Month |
| J6A | Remembering Where Things Are Kept |
| J7A | Remembering Where to Find Things |
| J8A | Knowing How to Work Machines |
| J9A | Learning to Use a New Gadget |

## Blessed Test-Part 2

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1BL2_2R | r1bl2_2r:w1 Blessed Test part 2- eating | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL2_2R | r1fbl2_2r:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL2_3R | r1bl2_3r:w1 Blessed Test part 2- toilet | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL2_3R | rlfbl2_3r:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL2_4R | r1bl2_4r:w1 Blessed Test part 2- dressing | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL2_4R | rlfbl2_4r:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL2SCORE | r1bll2_score:w1 Blessed Test part 2 average score | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1BL2_2R | 4096 | 1.08 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FBL2_2R | 4096 | 0.15 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL2_3R | 4096 | 1.07 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FBL2_3R | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL2_4R | 4096 | 1.09 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FBL2_4R | 4096 | 0.15 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL2SCORE | 4096 | 1.08 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 4.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value------------------------------------1 | R1BL2_2R |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.Feeds self without assistance \| | $3 \overline{9} 09$ |
| 2.Feeds self with minor assistance | 88 |
| 3. Feeds self with much assistance | 38 |
| 4.Has to be fed \| | 61 |
| Value-------------------------------------1 | R1FBL2_2R |
| 0. Not imputed \| | 4029 |
| 1. Dont know | 1 |
| 2.Missing | 14 |
| 4.Refused | 3 |
| 12.Not interviewed \| | 49 |
| Value------------------------------------1 | R1BL2_3R |
| 1.Clean, cares for self at toilet \| | 3918 |
| 2.Occasional incontinence, or needs to bl | 96 |
| 3.Frequent incontinence, or needs much al | 56 |
| 4.Little or no control \| | 26 |
| Value------------------------------------ | R1FBL2_3R |
| 0.Not imputed \| | 4024 |
| 1. Dont know \| | 2 |
| 2.Missing \| | 14 |
| 4.Refused \| | 7 |
| 12.Not interviewed \| | 49 |


| Valu | R1BL2 4R |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Unaided | $3 \overline{8} 71$ |
| 2.Occasionally misplaces buttons, requir\| | 142 |
| 3. Wrong sequences, forgets items, requir\| | 34 |
| 4.Unable to dress \| | 49 |
| Value------------------------------------1 | R1FBL2_4R |
| O. Not imputed | 4028 |
| 1. Dont know | 1 |
| 2.Missing \| | 14 |
| 4. Refused | 4 |
| 12.Not interviewed \| | 49 |

## How Constructed

The following variables pertain to a series of questions asked to the informant regarding how well the respondent does with different activities.

RwBL2 2R asks the informant how well the respondent feeds himself/herself. A 1 is coded for being able to feed ōneself without assistance. A 2 is coded for being able to feed oneself with minor assistance. A 3 is coded for feeding oneself with much assistance. A 4 is coded for having to be fed. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwBL2 3R asks the informant how well the respondent can clean and care for himself/herself at a toilet. A 1 indicates that the respondent is able to clean and care for oneself at a toilet. A 2 indicates that the respondent has occasional incontinence or needs to be reminded. A 3 indicates that the respondent has frequent incontinence or needs a lot of assistance. A 4 indicates that the respondent has little or no control over incontinence. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwBL2_4R asks the informant how well the respondent is able to get dressed unaided. A 1 indicates that the respondent can dress unaided. A 2 indicates that the respondent occasionally misplaces buttons and requires minor help. A 3 indicates that the respondent gets dressed in the wrong sequence, forgets items, and requires much assistance. A 4 indicates that the respondent is unable to dress oneself. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwBL2SCORE indicates the average value of RwBL2_2R, RwBL2_3R, and RwBL2_4R. RwBL2SCORE is calculated by taking the sum of values between RwBL2_2R, RwBL2_3R, and RwBL2_4R over the number of non-missing values between RwBL2_2R, RwBL2_3R, and RwBL2_4R. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFBL2 2R- RwFBL2_4R are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned impute $\bar{d}$ value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, $2 . \mathrm{Missing}$, 4.Refused, and 12. Not interviewed. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

BL2_2
Ability to Feed Self
BL2_3 Ability to Use Toilet
BL2-4
Ability to Dress Self

## Everyday Activities

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1ACT_TV | rlact_tv:w1 Activities- watching TV | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_TV | rlfact_tv:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_READ | rlact_read:w1 Activities- reading | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_READ | rlfact_read:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_CHOR | rlact_chor:w1 Activities- chores, maintenance, or gardening | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_CHOR | rlfact_chor:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_COMP | rlact_comp:w1 Activities- computer or the internet | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_COMP | rlfact_comp:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_NAP | rlact_nap:w1 Activities- taking naps | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_NAP | rlfact_nap:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_MEAL | rlact_meal:w1 Activities- preparing hot meals | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_MEAL | rlfact_meal:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_TRAV | rlact_trav:w1 Activities- traveling | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_TRAV | rlfact_trav:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_PUBT | rlact_pubt:w1 Activities- public transit | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_PUBT | rlfact_pubt:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_WORK | rlact_work:w1 Activities- work or volunteer | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_WORK | rlfact_work:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_STOR | rlact_stor:w1 Activities- store or market for food | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_STOR | rlfact_stor:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_WALK | rlact_walk:w1 Activities- walks | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_WALK | rlfact_walk:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_SPOR | rlact_spor:w1 Activities- yoga or any other exercise | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_SPOR | rlfact_spor:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1ACT_DAIL | rlact_dail:w1 Activities- daily activities | Categ |
| 1 | R1FACT_DAIL | rlfact_dail:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1ACT_TV | 4096 | 1.62 | 1.36 | 0.00 | 5.00 |


| R1FACT_TV | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1ACT_READ | 4096 | 0.55 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FACT_READ | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_CHOR | 4096 | 1.80 | 1.53 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FACT_CHOR | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_COMP | 4096 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FACT_COMP | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_NAP | 4096 | 2.06 | 1.45 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FACT_NAP | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_MEAL | 4096 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FACT_MEAL | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_TRAV | 4096 | 0.61 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FACT_TRAV | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_PUBT | 4096 | 0.62 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FACT_PUBT | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_WORK | 4096 | 4.69 | 1.87 | 1.00 | 6.00 |
| R1FACT_WORK | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_STOR | 4096 | 4.00 | 1.84 | 1.00 | 6.00 |
| R1FACT_STOR | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_WALK | 4096 | 4.16 | 2.27 | 1.00 | 6.00 |
| R1FACT_WALK | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_SPOR | 4096 | 5.59 | 1.27 | 1.00 | 6.00 |
| R1FACT_SPOR | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1ACT_DAIL | 4096 | 1.79 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FACT_DAIL | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes




| 1. Dont know | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 6 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1ACT_TRAV |
| 0 . No | 1579 |
| 1.Yes | 2517 |
| Value | R1FACT_TRAV |
| 0. Not imputed | 4020 |
| 1. Dont know | 7 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 4 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1ACT_PUBT |
| 0 . No | 1572 |
| 1.Yes | 2524 |
| Value | R1FACT_PUBT |
| 0. Not imputed | 4019 |
| 1. Dont know | 6 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 6 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1ACT WORK |
| 1. Daily | 587 |
| 2.Several times a week | 230 |
| 3.Once a week | 187 |
| 4.Once a month | 178 |
| 5.Rarely | 606 |
| 6. Never | 2308 |
| Value | R1FACT_WORK |
| 0. Not imputed | 4008 |
| 1. Dont know | 16 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 7 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1ACT_STOR |
| 1. Daily | 503 |
| 2.Several times a week | 664 |
| 3. Once a week | 576 |
| 4.Once a month | 292 |
| 5.Rarely | 716 |
| 6. Never | 1345 |
| Value | R1FACT_STOR |
| 0. Not imputed | 4012 |
| 1. Dont know | 10 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 9 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1ACT_WALK |
| 1. Daily | 1246 |
| 2.Several times a week | 158 |
| 3.Once a week | 85 |
| 4.Once a month | 31 |
| 5.Rarely | 372 |
| 6.Never | 2204 |
| Value- | R1FACT_WALK |
| 0. Not imputed | 4011 |
| 1. Dont know | 10 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 10 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |


| Value | R1ACT_SPOR |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Daily | 246 |
| 2. Several times a week | 38 |
| 3. Once a week | 26 |
| 4.Once a month | 19 |
| 5. Rarely | 177 |
| 6. Never | 3590 |
| Value- | R1FACT_SPOR |
| 0. Not imputed | 4006 |
| 1. Dont know | 15 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 10 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1ACT_DAIL |
| 1.No change | 1444 |
| 2.Slowing down | 2085 |
| 3.Activities decreased or discontinued | 567 |
| Value- | R1FACT_DAIL |
| 0. Not imputed | 4012 |
| 1. Dont know | 11 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 8 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |

## How Constructed

The following variables pertain to a series of questions regarding the respondent's activity level, according to the informant.

RwACT_TV asks the informant how many hours in an average day the respondent spends watching television. RwACT TV is coded as follows: 0. Never, 1. 30 minutes, 2. One hour, 3. Two to three hours, 4. Four to six hours, and 5. Seven or more hours. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT READ asks the informant how many hours in an average day the respondent spends reading. RwACT READ is coded as follows: 0. Never, 1. 30 minutes, 2. One hour, 3. Two to three hours, 4. Four to six hours, and 5. Seven or more hours. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT CHOR asks the informant how many hours in an average day the respondent spends doing chores, maintenance, or gardening. RwACT_CHOR is coded as follows: 0. Never, 1. 30 minutes, 2. One hour, 3. Two to three hours, 4. Four to six hours, and 5. Seven or more hours. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT_COMP asks the informant how many hours in an average day the respondent spends using a computer or the internet. RwACT COMP is coded as follows: 0. Never, 1. 30 minutes, 2. One hour, 3. Two to three hours, 4. Four to six hours, and 5. Seven or more hours. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT_NAP asks the informant how many hours in an average day the respondent spends taking naps. RwACT NAP is coded as follows: 0. Never, 1. 30 minutes, 2. One hour, 3. Two to three hours, 4. Four to six hours, and 5. Seven or more hours. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT_MEAL asks the informant whether the respondent prepares hot meals. A 1 indicates that the respondent prepares hot meals. A 0 indicates that the respondent does not prepare hot meals or that it is not customary for the respondent to do this. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not
have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT TRAV asks the informant whether the respondent is able to travel somewhere by himself/herself. A 1 is coded for yes. A 0 is coded for no. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT PUBT asks the informant whether the respondent can use public transit by himself/herself. A 1 is coded for yes. A 0 is coded for no. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT_WORK asks the informant how often the respondent goes to work or volunteers. RwACT_WORK is coded as follow̄s: 1. Daily, 2. Several times a week, 3. Once a week, 4. Once a month, 5. Rarely, and 6. Never. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT_STOR asks the informant how often the respondent goes to the store or market for food or other things. RwACT STOR is coded as follows: 1. Daily, 2. Several times a week, 3. Once a week, 4. Once a month, 5. Rarely, and 6. Never. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT_WALK asks the informant how often the respondent goes for walks. RwACT_WALK is coded as follows: 1. Daily, 2. Several times a week, 3. Once a week, 4. Once a month, 5. Rarely, $\bar{a}$ nd 6 . Never. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT_SPOR asks the informant how often the respondent does yoga or any other exercise. RwACT_SPOR is coded as follows: 1. Daily, 2. Several times a week, 3. Once a week, 4. Once a month, 5. Rarely, and 6. Never. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwACT_DAIL indicates how much, if any, the informant has seen a change in the respondent's daily activities in the past few years. RwACT_DAIL is coded as follows: 1. No change, 2 . Slowing down, and 3. Activities decreased or discontinued. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFACT_TV - RwFACT_DAIL are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, and 12. Not interviewed. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

Both the LASI-DAD and the HRS HCAP ask the same questions in this section, with some having slight variations.

For the variable RwACT_TRAV, the HRS HCAP asked "Is R able to drive on his/her own?" while DAD asked "Is R able to travel somewhere on his/her own?". For RwACT_SPOR, the HRS HCAP asked "How often does R play sports or exercise?" while DAD asked "How often does $R$ do yoga or any other exercise?".

## DAD Variables Used

ACT_1
Hrs Spent Watching TV
ACT_10
Prepares Hot Meals

|  |
| :---: |
| CT |
| CT |
| -_16 |
| $\mathrm{ACT}^{-1} 2$ |
| $\mathrm{ACT}^{-} 22$ |
| $\mathrm{ACT}^{-} 24$ |
| CT_3 |
| CT-5 |
| $\mathrm{ACT}^{-}{ }^{-7}$ |
|  |
|  |

```
Able To Travel on Own
Use Public Transit on Own
Freq Go To Work/Volunteer
Freq Go To Store/Market
Hrs Spent Reading
Freq Go for Walk
Freq Play Sports/Exercise
Change In Daily Activities
Hrs Spent Playing Puzzles/GamesHrs Spent Chor
Hrs Spent Using Computer/Internet
Hrs Spent Taking Naps
Other Activities
```


## Everyday Feelings

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1FEEL27 | r1feel27:w1 Activities- feelings: happy | Categ |
| 1 | R1FFEEL27 | r1ffeel27:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1FEEL29 | r1feel29:w1 Activities- feelings: engaged | Categ |
| 1 | R1FFEEL29 | r1ffeel29:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1FEEL30 | r1feel30:w1 Activities- feelings: alert | Categ |
| 1 | R1FFEEL30 | rlffeel30:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1FEEL31 | r1feel31:w1 Activities- feelings: interested | Categ |
| 1 | R1FFEEL31 | rlffeel31:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1FEEL36 | r1feel36:w1 Activities- feelings: confused | Categ |
| 1 | R1FFEEL36 | rlffeel36:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1FEEL37 | r1feel37:w1 Activities- feelings: withdrawn | Categ |
| 1 | R1FFEEL37 | r1ffeel37:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1FEELPOS | r1feelpos:w1 Activities- feelings: mean positive emotions | Cont |
| 1 | R1FEELNEG | r1feelneg:w1 Activities- feelings: mean negative emotions | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1FEEL27 | 4096 | 3.05 | 1.16 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FFEEL27 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1FEEL29 | 4096 | 2.79 | 1.26 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FFEEL29 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1FEEL30 | 4096 | 2.97 | 1.31 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FFEEL30 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1FEEL31 | 4096 | 2.92 | 1.30 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FFEEL31 | 4096 | 0.31 | 1.41 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1FEEL36 | 4096 | 1.94 | 1.17 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FFEEL36 | 4096 | 0.18 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1FEEL37 | 4096 | 1.88 | 1.19 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1FFEEL37 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1FEELPOS | 4096 | 2.93 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 5.00 |

R1FEELNEG
4096
1.91

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1FEEL27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.Not at all | 519 |
| 2.A little | 629 |
| 3. Somewhat | 1531 |
| 4.Quite a bit | 964 |
| 5.Very much | 453 |
| Value- | R1FFEEL27 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3972 |
| 1. Dont know | 49 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 10 |
| 12.Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1FEEL29 |
| 1.Not at all | 904 |
| 2.A little | 687 |
| 3.Somewhat | 1265 |
| 4.Quite a bit | 849 |
| 5.Very much | 391 |
| Value- | R1FFEEL29 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3993 |
| 1. Dont know | 28 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 10 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1FEEL30 |
| 1. Not at all | 823 |
| 2.A little | 530 |
| 3. Somewhat | 1237 |
| 4.Quite a bit | 946 |
| 5.Very much | 560 |
| Value | R1FFEEL30 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3981 |
| 1. Dont know | 40 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 10 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1FEEL31 |
| 1. Not at all | 864 |
| 2.A little | 558 |
| 3. Somewhat | 1251 |
| 4.Quite a bit | 907 |
| 5.Very much | 516 |
| Value- | R1FFEEL31 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3696 |
| 1. Dont know | 41 |
| 2.Missing | 298 |
| 4.Refused | 12 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1FEEL36 |
| 1. Not at all | 2141 |
| 2.A little | 719 |
| 3. Somewhat | 705 |
| 4.Quite a bit | 406 |
| 5.Very much | 125 |
| Value-- | R1FFEEL36 |


| 0. Not imputed |  | 3969 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 50 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 16 |
| 4.Refused |  | 12 |
| 12.Not interviewed |  | 49 |
| Value |  | R1FEEL37 |
| 1.Not at all |  | 2334 |
| 2.A little | \| | 586 |
| 3.Somewhat | \| | 656 |
| 4.Quite a bit |  | 355 |
| 5.Very much |  | 165 |
| Value |  | R1FFEEL37 |
| 0. Not imputed |  | 3978 |
| 1. Dont know |  | 43 |
| 2.Missing | I | 16 |
| 4.Refused | I | 10 |
| 12.Not interviewed |  | 49 |

## How Constructed

The following variables asks the informant a series of questions regarding the respondent's feelings.

RwFEEL27 indicates how much the informant would say that the respondent felt happy. The informant is instructed to answer this thinking about yesterday or the most recent time the informant observed the respondent for most of the day. RwFEEL27 is coded as follows: 1. Not at all, 2. A little, 3. Somewhat, 4. Quite a bit, and 5. Very much. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFEEL29 indicates how much the informant would say that the respondent felt engaged. The informant is instructed to answer this thinking about yesterday or the most recent time the informant observed the respondent for most of the day. Rwfeel2 29 is coded as follows: 1. Not at all, 2. A little, 3. Somewhat, 4. Quite a bit, and 5. Very much. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFEEL30 indicates how much the informant would say that the respondent felt alert. The informant is instructed to answer this thinking about yesterday or the most recent time the informant observed the respondent for most of the day. RwFEEL30 is coded as follows: 1. Not at all, 2. A little, 3. Somewhat, 4. Quite a bit, and 5. Very much. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFEEL31 indicates how much the informant would say that the respondent felt interested. The informant is instructed to answer this thinking about yesterday or the most recent time the informant observed the respondent for most of the day. RwFEEL31 is coded as follows: 1. Not at all, 2. A little, 3. Somewhat, 4. Quite a bit, and 5. Very much. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. RwFEEL31 is coded as special missing (.m) if ACT_31 is 0.

RwFEEL36 indicates how much the informant would say that the respondent felt confused. The informant is instructed to answer this thinking about yesterday or the most recent time the informant observed the respondent for most of the day. RwFEEL36 is coded as follows: 1. Not at all, 2. A little, 3. Somewhat, 4. Quite a bit, and 5. Very much. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFEEL37 indicates how much the informant would say that the respondent felt withdrawn. The informant is instructed to answer this thinking about yesterday or the most recent time the informant observed the respondent for most of the day. RwFEEL37 is coded as follows: 1. Not at all, 2. A little, 3. Somewhat, 4. Quite a bit, and 5. Very much. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFEELPOS indicates the mean value for positive emotions. This variable is composed of RwFEEL27, RwFEEL29, RwFEEL30, and RwFEEL31. RwFEELPOS is calculated by taking the sum of RwFEEL27, RwFEEL29, RwFEEL30, and RwFEEL31 over the number of non-missing values between RwFEEL27, RwFEEL29, RwFEEL30, and RwFEEL31. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFEELNEG indicates the mean value for negative emotions. This variable is composed of RwFEEL36 and RwFEEL37. RwFEELNEG is calculated by taking the sum of RwFEEL36 and RwFEEL37 over the number of nonmissing values between RwFEEL36 and RwFEEL37. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RWFFEEL27 - RwFFEEL37 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, and 12.Not interviewed. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

| ACT_27 | Felt Happy |
| :--- | :--- |
| ACT_29 | Felt Engaged |
| ACT_30 | Felt Alert |
| ACT_31 | Felt AlertInterested |
| ACT_36 | Felt Confused |
| ACT_37 $^{\text {CT }}$ | Felt Withdrawn |


| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1CSI1 | rlcsil:wl CSI- general decline in mental functioning | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI1 | rlfcsil:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI2 | rlcsi2:w1 CSI- remembering things a serious problems | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI2 | rlfcsi2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI3 | rlcsi3:w1 CSI- forget where put things | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI3 | rlfcsi3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI4 | rlcsi4:w1 CSI- forget where things are usually kept | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI4 | rlfcsi4:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI5 | rlcsi5:w1 CSI- forget the names of friends | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI5 | rlfcsi5:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI 6 | rlcsi6:w1 CSI- forget the names of family members | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI6 | rlfcsi6:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI 7 | rlcsi7:w1 CSI- forget what r wanted to say in the middle of | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI7 | r1fcsi7:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI 8 | rlcsi8:w1 CSI- difficulty finding the right words | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI8 | rlfcsi8:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI 9 | rlcsi9:w1 CSI- use the wrong words | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI9 | rlfcsi9:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI10 | r1csil0:w1 CSI- tend to talk about what happened long ago | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI10 | rlfcsil0:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI11 | rlcsill:w1 CSI- forget when last saw informant | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI11 | rlfcsill:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI12 | r1csil2:w1 CSI- forget what happened the day before | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI12 | rlfcsil2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI13 | rlcsil3:w1 CSI- forget where they are | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI13 | rlfcsil3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI14 | r1csil4:w1 CSI- get lost in the community | Categ |
| 1 | R1FCSI14 | rlfcsil4:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1CSI15 | r1csi15:w1 CSI- get lost in own home | Categ |

1 R1FCSI15
r1fcsi15:impflag w1 r whether imputed value
Categ
Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1CSI1 | 4096 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FCSI1 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI2 | 4096 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FCSI2 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI3 | 4096 | 1.11 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI3 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI4 | 4096 | 1.08 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI 4 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI5 | 4096 | 0.41 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI5 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI6 | 4096 | 0.21 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI6 | 4096 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI7 | 4096 | 0.64 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI 7 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI8 | 4096 | 0.57 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI 8 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI9 | 4096 | 0.42 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI9 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI10 | 4096 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI10 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI11 | 4096 | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI11 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI12 | 4096 | 0.40 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI12 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI13 | 4096 | 0.18 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI13 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1CSI14 | 4096 | 0.23 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FCSI14 | 4096 | 0.18 | 1.34 | 0.00 | 12.00 |


| R1CSI15 | 4096 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1FCSI15 | 4096 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1CSI1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 2917 |
| 1.Yes | 1179 |
| Value | R1FCSI1 |
| 0. Not imputed | 4000 |
| 1. Dont know | 21 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 10 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1CSI2 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3136 |
| 1.Yes | 960 |
| Value- | R1FCSI2 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3999 |
| 1. Dont know | 21 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 11 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1CSI3 |
| 0 . No | 1514 |
| 1.Yes | 623 |
| 2.Sometimes | 1959 |
| Value- | R1FCSI3 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3999 |
| 1. Dont know | 18 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 14 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1CSI4 |
| 0 . No | 1580 |
| 1.Yes | 624 |
| 2.Sometimes | 1892 |
| Value | R1FCSI4 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3994 |
| 1. Dont know | 25 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 12 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1CSI5 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3135 |
| 1.Yes | 249 |
| 2. Sometimes | 712 |
| Value | R1FCSI5 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3977 |
| 1. Dont know | 41 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4. Refused | 13 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1CSI6 |
| 0 . No | 3591 |
| 1.Yes | 140 |
| 2.Sometimes | 365 |


| Value | R1FCSI6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 4009 |
| 1. Dont know | 12 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 10 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1CSI7 |
| 0 . No | 2606 |
| 1.Yes | 362 |
| 2.Sometimes | 1128 |
| Value- | R1FCSI7 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3992 |
| 1. Dont know | 27 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 12 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1CSI8 |
| 0 . No | 2752 |
| 1.Yes | 370 |
| 2.Sometimes | 974 |
| Value- | R1FCSI8 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3995 |
| 1. Dont know | 23 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 13 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1CSI9 |
| 0 . No | 3102 |
| 1.Yes | 268 |
| 2.Sometimes | 726 |
| Value- | R1FCSI9 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3996 |
| 1. Dont know | 22 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 13 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1CSI10 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 2091 |
| 1.Yes | 558 |
| 2. Sometimes | 1447 |
| Value- | R1FCSI10 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3983 |
| 1. Dont know | 32 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 16 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1CSI11 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3477 |
| 1.Yes | 219 |
| 2. Sometimes | 400 |
| Value- | R1FCSI11 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3995 |
| 1. Dont know | 22 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 14 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1CSI12 |
| 0 . No | 3136 |
| 1.Yes | 301 |
| 2. Sometimes | 659 |


| Valu | R1FCSI12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 3998 |
| 1. Dont know | 21 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 12 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value- | R1CSI13 |
| 0 . No | 3677 |
| 1.Yes | 121 |
| 2.Sometimes | 298 |
| Value- | R1FCSI13 |
| 0. Not imputed | 4007 |
| 1. Dont know | 12 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 12 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1CSI14 |
| 0 . No | 3517 |
| 1.Yes | 225 |
| 2. Sometimes | 354 |
| Value | R1FCSI14 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3977 |
| 1. Dont know | 33 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 21 |
| 12.Not interviewed | 49 |
| Value | R1CSI15 |
| 0 . No | 3864 |
| 1.Yes | 74 |
| 2. Sometimes | 158 |
| Value | R1FCSI15 |
| 0. Not imputed | 4001 |
| 1. Dont know | 14 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4.Refused | 16 |
| 12.Not interviewed | 49 |

## How Constructed

The following variables pertain to a series of questions that ask the informant about any changes they may have noticed in the respondent.

RwCSI1 indicates whether the informant has noticed a general decline in the respondent's mental functioning.

RwCSI2 indicates whether the informant has noticed that remembering things has been a serious problem for the respondent.

RwCSI3 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent forgets where he/she have put things.

RwCSI4 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent forgets where things are usually kept.

RwCSI5 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent forgets the name of friends.

RwCSI6 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent forgets names of family members.
RWCSI7 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent forgets what he/she wanted to say
in the middle of a conversation.

RwCSI8 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent has difficulty finding the right words.

RwCSI9 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent uses the wrong words.

RwCSI10 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent tends to talk about what happened long ago, rather than the present.

RwCSI11 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent forgets when they last saw the informant.

RwCSI12 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent forgets what happened the day before.

RwCSI13 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent forgets where they are.

RwCSI14 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent gets lost in the community, such as when finding the post office or friends' houses.

RwCSI15 indicates whether the informant has noticed that the respondent gets lost in their own home, such as when finding the toilet.

RwCSI1 and RwCSI2 are coded as follows: 0. No and 1. Yes. RwCSI3 - RwCSI15 are coded as follows: 0. No, 1. Yes, and 2. Sometimes. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwFCSI1 - RwFCSI15 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, $2 . \mathrm{Missing}$, 4.Refused, and 12.Not interviewed. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

```
CSI_COGACT1
Decline in Mental Functioning
CSI_COGACT10 Talks About Past Not Present
CSI_COGACT11
CSI_COGACT12
CSI_COGACT13
CSI-COGACT14
CSI_COGACT15
CSI COGACT2
CSI-COGACT3
CSI_COGACT4
CSI COGACT5
CSI_COGACT6
CSI_COGACT7
CSI_COGACT8
CSI__COGACT9
Forget When Last Saw Inf
Forget What Happened Prior Day
Forget Where He/She Is
Gets Lost in Community
Gets Lost in Own Home
Difficulty Remembering Things
Forget Where Put Things
Forget Where Things Kept
Forget Friends Names
Forget Family Member Names
Forget in Middle Convo
Hard Time Finding Right Words
Uses Wrong Word
```

|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 / 6 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| 1 | R1TEN1 | r1ten1:w1 10-66- household chores |$]$ Categ

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1TEN1 | 4096 | 0.49 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FTEN1 | 4096 | 0.28 | 1.46 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1TEN2 | 4096 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1FTEN2 | 4096 | 0.23 | 1.35 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1TEN3 | 4096 | 0.63 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1FTEN3 | 4096 | 4096 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.82 |
| R1TEN4 | 4096 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1FTEN4 | 4096 | 0.21 | 1.34 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1TEN5 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.00 |  |
| R1FTEN5 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1TEN1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 . No | 2665 |
| 1.Yes | 848 |
| 2. Sometimes | 583 |
| Value | R1FTEN1 |
| 0. Not imputed | 3871 |
| 1. Dont know | 42 |
| 2.Missing | 17 |
| 4.Refused | 117 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |


| Value |  | R1TEN2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.No | \| | 2651 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 1445 |
| Value |  | R1FTEN2 |
| O. Not imputed |  | 3758 |
| 1. Dont know |  | 253 |
| 2.Missing |  | 16 |
| 4.Refused |  | 20 |
| 12. Not interviewed |  | 49 |

Value-------------------------------------| R1TEN3

| 0. No difficulty | 2415 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1. Cannot handle money | 776 |

2.Some difficulty 905

| Value----------------------------------------- | R1FTEN3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 3957 |
| 1. Dont know | 48 |
| 2. Missing | 16 |
| 4. Refused | 26 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |


| Value------------------------------------- | R1TEN4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0.No | 2656 |
| 1.Yes | 562 |
| 2.Sometimes |  |


| Value----------------------------------- | R1FTEN4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 3961 |
| 1. Dont know | 58 |
| 2.Missing | 16 |
| 4. Refused | 12 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |


| Value-------------------------------------- | R1TEN5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. No | 2913 |
| 1. Yes | 1183 |


| Value---------------------------------------- | R1FTEN5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0. Not imputed | 3853 |
| 1. Dont know | 160 |
| 2.Missing | 19 |
| 4. Refused | 15 |
| 12. Not interviewed | 49 |

## How Constructed

RwTEN1 indicates the informant's perception whether the respondent has difficulty performing household chores that they used to do, such as preparing food or boiling a pot of tea. RwTEN1 is coded as follows: 0. No, 1. Yes, and 2. Sometimes. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwTEN2 asks the informant whether the respondent has lost a special skill or hobby that was previously manageable. RwTEN2 is coded as 0 if no and 1 if yes. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwTEN3 asks the informant whether there has been a change in the respondent's ability to handle money. RwTEN3 is coded as follows: 0. No difficulty, 1. Cannot handle money, and 2. Some difficulty. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwTEN4 asks the informant whether the respondent has difficulty in adjusting to change in their daily routine. RwTEN4 is coded as follows: 0. No, 1. Yes, and 2. Sometimes. Special missing (.h) is assigned if

```
the respondent does not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are
assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.
RwTEN5 asks the informant whether there has been a change in the respondent's ability to think and
reason. RwTEN5 is coded as 0 if no and l if yes. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent does
not have an informant interview. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special
missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.
RwFTEN1 - RwFTEN5 are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned
imputed value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, 1.Don't know, 2.Missing,
4.Refused, and 12.Not interviewed. The original missing value is otherwise included.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

TEN_1
TEN_-2
TEN_-3
TEN_4
TEN-5

Difficulty HH Chores
Loss of Special Skill or Hobby
Change in Handling Money
Difficulty Daily Routine
Change in Ability to Think/Reason

## Blessed Test-Part 1

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1BL1_1 | r1bl1_1:w1 Blessed test part 1- performing household tasks | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_1 | r1fbl1_1:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_2 | rlbl1_2:w1 Blessed test part 1- coping with small sums of mo | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_2 | rlfbll_2:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_3 | r1bll_3:w1 Blessed test part 1- remembering a short list of | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_3 | rlfbll_3:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_4 | r1bl1_4:w1 Blessed test part 1- finding her/his way about in | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_4 | rlfbll_4:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_5 | r1bl1_5:w1 Blessed test part 1- finding his/her way around f | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_5 | rlfbll_5:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_6 | r1bl1_6:w1 Blessed test part 1- grasping situations or expla | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_6 | rlfbll_6:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_7 | r1bl1_7:w1 Blessed test part 1- recalling recent events | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_7 | rlfbll_7:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_8 | r1bl1_8:w1 Blessed test part 1- tending to dwell on the past | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_8 | rlfbll_8:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_1A | rlbll_la:w1 Blessed test part 1- performing household tasks | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_1A | rlfbl1_1a:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_2A | r1bll_2a:wl Blessed test part 1- coping with small sums of m | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_2A | r1fbl1_2a:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_3A | r1bl1_3a:w1 Blessed test part 1- remembering a short list of | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_3A | rlfbll_3a:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_4A | r1bll_4a:wl Blessed test part 1- finding her/his way about i | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_4A | rlfbll_4a:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_5A | r1bl1_5a:w1 Blessed test part 1- finding his/her way around | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_5A | rlfbll_5a:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_6A | r1bll_6a:wl Blessed test part 1- grasping situations or expl | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_6A | rlfbl1_6a:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1_7A | r1bl1_7a:w1 Blessed test part 1- recalling recent events - P | Categ |


| 1 | R1FBL1_7A | rlfbl1_7a:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1BL1_8A | r1bl1_8a:w1 Blessed test part 1- tending to dwell on the pas | Categ |
| 1 | R1FBL1_8A | rlfbl1_8a:impflag w1 r whether imputed value | Categ |
| 1 | R1BL1SCORE | rlbl1score:w1 Blessed Test part 1 total score (0-8) Cont |  |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1BL1_1 | 2638 | 1.72 | 0.73 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_1 | 4096 | 4.08 | 5.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_2 | 2638 | 1.42 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_2 | 4096 | 4.08 | 5.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_3 | 2638 | 1.54 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_3 | 4096 | 4.09 | 5.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_4 | 2638 | 1.24 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_4 | 4096 | 4.07 | 5.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_5 | 2638 | 1.25 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_5 | 4096 | 4.08 | 5.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_6 | 2638 | 1.38 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_6 | 4096 | 4.07 | 5.32 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_7 | 2638 | 1.41 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_7 | 4096 | 4.08 | 5.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_8 | 2638 | 1.56 | 0.62 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_8 | 4096 | 4.08 | 5.31 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_1A | 1471 | 1.88 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_1A | 4096 | 7.16 | 5.25 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_2A | 845 | 2.30 | 0.84 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_2A | 4096 | 8.79 | 4.42 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_3A | 1111 | 2.23 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_3A | 4096 | 8.14 | 4.83 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_4A | 496 | 2.30 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_4A | 4096 | 9.70 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_5A | 532 | 2.29 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 3.00 |


| R1FBL1_5A | 4096 | 9.61 | 3.67 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1BL1_6A | 842 | 2.33 | 0.81 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_6A | 4096 | 8.79 | 4.41 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_7A | 909 | 2.28 | 0.81 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_7A | 4096 | 8.62 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1_8A | 1292 | 2.21 | 0.81 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1FBL1_8A | 4096 | 7.68 | 5.06 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| R1BL1SCORE | 2638 | 1.25 | 1.71 | 0.00 | 8.00 |

Categorical Variable Codes


| 0. Not imputed | \| | 2563 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dont know | I | 9 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 8 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 9 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 1458 |
| 12. Not interviewed | I | 49 |
| Value | \| | R1BL1_5 |
| .s:Skipped | \| | 1458 |
| 1.No loss | I | 2106 |
| 2.Some loss | I | 407 |
| 3.Severe loss | \| | 125 |
| Value- | \| | R1FBL1_5 |
| 0. Not imputed | 1 | 2564 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 6 |
| 2.Missing | I | 8 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 11 |
| 11.Skipped | I | 1458 |
| 12. Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value | I | R1BL1_6 |
| .s:Skipped | I | 1458 |
| 1.No loss | I | 1796 |
| 2. Some loss | I | 671 |
| 3.Severe loss | \| | 171 |
| Value |  | R1FBL1_6 |
| 0. Not imputed | I | 2565 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 6 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 8 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 10 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 1458 |
| 12. Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value- |  | R1BL1_7 |
| .s:Skipped | I | 1458 |
| 1.No loss | \| | 1729 |
| 2.Some loss | I | 734 |
| 3.Severe loss | \| | 175 |
| Value- | \| | R1FBL1_7 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | $25 \overline{60}$ |
| 1. Dont know | I | 11 |
| 2.Missing | I | 8 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 10 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 1458 |
| 12. Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value- | \| | R1BL1_8 |
| .s:Skipped | I | 1458 |
| 1. None | \| | 1346 |
| 2. Sometimes | \| | 1106 |
| 3.Frequently | \| | 186 |
| Value- | - | R1FBL1_8 |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 2543 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 27 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 8 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 11 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 1458 |
| 12. Not interviewed | I | 49 |
| Value | \| | R1BL1_1A |
| .s:Skipped | I | $2 \overline{6} 25$ |
| 1.Physical | \| | 758 |
| 2. Mental | \| | 130 |
| 3. Both | I | 583 |
| Value- |  | R1FBL1_1A |
| 0. Not imputed | I | 1419 |


| 1. Dont know | \| | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.Missing | I | 11 |
| 11.Skipped | I | 2611 |
| 12. Not interviewed | I | 49 |
| Value- | \| | R1BL1_2A |
| .s:Skipped | I | 3251 |
| 1.Physical | I | 208 |
| 2.Mental | I | 174 |
| 3. Both | \| | 463 |
| Value- |  | R1FBL1_2A |
| 0. Not imputed | I | 814 |
| 1. Dont know | I | 6 |
| 2.Missing | I | 10 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 3217 |
| 12.Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value | \| | R1BL1_3A |
| .s:Skipped | I | 2985 |
| 1.Physical | \| | 281 |
| 2.Mental | I | 291 |
| 3. Both | \| | 539 |
| Value | I | R1FBL1_3A |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 1051 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 12 |
| 2.Missing | I | 8 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 2976 |
| 12.Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value | \| | R1BL1_4A |
| .s:Skipped | I | 3600 |
| 1.Physical | \| | 127 |
| 2.Mental | \| | 93 |
| 3. Both | \| | 276 |
| Value | \| | R1FBL1_4A |
| O. Not imputed | \| | 481 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 2 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 8 |
| 11.Skipped | I | 3556 |
| 12. Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value | \| | R1BL1_5A |
| .s:Skipped | I | 3564 |
| 1.Physical | \| | 139 |
| 2.Mental | \| | 101 |
| 3. Both | I | 292 |
| Value- | \| | R1FBL1_5A |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 514 |
| 1. Dont know | I | 2 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 8 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 3523 |
| 12. Not interviewed | I | 49 |
| Value- | \| | R1BL1_6A |
| .s:Skipped | I | 3254 |
| 1.Physical | \| | 184 |
| 2.Mental | \| | 200 |
| 3. Both | । | 458 |
| Value- | \| | R1FBL1_6A |
| 0. Not imputed | \| | 811 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 9 |
| 2.Missing | \| | 8 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 3219 |
| 12. Not interviewed | I | 49 |
| Value | - 1 | R1BL1_7A |


| .s:Skipped | \| | 3187 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Physical | \| | 204 |
| 2.Mental | \| | 244 |
| 3. Both |  | 461 |
| Value |  | R1FBL1_7A |
| 0. Not imputed |  | 877 |
| 1. Dont know |  | 6 |
| 2.Missing |  | 8 |
| 4.Refused |  | 1 |
| 11.Skipped | I | 3155 |
| 12.Not interviewed | \| | 49 |
| Value |  | R1BL1_8A |
| .s:Skipped | I | 2804 |
| 1.Physical | I | 316 |
| 2.Mental |  | 385 |
| 3. Both |  | 591 |
| Value- |  | R1FBL1 8A |
| 0. Not imputed |  | 1216 |
| 1. Dont know | \| | 21 |
| 2.Missing | I | 8 |
| 4.Refused | \| | 1 |
| 11.Skipped | \| | 2801 |
| 12.Not interviewed | \| | 49 |

## How Constructed

The following variables pertain to a series of questions regarding the informant's perception about how well the respondent does with different activities.

RwBL1_1 indicates whether the informant would say that the respondent has no loss, some loss, or severe loss performing household tasks.

RwBL1_2 indicates whether the informant would say that the respondent has no loss, some loss, or severe loss coping with small sums of money.

RwBL1_3 indicates whether the informant would say that the respondent has no loss, some loss, or severe loss remembering a short list of items such as a shopping list.

RwBL1_4 indicates whether the informant would say that the respondent has no loss, some loss, or severe loss in his/her ability to find his/her way around indoor locations, such as at home or other familiar locations.

RwBL1_5 indicates whether the informant would say that the respondent has no loss, some loss, or severe loss $\bar{f} i n d i n g$ his/her way around familiar streets.

RwBL1 6 indicates whether the informant would say that the respondent has no loss, some loss, or severe loss in his/her ability to grasp situations or explanations.

RwBL1_7 indicates whether the informant would say that the respondent has no loss, some loss, or severe loss in his/her ability to recall recent events.

RwBL1_1- RwBL1_7 are coded as follows: 1. No loss, 2. Some loss, and 3. Severe loss. Special missing (.s) is assigned if the respondent skipped the question. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwBL1_8 indicates whether the informant would say that the respondent tends to dwell on the past: 1 . None (of the time), 2. Sometimes, or 3. Frequently. Special missing (.s) is assigned if the respondent skipped this question. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

R1BL1_1A - RwBL1_8A indicate whether the informant would say that the loss of RwBL1_1 - RwBL1_8 is due to physical reasons, mental reasons, or both. R1BL1_1A - RwBL1_8A are coded as follows: 1.Physical, 2.Mental and 3.Both. Special missing (.s) is assigned if the respondent skipped these questions due to answering
"1. No loss", "don't know", or "refused to answer" in the previous question (respective to RwBL1 1 RwBL1_7) or "1. None (of the time)", "don't know", or "refused to answer" to RwBL1_8. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned as special missing (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively.

RwBL1SCORE indicates the total score of RwBL1_1- RwBL1_8. RwBL1SCORE is calculated by taking the sum of values between R RwBL1_1 - RwBL1_8 if the loss is due to mental and/or both physical and mental reasons. Some loss/sometimes is scored as ${ }^{-} 0.5$ and Severe loss/frequently is scored as 1 . Special missing (.s) is assigned if the respondent skipped the questions in this section. Don't know response is assigned special missing (.d). Other missing is assigned as special missing (.m).

RwFBL1_1 - RwFBL1_8 and RwFBL1_1A - RwFBL1_8A are flag variables, indicating whether the corresponding variable has an assigned imputè value. The flag variables are coded as follows: 0.Not imputed, $1 . D o n ' t$ know, 2.Missing, 4.Refused, 11.Skipped, and 12. Not interviewed. The original missing value is otherwise included.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

Due to a skipped pattern error in the phase 1 data, there are special missing (.s) for phase 1 respondents.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

| BL1_1 |
| :---: |
| BL1- 2 |
| BL1 ${ }^{-} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| BL1 3 |
| BL1 3A |
| BL1 4 |
| BL1_4A |
| BL1-5 |
| BL1 5A |
| BL1 6 |
| BL1 6A |
| BL1_7 |
| BL1_7A |
| BL1 8 |
| BL1 8A |

```
Ability to Perform HH Tasks
HH Tasks - Physical/Mental/Both
Ability to Cope with Money
Coping with Money - Physical/Mental/Both
Ability to Remember Lists
Remembering Lists - Physical/Mental/Both
Ability to Find Way in Home
Find Way in Home - Physical/Mental/Both
Ability to Find Way on Streets
Find Way on Streets - Physical/Mental/Both
Ability to Grasp Situation
Grasp Situation - Physical/Mental/Both
Ability to Recall Events
Recall Events - Physical/Mental/Both
Tend to Dwell on Past
Dwell on Past - Physical/Mental/Both
```


## Section D: Health \& Physical Measures

## Self-rated Abilities

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1I_HEAR | r1i_hear:w1 R whether any difficulty hearing or seeing(0-3) | Categ |
| 1 | R1I_SLEEP | r1i_sleep:w1 R self rated sleep quality, last night(1-5) | Categ |
| 1 | R1I_MEMORY | r1i_memory:w1 R self rated memory, present time(1-5) | Categ |
| 1 | R1I_COMPMEM | rli_compmem:w1 R self rated memory compared to two years ago | Categ |
| 1 | R1I_MENABIL | rli_menabil:w1 R self rated mental abilities(1-5) | Categ |
| 1 | R1I_COMPABIL | rli_compabil:w1 R self rated mental abilities to two years a | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1I_HEAR | 4081 | 1.10 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1I_SLEEP | 2490 | 2.69 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1I_MEMORY | 2482 | 2.88 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1I_COMPMEM | 2483 | 2.49 | 0.57 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1I_MENABIL | 2231 | 2.89 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| R1I_COMPABIL | 2478 | 2.42 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 3.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1I_HEAR |
| :---: | :---: |
| .d:DK | 2 |
| .m:Missing | 6 |
| .r:Refuse | 7 |
| 0.No difficulty | 1974 |
| 1. Difficulty hearing only | 421 |
| 2. Difficulty seeing only | 1005 |
| 3. Difficulty hearing \& seeing | 681 |
| Value- | R1I_SLEEP |
| . d: DK | 4 |
| .m:Missing | 3 |
| .r:Refuse | 7 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 1.Very good | 176 |
| 2. Good | 1080 |
| 3.Average | 666 |
| 4. Poor | 487 |
| 5.Very poor | 81 |
| Value | R1I_MEMORY |
| . d : DK | 8 |
| .m:Missing | 3 |
| .r:Refuse | 11 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 1.Very good | 95 |
| 2. Good | 781 |
| 3.Average | 1012 |
| 4. Poor | 515 |


| 5.Very poor | 79 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Value | R1I_COMPMEM |
| .d:DK | 8 |
| .m:Missing | 3 |
| .r:Refuse | 10 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 1. Better now | 94 |
| 2.About the same | 1087 |
| 3.Worse now than it was then | 1302 |
| Value- | R1I_MENABIL |
| .d:DK | 16 |
| .m:Missing | 248 |
| .r:Refuse | 9 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 1.Very good | 55 |
| 2. Good | 726 |
| 3. Average | 919 |
| 4.Poor | 474 |
| 5.Very poor | 57 |
| Value | R1I_COMPABIL |
| . d: DK | 13 |
| .m:Missing | 3 |
| .r:Refuse | 10 |
| .x:Not in phase/wave | 1592 |
| 1. Better now | 130 |
| 2.About the same | 1182 |
| 3.Worse now than it was then | 1166 |

## How Constructed

RwI_HEAR indicates whether the respondent has any difficulty in hearing or seeing. RwI_HEAR is coded as follows: 0.No difficulty, 1.Difficulty hearing, 2.Difficulty seeing, and $3 . D i f f i c u l t y ~ h e a r i n g ~ a n d ~ s e e i n g . ~$ This question was asked in all three phases of the data collection.

RwI_SLEEP indicates how the respondent self-reported his/her sleep quality the night before. RwI_SLEEP is coded as follows: 1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Average, 4.Poor, and 5.Very poor. This question was asked starting in phase 2 of the data collection.

RwI MEMORY indicates how the respondent self-reported his/her memory at the present interview. RwI MEMORY is coded as follows: 1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Average, 4.Poor, and 5.Very poor. This question was asked starting in phase 2 of the data collection.

RwI_COMPMEM indicates how the respondent would compare his/her memory at the time of the current
interview to two years ago. RwI COMPMEM is coded as follows: 1.Better now, 2 . About the same, and 3 . Worse now than it was then. This question was asked starting in phase 2 of the data collection.

RwI_MENABIL indicates how the respondent self-reported his/her mental abilities, such as thinking clearly and solving problems. RwI_MENABIL is coded as follows: 1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Average, 4.Poor, and 5.Very poor. This question was asked starting in phase 2 of the data collection.

RwI_COMPABIL indicates how the respondent would compare his/her mental abilities, such as thinking clearly and solving problems, at the time of the current interview to two years ago. RwI_COMPABIL is coded as follows: 1.Better now, 2.About the same, and 3.Worse now than it was then. This question was asked starting in phase 2 of the data collection.

Special missing includes (.r) refused, (.d) don't know, (.x) not in phase/wave, and (.m) other missing.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

These questions were added starting in phase 2 of the data collection.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

```
This series of questions was not asked in HRS HCAP.
```


## Differences with Harmonized LASI

```
This series of questions was not asked in LASI.
```


## DAD Variables Used

```
Wave 1 Cog:
    COGVAL_101E
    COGVAL 101F
    INTRO \overline{101S1}
    INTRO_101S2
    INTRO_101S3
```

    COGVAL_101B rating sleep quality
    COGVAL_101C rating current memory
    COGVAL_101D Compared to two years ago, memory is
    ```
rating of other mental abilities
Compared to two years ago,other mental abilit
Respondent IW Introduction 1 Yes, difficulty
Respondent IW Introduction 2 Yes, difficulty
Respondent IW Introduction 3 None
```


## Blood Pressure Measurements



## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1SYSTO1 | 4017 | 140.49 | 24.39 | 75.00 | 232.00 |
| R1SYSTO2 | 4011 | 137.56 | 23.48 | 72.00 | 232.00 |
| R1SYSTO3 | 4007 | 136.14 | 22.88 | 77.00 | 235.00 |
| R1SYSTO | 4011 | 136.85 | 22.82 | 76.50 | 233.50 |
| R1DIASTO1 | 4016 | 83.56 | 12.82 | 46.00 | 149.00 |
| R1DIASTO2 | 4010 | 82.35 | 12.57 | 43.00 | 162.00 |
| R1DIASTO3 | 4004 | 81.72 | 12.39 | 43.00 | 155.00 |
| R1DIASTO | 4011 | 82.04 | 12.14 | 47.50 | 137.00 |
| R1PULSE1 | 4014 | 80.65 | 13.04 | 39.00 | 136.00 |
| R1PULSE2 | 4010 | 80.05 | 12.97 | 2.00 | 160.00 |


| R1PULSE3 | 3998 | 79.94 | 12.86 | 39.00 | 188.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1PULSE | 4010 | 80.00 | 12.73 | 28.00 | 160.00 |
| R1BPHIGH | 4011 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BPEAT | 4061 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BPARM | 4040 | 1.02 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| R1BLDPOS | 4040 | 2.01 | 0.07 | 2.00 | 3.00 |
| R1BPCOMPL | 4041 | 1.01 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 3.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

|  |  | R1BPHIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . d: DK | I | 5 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .i:Invalid | I | 1 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 11 |
| . q : Did not complete | I | 25 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 3 |
| .s:Skipped | \| | 28 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | I | 2190 |
| 1.Yes | I | 1821 |
| Value | \| | R1BPEAT |
| .h:Not interviewed | I | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 22 |
| .r:Refuse | I | 1 |
| 0 . No | I | 3417 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 644 |
| Value | \| | R1BPARM |
| . d : DK | \| | 1 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | I | 27 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 2 |
| .s:Skipped | I | 14 |
| 1.Left arm | I | 3967 |
| 2.Right arm | \| | 73 |
| Value- | \| | R1BLDPOS |
| . d : DK | I | 1 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | I | 27 |
| .r:Refuse | I | 2 |
| .s:Skipped | \| | 14 |
| 2.Sitting | I | 4019 |
| 3.Lying down | \| | 21 |
| Value- | \| | R1BPCOMPL |
| . d : DK | \| | 2 |
| .h:Not interviewed | I | 12 |
| .m:Missing | I | 27 |
| .s:Skipped | \| | 14 |
| 1.Fully compliant | \| | 4005 |
| 2.Prevented from being fully compliant | \| | 20 |
| 3. Not fully compliant | । | 16 |

## How Constructed

RwSYSTOL1, RwSYSTOL2, and RwSYSTOL3 are the respondent's first, second, and third systolic blood pressure readings. RwSYSTOL is the average of the second and third systolic blood pressure readings. If either the second or the third systolic blood pressure reading is missing, but not both, the first systolic blood
pressure reading and the non-missing second or third reading is used to calculate RwSYSTOL. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.q) is assigned if the respondent tried to do the test but was unable to complete it. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent did not understand the directions, was unwilling to participate in the blood pressure measurement, or had a rash, a cast, edema, open sores or wounds, or a significant bruise where the blood pressure cuff would be placed. Special missing (.i) is assigned for invalid readings. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwDIASTO1, RwDIASTO2, RwDIASTO3 are the respondent's first, second, and third diastolic blood pressure readings. RwDIASTO is the average of the second and the third diastolic blood pressure readings. If either the second or the third diastolic blood pressure reading is missing, but not both, the first diastolic blood pressure reading and the non-missing second or third reading is used to calculate RwDIASTO. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.q) is assigned if the respondent tried to do the test but was unable to complete it. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent did not understand the directions, was unwilling to participate in the blood pressure measurement, or had a rash, a cast, edema, open sores or wounds, or a significant bruise where the blood pressure cuff would be placed. Special missing (.i) is assigned for invalid readings. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwPULSE1, RwPULSE2, and RwPULSE3 are the respondent's first, second, and third pulse readings. RwPULSE is the average of the second and the third pulse readings. If either the second or the third pulse reading is missing, but not both, the first pulse reading and the non-missing second or third reading is used to calculate RwPULSE. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.q) is assigned if the respondent tried to do the test but was unable to complete it. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent did not understand the directions, was unwilling to participate in the blood pressure measurement, or had a rash, a cast, edema, open sores or wounds, or a significant bruise where the blood pressure cuff would be placed. Special missing (.i) is assigned for invalid readings. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwBPHIGH indicates whether the respondent has high blood pressure. If RwSYSTO is 140 mmHg or higher or RwDIASTO is 90 mmHg or higher, a 1 is coded. If RwSYSTO is below 140 mmHg and RwDIASTO is below 90 mmHg , a 0 is coded. If RwSYSTO or RwDIASTO have don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.q) is assigned if the respondent tried to do the test but was unable to complete it. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent did not understand the directions, was unwilling to participate in the blood pressure measurement, or had a rash, a cast, edema, open sores or wounds, or a significant bruise where the blood pressure cuff would be placed. Special missing (.i) is assigned for invalid readings. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwBPEAT indicates whether the respondent had smoked, exercised, or consumed alcohol or food within 30 minutes prior to the blood pressure test. A code of 1 indicates the respondent had smoked, exercised, or consumed alcohol or food within the 30 minutes prior to the blood pressure test. A code of 0 indicates the respondent had not smoked, exercised, or consumed alcohol or food within the 30 minutes prior to the blood pressure test. Refused and other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.r) and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwBPARM indicates the arm the respondent used for the blood pressure tests. RwBPARM is coded as follows: 1.Left arm and 2.Right arm. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent did not understand the directions, was unwilling to participate in the blood pressure measurement, or had a rash, a cast, edema, open sores or wounds, or a significant bruise where the blood pressure cuff would be placed. Refused and other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.r) and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwBLDPOS indicates the position the respondent was in for the blood pressure tests. RwBLDPOS is coded as 2 if sitting and 3 if lying down. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent did not understand the directions, was unwilling to participate in the blood pressure measurement, or had a rash, a cast, edema, open sores or wounds, or a significant bruise where the blood pressure cuff would be placed. Refused and other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.r) and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

```
RwBPCOMPL indicates how compliant the respondent was for the blood pressure tests. RwBPCOMPL is coded as
follows: 1.Fully compliant, 2.Prevented from fully complying due to illness, pain, or other symptoms or
discomfort, and 3.Not fully compliant. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped
because the respondent did not understand the directions, was unwilling to participate in the blood
pressure measurement, or had a rash, a cast, edema, open sores or wounds, or a significant bruise where
the blood pressure cuff would be placed. Don't know and other missing responses are assigned special
missing codes (.d) and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not
interviewed.
We have left the determination of valid and invalid measurement values to the discretion of the user.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

```
Wave 1 GA:
```

    GA101
    GA102
    GA103
    GA10 4
    GA10 6
    GA107
    GA10 8
    GA110
    GA111
    GA112
    GA114
    GA115
    GA116
    GA120
    GA121
    GA122
    ```
Blood Pressure
ACTIVITY PRIOR TO BP TEST
INJURY WHERE BP CUFF CONTACTS ARM
INJURY WHERE BP CUFF CONTACTS ARM
SYSTOLIC READING 1
DIASTOLIC READING 1
PULSE READING 1
SYSTOLIC READING 2
DIASTOLIC READING 2
PULSE READING 2
SYSTOLIC READING }
DIASTOLIC READING 3
PULSE READING 3
ARM USED FOR BP MEASUREMENTS
RS POSITION FOR BP TEST
HOW COMPLIANT DURING TEST
```


## Height, Weight, and BMI

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1MHEIGHT | rlmheight:w1 r height measurement in meters | Cont |
| 1 | R1MWEIGHT | rlmweight:w1 r weight measurement in kilograms | Cont |
| 1 | R1MBMI | r1mbmi:w1 r Body Mass Index=kg/m2 | Cont |
| 1 | R1BMICAT | r1bmicat:w1 r bmi categorization | Categ |
| 1 | R1HT_FLAG | r1ht_flag:w1 Flag: r LASI height measurement in meters | Categ |
| 1 | R1WT_FLAG | r1wt_flag:wl Flag: r LASI weight measurement in kilograms | Categ |
| 1 | R1MSTAND | r1mstand:w1 $r$ whether able to stand for measurements | Categ |
| 1 | R1HTLIMBS | rlhtlimbs:w1 r whether wearing artificial limb/orthosis duri | Categ |
| 1 | R1WTLIMBS | rlwtlimbs:w1 r whether wearing artificial limb/orthosis duri | Categ |
| 1 | R1HTCOMPL | r1htcompl:w1 r compliance during height measurement | Categ |
| 1 | R1WTCOMPL | r1wtcompl:w1 r compliance during weight measurement | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1MHEIGHT | 3808 | 1.54 | 0.09 | 1.03 | 2.05 |
| R1MWEIGHT | 3992 | 53.58 | 13.30 | 12.30 | 111.40 |
| R1MBMI | 3775 | 22.50 | 5.05 | 9.53 | 47.69 |
| R1BMICAT | 3775 | 1.14 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1HT_FLAG | 4089 | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1WT_FLAG | 4089 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1MSTAND | 4016 | 0.97 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1HTLIMBS | 2524 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1WTLIMBS | 3853 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1HTCOMPL | 2438 | 1.08 | 0.35 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| R1WTCOMPL | 3846 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 3.00 |  |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1BMICAT |
| :---: | :---: |
| .h:Not interviewed | 7 |
| .i:Invalid | 36 |
| .m:Missing | 118 |
| .r:Refuse | 118 |
| .s:Skipped | 42 |
| $0 . L$ Less than 18.5 bmi | 860 |


| 1.18.5-24.99 bmi | 1843 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.25.0-29.9 bmi | 770 |
| 3.30 .0 and greater bmi | 302 |
| Value- | R1HT FLAG |
| .h:Not interviewed | 7 |
| 0. DAD | 1504 |
| 1.LASI | 2585 |
| Value | R1WT_FLAG |
| .h:Not interviewed | 7 |
| 0. DAD | 3886 |
| 1.LASI | 203 |
| Value- | R1MSTAND |
| . d: DK | 3 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 4 |
| .r:Refuse | 61 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 132 |
| 1.Yes | 3884 |
| Value | R1HTLIMBS |
| . d: DK | 394 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 604 |
| .r:Refuse | 430 |
| .s:Skipped | 132 |
| 0 . No | 2261 |
| 1.Yes | 263 |
| Value- | R1WTLIMBS |
| . d: DK | 29 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 68 |
| .r:Refuse | 2 |
| .s:Skipped | 132 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3848 |
| 1.Yes | 5 |
| Value | R1HTCOMPL |
| . d: DK | 483 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 604 |
| .r:Refuse | 427 |
| .s:Skipped | 132 |
| 1.Fully compliant | 2296 |
| 2.Prevented from being fully compliant | 86 |
| 3. Not fully compliant | 56 |
|  | R1WTCOMPL |
| . d: DK | 33 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 68 |
| .r:Refuse | 5 |
| .s:Skipped | 132 |
| 1.Fully compliant | 3824 |
| 2.Prevented from being fully compliant | 15 |
| 3.Not fully compliant |  |

## How Constructed

RwMHEIGHT and RwMWEIGHT indicate the respondent's measured height in meters and measured weight in kilograms, respectively. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.q) is assigned if the respondent tried to be measured but received an error message record. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent could not stand to complete the test. Special missing (.i) is assigned for invalid readings. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed. RwHT_FLAG and

RwWT_FLAG indicate whether RwMHEIGHT and RwMWEIGHT use DAD or LASI height and weight measurements, respectively. A 0 indicates DAD measurements were used and a 1 indicates LASI measurements were used.

RwMBMI is the respondent's body mass index and it is calculated by dividing the respondent's weight (kg) by the squared value of his/her height ( $m$ ) . RwBMICAT assigns RwMBMI into four categories. RwBMICAT includes the following BMI ranges: 0. 0-18.49, 1. 18.5-24.99, 2. 25.0-29.99, and 3. 30 and up. Refused or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.r) and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent could not stand to complete the test. Special missing (.i) is assigned for invalid readings. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwMSTAND indicates whether the respondent is able to stand for the height and weight measurements. RwMSTAND is coded as 1 if the respondent was able to stand and is coded as 0 if the respondent was unable to stand. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwHTLIMBS indicates whether the respondent was wearing any artificial limbs or orthosis during the height measurements and RwWTLIMBS indicates whether the respondent was wearing any artificial limbs or orthosis during the weight measurements. RwHTLIMBS and RwWTLIMBS are coded as 1 if the respondent was wearing an artificial limb or orthosis during the measurement and coded as 0 if the respondent was not wearing any artificial limb or orthosis. RwHTCOMPL and RwWTCOMPL indicate how compliant the respondent was during the height and weight measurements, respectively. RwHTCOMPL and RwWTCOMPL are coded as follows: 1.Fully compliant, 2.Prevented from fully complying due to illness, pain, or other symptoms or discomforts, and 3.Not fully compliant, but no obvious reason for this. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.s) is employed if the questions were skipped because the respondent could not stand to complete the test. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

## GA123

GA123B
GA124
GA125
GA127B
GA128
GA129

CAN RESPONDENT STAND
Measurement height
R WEARING ARTIFICIAL LIMBS OR ORTHOSIS
HOW COMPLIANT DURING TEST
Measurement weight
ARTIFICIAL LIMB
HOW COMPLIANT DURING TEST

## Mid Arm Circumference, Calf Circumference and Knee Height

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1MIDARM | rlmidarm:w1 r mid arm circumference (cm) |$\quad$ Type

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1MIDARM | 4051 | 25.17 | 3.79 | 11.70 | 55.80 |
| R1CALF | 4049 | 29.41 | 4.23 | 15.20 | 62.00 |
| R1KNEEHT | 3848 | 49.10 | 3.54 | 25.00 | 61.00 |

## How Constructed

RwMIDARM, RwCALF, and RwKNEEHT indicate the respondent's measured mid arm circumference (cm), measured calf circumference (cm), and measured knee height (cm), respectively. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.i) is assigned for invalid readings. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

Cross Wave Differences in DAD
No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

```
These variables are not included in LASI.
```


## DAD Variables Used

| GA131 | MID ARM CIRCUMFERENCE |
| :--- | :--- |
| GA134 | CALF CIRCUMFERENCE |
| GA137 | Knee measurement |

## Activities of daily living (ADLs): Some difficulty

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1DRESSA | rldressa:w1 r Some Diff-Dressing | Categ |
| 1 | R1WALKRA | rlwalkra:w1 r Some Diff-Walk across room | Categ |
| 1 | R1BATHA | rlbatha:w1 r Some Diff-Bathing | Categ |
| 1 | R1EATA | rleata:w1 r Some Diff-Eating | Categ |
| 1 | R1BEDA | r1beda:w1 r Some Diff-Get in/out bed | Categ |
| 1 | R1TOILTA | rltoilta:w1 r Some Diff-Using the toilet |  |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1DRESSA | 4065 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1WALKRA | 4065 | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BATHA | 4064 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1EATA | 4065 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1BEDA | 4065 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1TOILTA | 4065 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1DRESSA |
| :---: | :---: |
| . d: DK | 4 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 10 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3408 |
| 1.Yes | 657 |
| Value | R1WALKRA |
| . d : DK | 4 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 10 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3012 |
| 1.Yes | 1053 |
| Value | R1BATHA |
| .d:DK | 5 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 10 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3370 |
| 1.Yes | 694 |
| Value | R1EATA |
| .d:DK | 4 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 10 |


| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 3447 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Yes | \| | 618 |
| Value |  | R1BEDA |
| . d: DK | \| | 4 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse |  | 10 |
| 0 . No | \| | 2662 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 1403 |
| Value |  | R1TOILTA |
| . d: DK |  | 4 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | I | 5 |
| .r:Refuse |  | 10 |
| 0 . No |  | 2562 |
| 1.Yes |  | 1503 |

## How Constructed

```
These variables pertain to questions regarding Activities of Daily Living (ADLs) and whether the
respondent experienced any difficulty performing said tasks due to health or memory problems. The ADLs
include dressing (RwDRESSA), walking across a room (RwWALKRA), bathing (RwBATHA), eating (RwEATA),
getting in and out of bed (RwBEDA), and using the toilet (RwTOILTA). The respondent was instructed to
exclude any difficulties they expect to last less than three months.
A code of 0 indicates that the respondent did not report any problems with the activity. A code of 1
indicates that the respondent reported some difficulty with the activity due to health or memory
problems. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r),
and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

GA201
GA2 02
GA203
GA20 4
GA2 05
GA206

```
Dressing, including putting on chappals, shoe
Walking across a room
Bathing
Eating, breaking chapatti, mixing rice
Getting in or out of bed
Using the toilet, including getting up and do
```


## ADL Summary: Any difficulty

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1ADLA_D | rladla_d:w1 r Some Diff-ADLs (0-6) |
| 1 | R1ADLANY | rladlany:w1 r Any ADL Diff |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1ADLA_D | 4065 | 1.46 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 6.00 |
| R1ADLANY | 4065 | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value- | \| | R1ADLA_D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . d: DK | \| | 4 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 10 |
| 0 | \| | 1927 |
| 1 | \| | 606 |
| 2 | \| | 542 |
| 3 | \| | 333 |
| 4 | \| | 253 |
| 5 | \| | 197 |
| 6 | \| | 207 |
| Value- | \| | R1ADLANY |
| . d : DK | \| | 4 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 10 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 1927 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 2138 |

## How Constructed

RwADLA D is an Activities of Daily Living (ADL) summary, indicating the number of ADLs that are difficult for the respondents. Specifically, RwADLA_D is constructed as:

RwADLA_D = sum (RwWALKRA, RwBATHA, RwDRESSA, RwEATA, RwBEDA, RwTOILTA)
RwADLANY indicates whether the respondent had any difficulty with one or more ADLs between RwWALKRA,
RwBATHA, RwDRESSA, RwEATA, RwBEDA, and RwTOILTA. A 1 is coded if the respondent reported having difficulty with one or more ADL. A 0 indicates no difficulty with any of the included ADLs.

RwADLM indicates the number of missing values the respondent has between RwWALKRA, RwBATHA, RwDRESSA, RwEATA, RwBEDA, and RwTOILTA. RwADLM ranges from 0 to 6.

Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

Please see "Activities of Daily Living (ADLs): Some difficulty" for a description of how each individual ADL was constructed.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

The harmonized DAD constructs an Activities of Daily Living (ADL) summary measure (RwADLA_D) by taking the sum of RwWALKRA, RwBATHA, RwDRESSA, RwEATA, RwBEDA, and RwTOILTA. The harmonized LASI constructs four Activities of Daily Living (ADL) summary measures. One uses the ADLs proposed by Wallace and Herzog in their paper (Wallace and Herzog, 1995) to define an ADL summary (RwADLWA): bathe, dress, and eat. The second includes the aforementioned ADLs and adds getting in/out of bed and walking across a room. The third includes the three ADLs from the three-item summary and adds getting in/out of bed and using the toilet. The fourth includes all six ADLs asked in the LASI: bathe, dress, eat, getting in/out of bed, walking across a room, and using the toilet.

## Instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs): Some difficulty

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1MEALSA | r1mealsa:w1 r Some Diff-Prepare hot meal | Categ |
| 1 | R1SHOPA | r1shopa:w1 r Some Diff-Shop for grocery | Categ |
| 1 | R1PHONEA | r1phonea:w1 r Some Diff-Use telephone | Categ |
| 1 | R1MEDSA | r1medsa:w1 r Some Diff-Take medications | Categ |
| 1 | R1HOUSEWKA | r1housewka:w1 r Some Diff-Doing hhold chores | Categ |
| 1 | R1MONEYA | r1moneya:w1 r Some Diff-Managing money | Categ |
| 1 | R1GETA | r1geta:w1 r Some Diff-Getting around | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1MEALSA | 4033 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SHOPA | 4042 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1PHONEA | 3961 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1MEDSA | 4056 | 0.17 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1HOUSEWKA | 4044 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1MONEYA | 4017 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1GETA | 4040 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes



| . d : DK |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | I | 11 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 3372 |
| 1.Yes |  | 684 |
| Value- |  | R1HOUSEWKA |
| . d : DK | \| | 19 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | , | 16 |
| 0 . No | \| | 2686 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 1358 |
| Value- |  | R1MONEYA |
| . d: DK |  | 47 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 15 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 2548 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 1469 |
| Value |  | R1GETA |
| . d: DK | \| | 24 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 15 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 2335 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 1705 |

## How Constructed

These variables pertain to questions regarding Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADLs) and whether the respondent experienced any difficulty performing said tasks due to health or memory problems. The IADLs included are: preparing a meal (RwMEALSA), shopping for groceries (RwSHOPA), making telephone calls (RwPHONEA), taking medications (RwMEDSA), doing work around the house or garden (RwHOUSEWKA), managing money, such as paying bills and keeping track of expenses (RwMONEYA), and getting around or finding an address in an unfamiliar place (RwGETA). The respondent was instructed to exclude any difficulties they expect to last less than three months.

A code of 0 indicates that the respondent did not report any problems with the activity. A code of 1 indicates that the respondent reported some difficulty with the activity due to health or memory problems. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

GA2 207
GA208
GA209
GA210
GA211
GA212
GA213

Preparing a hot meal
Shopping for groceries
Making telephone calls
Taking medications
Doing work around the house or garden
Money, such as paying bills and keeping track
Getting around or finding address in unfamili

## IADL Summary: Any difficulty

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1IADLTOT1_D | r1iadltot1_d:w1 r Some Diff-IADLs (0-7) | Categ |
| 1 | R1IADLTO1A_D | rliadltotla_d:w1 r Any IADL Diff | Categ |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1IADLTOT1_D | 4062 | 2.33 | 2.30 | 0.00 | 7.00 |
| R1IADLTO1A_D | 4062 | 0.68 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | \|R1IADLTOT1_D |
| :---: | :---: |
| . d: DK | 6 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 11 |
| 0 | 1285 |
| 1 | 669 |
| 2 | 490 |
| 3 | 407 |
| 4 | 324 |
| 5 | 313 |
| 6 | 299 |
| 7 | 275 |
| Value | \|R1IADLTO1A_D |
| . d: DK | 6 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 11 |
| 0 . No | 1285 |
| 1.Yes | 2777 |

## How Constructed

RwIADLTOT1_D is an Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) summary measure, indicating the number of IADLs that are difficult for the respondent. Each limitation adds one to the summary measure and the variable is constructed as:

RwIADLTOT1_D = sum (RwPHONEA, RwMONEYA, RwMEDSA, RwSHOPA, RwMEALA, RwHOUSEWKA, RwGETA).

RwIADLTO1A D indicates whether the respondent has any difficulty with one or more IADL between RwPHONEA, RwMONEYA, RwMEDSA, RwSHOPA, RwMEALA, RwHOUSEWKA, and RwGETA. A 1 is coded if the respondent reported having difficulty with one or more IADL. A 0 indicates no difficulty with any of the included IADLs.

Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

Please see "Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADLs): Some difficulty" for a description of how individual dummy variables were constructed.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

The Harmonized DAD constructs an Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) summary (RwIADLTOT1 D) by taking the sum of RwPHONEA, RwMONEYA, RwMEDSA, RwSHOPA, RwMEALA, RwHOUSEWKA, and RwGETA. The Harmonized LASI constructs four Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) summary measures. One summarizes the commonly used IADLs: using the phone, managing money, and taking medications. The second summarizes managing money, taking medications, shopping for groceries, and preparing hot meals. The third includes the three IADLs from the three-item summary and adds shopping for groceries and preparing hot meals. The fourth summarizes all seven IADLs that are asked in the LASI: making telephone calls, managing money, taking medications, shopping for groceries, preparing hot meals, getting around or finding an address in an unfamiliar place, and doing work around the house or garden.

## Mental health (CESD score)

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1MINDTS_D | r1mindts_d:w1 r CESD trouble concentrating | Categ |
| 1 | R1DEPRES_D | rldepres_d:w1 r CESD felt depressed | Categ |
| 1 | R1FTIRED_D | rlftired_d: w1 r CESD felt tired | Categ |
| 1 | R1FEARL_D | rlfearl_d:w1 r CESD afraid | Categ |
| 1 | R1ENLIFE_D | rlenlife_d:w1 r CESD enjoyed life | Categ |
| 1 | R1FLONE_D | rlflone_d:w1 r CESD lonely | Categ |
| 1 | R1B0THER_D | r1bother_d:w1 r CESD bothered by things | Categ |
| 1 | R1EFFORT_D | rleffort_d:w1 r CESD everything was an effort | Categ |
| 1 | R1FHOPE_D | r1fhope_d:w1 r CESD felt hopeful | Categ |
| 1 | R1WHAPPY_D | r1whappy_d:w1 r CESD was happy | Categ |
| 1 | R1CESD10 | rlcesdl0:w1 r CESD score 10 item(0-30) | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1MINDTS_D | 4032 | 1.66 | 0.87 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1DEPRES_D | 4024 | 1.91 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FTIRED_D | 4035 | 2.32 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FEARL_D | 4019 | 1.42 | 0.74 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1ENLIFE_D | 4009 | 2.51 | 1.14 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FLONE_D | 4022 | 1.66 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1BOTHER_D | 4011 | 1.72 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1EFFORT_D | 3992 | 1.92 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FHOPE_D | 3998 | 2.42 | 1.12 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1WHAPPY_D | 4021 | 2.60 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1CESD10 | 3917 | 9.98 | 5.39 | 0.00 | 30.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| alue | R1MINDTS_D |
| :---: | :---: |
| . d: DK | 19 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 28 |
| 1.Rarely or never (less than 1 day) | 2218 |
| 2.Sometimes (1 or 2 days) | 1186 |



| 4.Most or all of the time (5-7 days) | \| | 380 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value |  | R1FHOPE D |
| . d: DK |  | 43 |
| .h:Not interviewed |  | 12 |
| .m:Missing |  | 5 |
| .r:Refuse |  | 38 |
| 1.Rarely or never (less than 1 day) |  | 1069 |
| 2.Sometimes (1 or 2 days) |  | 1113 |
| $3 . O f t e n$ (3 or 4 days) |  | 875 |
| 4.Most or all of the time (5-7 days) |  | 941 |
| Value |  | R1WHAPPY_D |
| . d: DK |  | 17 |
| .h:Not interviewed |  | 12 |
| .m:Missing |  | 5 |
| .r:Refuse |  | 41 |
| 1.Rarely or never (less than 1 day) |  | 818 |
| 2.Sometimes (1 or 2 days) |  | 1098 |
| 3.Often (3 or 4 days) |  | 979 |
| 4.Most or all of the time (5-7 days) |  | 1126 |

## How Constructed

The following variables indicate the frequency with which a respondent experienced different feelings in the past week.

RwMINDTS_D indicates how often the respondent had trouble concentrating during the past week.
RwDEPRES_D indicates how often the respondent felt depressed during the past week.

RwFTRIED_D indicates how often the respondent felt tired or low in energy during the past week.
RwFEARL_D indicates how often the respondent was afraid of something during the past week.
RwENLIFE_D indicates how often the respondent felt generally satisfied during the past week.

RwFLONE D indicates how often the respondent felt alone during the past week.

RwBOTHER_D indicates how often the respondent was bothered by things that do not usually bother him/her during the past week.

RwEFFORT_D indicates how often the respondent felt everything he/she did was an effort during the past week.

RwFHOPE_D indicates how often the respondent felt hopeful about the future during the past week.
RwWHAPPY_D indicates how often the respondent felt happy during the past week.
Each variable is coded as follows: 1. Rarely or never (less than 1 day), 2. Sometimes (1 or 2 days), 3. Often (3 or 4 days), and 4. Most or all of the time (5-7 days). Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwCESD10 is a summary of RwMINDTS_D, RwDEPRES_D, RwFTRIED_D, RwFEARL_D, RwENLIFE_D, RwFLONE_D, RwBOTHER_D, RwEFFORT_D, RwFHOPE_D, and RwWHAPPY_D. RwENLIFE_D, RwFHOPE_D, and RwWHAPPY_D are reverse coded for RwCESD10. RwCESD10 is the sum of these variables. The higher the score, the more negative the respondent felt in the past week.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

No differences known.

## DAD Variables Used

| GA402 | TROUBLE CONCENTRATING |
| :--- | :--- |
| GA403 | FELT DEPRESSED |
| GA404 | FEEL TIRED |
| GA405 | AFRAID OF SOMETHING |
| GA406 | OVERALL SATISFIED |
| GA407 | FEEL ALONE |
| GA408 | BOTHERED BY THINGS |
| GA409 | EVERYTHING WAS AN EFFORT |
| GA411 | HOPEFUL ABOUT FUTURE |

## Anxiety inventory (BAI)

| Wave Variable | Label | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1WORST | rlworst:w1 r BAI worst happening | Categ $\quad$ Categ

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1WORST | 4037 | 1.54 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1NERV | 4032 | 1.66 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1TREMB | 4038 | 1.68 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FDYING | 4027 | 1.36 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1FAINT | 4031 | 1.53 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| R1ANX5 | 4014 | 2.75 | 3.25 | 0.00 | 15.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value |  | R1WORST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . d: DK | \| | 11 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 31 |
| 1.Never | \| | 2826 |
| 2.Hardly ever | \| | 415 |
| 3.Some of the time |  | 606 |
| 4.Most of the time |  | 190 |
| Value |  | R1NERV |
| .d:DK |  | 14 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 33 |
| 1.Never | \| | 2558 |
| 2.Hardly ever | \| | 514 |
| 3. Some of the time | I | 745 |
| 4.Most of the time | \| | 215 |
| Value |  | R1TREMB |
| . d: DK |  | 9 |
| .h:Not interviewed |  | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 32 |
| 1.Never | \| | 2557 |
| 2.Hardly ever | \| | 489 |
| 3.Some of the time | \| | 722 |
| 4.Most of the time | \| | 270 |


| Val | R1FDYING |
| :---: | :---: |
| . d : DK | 18 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 34 |
| 1. Never | 3241 |
| 2.Hardly ever | 267 |
| 3. Some of the time | 393 |
| 4.Most of the time | 126 |
| Value- | R1FAINT |
| . d: DK | 15 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 33 |
| 1. Never | 2832 |
| 2.Hardly ever | 418 |
| 3.Some of the time | 605 |
| 4.Most of the time | 176 |

## How Constructed

The following variables indicate the frequency that respondents experienced various feelings during the past week. For each variable, a statement about a feeling is read to the respondents and then they are asked how often they felt that way during the past week.

RwWORST indicates how often the respondent feared the worst would happen in the past week. RwNERV indicates how often the respondent felt nervous in the past week. RwTREMB indicates how often the respondent felt his/her hands trembling. RwFDYING indicates how often the respondent had a fear of dying. RwFAINT indicates how often the respondent felt faint. RwWORST, RwNERV, RwTREMB, RwFDYING, and RwFAINT are coded as follows: 1. Never, 2. Hardly ever, 3. Some of the time, and 4. Most of the time. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwANX5 is a summary measure based on RwWORST, RwNERV, RwTREMB, RwFDYING, and RwFAINT. RwANX5 is the sum of these variables after their ranges were recoded from $1-4$ to 0-3. The higher the score, the more anxious the respondent felt in the past week.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

These variables are not included in LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| GA422 | FEAR OF WORST HAPPENING |
| :--- | :--- |
| GA423 | NERVOUS |
| GA424 | HANDS TREMBLING |
| GA425 | FEAR OF DYING |
| GA426 | FELT FAINT |

## Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA)

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1MNA_DFOOD | r1mna_dfood:w1 r MNA declined food intake(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_WLOSS | rlmna_wloss:w1 r MNA weight loss(0-3) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_MOB | r1mna_mob:w1 r MNA mobility (0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_STRESS | r1mna_stress:w1 r MNA stress (0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_PSYCHO | rlmna_psycho:w1 r MNA neuropsychological problem(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_LIVE | rlmna_live:w1 r MNA lives independently (0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_DRUG | rlmna_drug:w1 r MNA takes 3+ prescription drugs (0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_SORES | r1mna_sores:w1 r MNA has pressure sores or skin ulcers (0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_MEALS | r1mna_meals:w1 r MNA number of meals (0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_PROTN | rlmna_protn:w1 r MNA protein intake(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_PROTN3 | r1mna_protn3:w1 r MNA protein intake(0-3) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_VEG | r1mna_veg:w1 r MNA vegetables intake(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_FLUID | rlmna_fluid:w1 r MNA fluid intake(0-1) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_FEED | rlmna_feed:w1 r MNA mode of feeding (0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_NSTAT | rlmna_nstat:w1 r MNA nutritional status(0-2) | Categ |
| 1 | R1MNA_HSTAT | r1mna_hstat:w1 r MNA health status(0-2) | Cont |
| 1 | R1MNA_MAC | r1mna_mac:w1 r MNA mid-arm circumference(0-1) | Cont |
| 1 | R1MNA_CC | rlmna_cc:w1 r MNA calf circumference(0-1) | Cont |
| 1 | R1MNA_SCREEN | rlmna_screen:w1 r MNA total score of screening(0-14) | Cont |
| 1 | R1MNA_ASSESS | r1mna_assess:w1 r MNA assessment (0-16) | Cont |
| 1 | R1MNA_SCALE | rlmna_scale:w1 r MNA assessment scale(0-30) | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1MNA_DFOOD | 4044 | 1.38 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1MNA_WLOSS | 4028 | 1.65 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1MNA_MOB | 4061 | 1.87 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1MNA_STRESS | 4039 | 1.68 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1MNA_PSYCHO | 4021 | 1.89 | 0.70 | 0.37 | 0.00 |
| R1MNA_LIVE | 4058 |  | 0.00 | 2.00 |  |


| R1MNA_DRUG | 4054 | 0.79 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1MNA_SORES | 4053 | 0.91 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1MNA_MEALS | 4060 | 1.33 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1MNA_PROTN | 4096 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1MNA_PROTN3 | 4048 | 1.33 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| R1MNA_VEG | 4052 | 0.78 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1MNA_FLUID | 4049 | 0.82 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1MNA_FEED | 4059 | 1.76 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1MNA_NSTAT | 4024 | 1.31 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1MNA_HSTAT | 4023 | 0.82 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1MNA_MAC | 4051 | 0.84 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1MNA_CC | 4049 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
| R1MNA_SCREEN | 3690 | 9.66 | 2.09 | 3.00 | 14.00 |
| R1MNA_ASSESS | 3950 | 10.55 | 2.12 | 1.50 | 15.50 |
| R1MNA_SCALE | 3623 | 20.30 | 3.51 | 6.00 | 29.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1MNA_DFOOD |
| :---: | :---: |
| . d: DK | 14 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 21 |
| 0 | 492 |
| 1 | 1534 |
| 2 | 2018 |
| Value | R1MNA_WLOSS |
| . d: DK | 28 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 23 |
| 0 | 357 |
| 1 | 1904 |
| 2 | 564 |
| 3 | 1203 |
| Value | R1MNA_MOB |
| .d:DK | 2 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 16 |
| 0 | 126 |
| 1 | 275 |
| 2 | 3660 |
| Value | R1MNA_STRESS |
| . d: DK | 19 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 21 |


| 0 | \| | 655 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | \| | 3384 |
| Value | \| R1MNA_PSYCHO |  |
| . d: DK |  | 37 |
| .h:Not interviewed | I | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | , | 21 |
| 0 | \| | 89 |
| 1 |  | 249 |
| 2 |  | 3683 |
| Value- |  | R1MNA_LIVE |
| . d : DK |  | 7 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 14 |
| 0 |  | 1215 |
| 1 |  | 2843 |
| Value |  | R1MNA_DRUG |
| . d: DK |  | 3 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 21 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 6 |
| 0 |  | 840 |
| 1 | \| | 3214 |
| Value- |  | R1MNA_SORES |
| . d: DK |  | 10 |
| .h:Not interviewed |  | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 16 |
| 0 |  | 367 |
| 1 | \| | 3686 |
| Value- |  | R1MNA_MEALS |
| . d: DK |  | 5 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse |  | 14 |
| 0 | I | 195 |
| 1 | \| | 2318 |
| 2 | \| | 1547 |
| Value |  | R1MNA_PROTN |
| 0 |  | 2214 |
| 0.5 | I | 1603 |
| 1 | \| | 279 |
| Value |  | R1MNA PROTN3 |
| . d: DK |  | 9 |
| .h:Not interviewed |  | 12 |
| .m:Missing | I | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 22 |
| 0 | \| | 819 |
| 1 | \| | 1348 |
| 2 | \| | 1602 |
| 3 | । | 279 |
| Value |  | R1MNA_VEG |
| . d: DK | \| | 6 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 21 |
| 0 | \| | 873 |
| 1 | \| | 3179 |
| Value |  | R1MNA_FLUID |
| . d: DK | I | 10 |
| .h:Not interviewed | । | 12 |


| .m:Missing | 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| .r:Refuse | 20 |
| 0 | 293 |
| 0.5 | 911 |
| 1 | 2845 |
| Value | R1MNA_FEED |
| . d: DK | 5 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 15 |
| 0 | 408 |
| 1 | 140 |
| 2 | 3511 |
| Value | R1MNA_NSTAT |
| . d: DK | 32 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 23 |
| 0 | 903 |
| 1 | 951 |
| 2 | 2170 |

## How Constructed

The following variables are part of the Mini Nutritional Assessment. These variables pertain to the respondent's appetite and eating habits.

RwMNA_DFOOD indicates the degree to which the respondent's food intake declined over the past 3 months due to a loss of appetite, digestive problems, or chewing or swallowing difficulties. RwMNA DFOOD is coded as follows: 0. Severe decrease in food intake, 1. Moderate decrease in food intake, añ 2 . No decrease in food intake.

RwMNA_WLOSS indicates the degree to which the respondent experienced weight loss during the last 3 months. RwMNA_WLOSS is coded as follows: 0. Weight loss greater than 3 kg (6.6lbs), 1. Does not know, 2 . Weight loss between 1 and $3 \mathrm{~kg}(2.2$ and 6.6 lbs$)$, and 3 . No weight loss.

RwMNA_MOB indicates a self-reported value of mobility given 3 answer options. RwMNA_MOB is coded as follows: 0. Bed or chair bound, 1. Able to get out of bed/chair but does not go out, and 2 . Goes out.

RwMNA_STRESS indicates whether the respondent reports suffering from psychological stress or acute disease in the past 3 months. A 0 is coded if the respondent reports he/she did suffer psychological stress or acute disease in the past 3 months. A 1 is coded if the respondent reports he/she have not experienced this in the past 3 months.

RwMNA_PSYCHO indicates whether the respondent suffered neuropsychological problems. RwMNA_PSYCHO is coded as fol̄lows: 0. Severe neuropsychological problems, 1. Mild neuropsychological problems, añ 2. No neuropsychological problems.

RwMNA_LIVE indicates whether the respondent lives independently, that is not in a nursing home or a hospital. A 0 is coded if the respondent does not live independently. A 1 is coded if the respondent does live independently.

RwMNA_DRUG indicates whether the respondent takes more than 3 prescription drugs per day. A 0 is coded if the respondent does take more than 3 prescription drugs per day. A 1 is coded if the respondent does not take more than 3 prescription drugs per day.

RwMNA SORES indicates whether the respondent has pressure sores or skin ulcers. A 0 is coded if the respondent reports they do have pressure sores or skin ulcers. A 1 is coded if the respondent reports they do not have pressure sores or skin ulcers.

RwMNA_MEALS indicates the number of full meals the respondent eats daily. RwMNA_MEALS is coded as follows: 0. 1 meal, 1. 2 meals, and 2.3 meals.

RwMNA PROTN and RwMNA PROTN3 count the number of protein sources that the respondent incorporates into his/hēr daily diet and are based on three survey questions. The respondent is asked (1) whether he/she eat at least one serving of dairy products (e.g. milk, cheese, and yogurt) per day, (2) whether he/she eat two or more servings of legumes or eggs per week, and (3) whether he/she eat meat, fish or poultry every day. The number of affirmative answers from these three questions are added together for the total protein intake score. RwMNA_PROTN is coded as follows: 0. 0-1 sources of protein; 0.5 . 2 sources of protein; and 1. 3 sources of protein. RwMNA_PROTN3 is coded as follows: 0. 0 sources of protein; 1 . 1 source of protein; 2. 2 sources of protein; and 3. 3 sources of protein.

RwMNA VEG indicates whether the respondent consumes two or more servings of fruit or vegetables per day. A 0 is coded if the respondent does not consume two or more servings of fruit or vegetables per day. A 1 is coded if the respondent does consume two or more servings or fruit or vegetables per day.

RwMNA_FLUID indicates the amount of fluid (e.g. water, juice, coffee, tea, and milk) the respondent drinks per day. RwMNA_FLUID is coded as follows: 0. Less than 3 cups; 0.5 . 3 to 5 cups; and 1 . More than 5 cups.

RwMNA_FEED indicates the degree to which the respondent can eat without assistance. RwMNA_FEED is coded as follows: 0. Unable to eat without assistance; 1. Self-fed with some difficulty; and 2 . Self-fed without any problems.

RwMNA_NSTAT indicates the respondent's perceived nutritional status, given three options. RwMNA_NSTAT is coded as follows: 0. View self as being malnourished; 1. Is uncertain of nutritional state; and 2 . Views self as having no nutritional problem.

RwMNA_HSTAT indicates how the respondent considers his/her health status in comparison with other people of the same age. RwMNA_HSTATUS is coded as follows: 0. Not as good; 0.5. Does not know; 1. As good; and 2. Better.

RwMNA_MAC indicates a score for the respondent's mid arm circumference measurement. RwMNA_MAC is derived using the Harmonized DAD variable RwMIDARM. RwMNA_MAC is coded based on the following ranges of RwMIDARM: $0.0-20.99 ; 0.5 .21-22$; and 1. 22. 01-50.

RwMNA CC indicates a score for the respondent's calf circumference measurement. RwMNA CC is derived using the Harmonized DAD variable RwCALF. RwMNA_CC is coded based on the following ranges of RwCALF: 0. 0-30.99 and 0.5. 31-80.

RwMNA_SCREEN is a summary measure for RwMNA_DFOOD, RwMNA_WLOSS, RwMNA_MOB, RwMNA_STRESS, RwMNA_PSYCHO, and RwBMICAT. RwMNA_SCREEN is the sum of each component variable. RwMNA_SCREEN ranges from 0-1 $\overline{4}$. Please refer to the "Height, Weight, and Other Measurements" section for further information on how RwBMICAT was constructed.

RwMNA_ASSESS is a summary measure for RwMNA_LIVE, RwMNA_DRUG, RwMNA_SORES, RwMNA_MEALS, RwMNA_PROTN, RwMNA_VEG, RwMNA_FLUID, RwMNA_FEED, RwMNA_NSTAT, RwMNA_ $\bar{H} S T A T, ~ R w M N A \_M A C, ~ a n d ~ R w M N A \_C C, ~ r a n g i n g ~ f r o m ~ 0-16 . ~$ RwMNA_ASSESS is the sum of these variables.

RwMNA SCALE is a summary measure for all the variables comprising RwMNA_SCREEN and RwMNA ASSESS. Specifically, this includes RwMNA_DFOOD, RwMNA_WLOSS, RwMNA_MOB, RwMNA_STRESS, RwMNA_PSYCHO, RwBMICAT, RwMNA_LIVE, RwMNA_DRUG, RwMNA_SORES, RwMNA_MEALS, RwMNA_PROTN, RwMNA_VEG, RwMNA_FLUID, RwMNA_FEED, RwMNA_NSTAT, RwMNA_HSTAT, RwMNA_MAC, and RwMNA_CC. RwMNA_SCALE ranges from 0-30-

Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.i) is assigned for invalid readings. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

## DAD Variables Used

GA602
GA603
GA604
GA605
GA606
GA607
GA608
GA609
GA610
GA611
GA612
GA613
GA614
GA615
GA616
GA617
GA618

```
FOOD INTAKE DECLINED
EXPERIENCED WEIGHT LOSS
MOBILITY
PSYCHOLOGICAL STRESS
NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS
LIVE INDEPENDENTLY
3 PRESCRIPTION DRUGS
SORES/ULCERS
FULL MEALS DAILY
AT LEAST ONE SERVING OF DAIRY
2 OR MORE LEGUMES/EGGS PER WEEK
EAT MEAT/FISH/POULTRY
TWO OR MORE SERVINGS OF FRUIT/VEGGIES
FLUID PER DAY
MODE OF FEEDING
NUTRITIONAL STATUS
HEALTH STATUS
```


## Spice Questions

| Wave | Variable | Label | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R1TURMERF | rlturmerf:w1 r use turmeric daily | Categ |
| 1 | R1TURMERQ | rlturmerq:w1 r use turmeric at least half teaspoon | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE1 | r1spice1:w1 r spice-Red Chillies | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE2 | r1spice2:w1 r spice-Cumin Seeds | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE3 | r1spice3:w1 r spice-Coriander Seeds | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE4 | rlspice4:w1 r spice-Mustard Seeds (Rai) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE5 | r1spice5:w1 r spice-Fenugreek Seeds (Mehthi) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE6 | rlspice6:w1 r spice-Black Pepper(Kali mirch) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE7 | r1spice7:w1 r spice-Cloves(Lavang) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE8 | r1spice8:w1 r spice-Cardamom(Ilaichi) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE9 | r1spice9:w1 r spice-Cinnamon(Dalchini) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE10 | r1spice10:w1 r spice-Caraway Seeds(Shahzeera) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE11 | rlspicell:w1 r spice-Carom seeds (Ajwain) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE12 | r1spice12:w1 r spice-Nutmeg(Jaiphal) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE13 | r1spice13:w1 r spice-mace(Japatri) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE14 | r1spice14:w1 r spice-Fennel (Saunf) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE15 | r1spice15:w1 r spice-Asafoetida (Hing) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE16 | r1spice16:w1 r spice-Star Anise(Anasphal) | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE17 | r1spice17:w1 r spice-black cardamom | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE18 | r1spice18:w1 r spice-bay leaf | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE19 | r1spice19:w1 r spice-other | Categ |
| 1 | R1SPICE | rlspice:w1 r \# of spices intake (0-18) | Cont |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R1TURMERF | 4037 | 0.98 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1TURMERQ | 3860 | 0.73 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE1 | 1571 | 0.83 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE2 | 1571 | 0.70 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE3 | 1571 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |


| R1SPICE4 | 1571 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1SPICE5 | 1571 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE6 | 1571 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE7 | 1571 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE8 | 1571 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE9 | 1571 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE10 | 1571 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE11 | 1571 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE12 | 1571 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE13 | 1571 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE14 | 1571 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE15 | 1571 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE16 | 1571 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE17 | 1571 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE18 | 1571 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE19 | 1571 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1SPICE | 1490 | 4.36 | 2.32 | 0.00 | 16.00 |

Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1TURMERE |
| :---: | :---: |
| . d: DK | 23 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 19 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 88 |
| 1.Yes | 3949 |
| Value | R1TURMERQ |
| . d: DK | 170 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 53 |
| .r:Refuse | 1 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 1032 |
| 1.Yes | 2828 |
| Value | R1SPICE1 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 269 |
| 1.Yes | 1302 |
| Value- | R1SPICE2 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| 0 . No | 477 |


| 1.Yes | \| | 1094 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value |  | R1SPICE3 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | I | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 321 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 1250 |
| Value- |  | R1SPICE4 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| 0 . No | \| | 959 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 612 |
| Value- |  | R1SPICE5 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| 0 . No | \| | 965 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 606 |
| Value- |  | R1SPICE6 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| 0 . No | \| | 990 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 581 |
| Value- |  | R1SPICE7 |
| .h:Not interviewed |  | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| 0 . No | \| | 1330 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 241 |
| Value |  | R1SPICE8 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 1428 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 143 |
| Value- |  | R1SPICE9 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 1457 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 114 |
| Value |  | R1SPICE10 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 1550 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 21 |
| Value- |  | R1SPICE11 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 1540 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 31 |
| Value- |  | R1SPICE12 |
| .h:Not interviewed | \| | 12 |
| .m:Missing | \| | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | \| | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | \| | 1554 |
| 1.Yes | \| | 17 |


| Val | R1SPICE13 |
| :---: | :---: |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 1558 |
| 1.Yes | 13 |
| Value- | R1SPICE14 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| 0 . No | 1549 |
| 1.Yes | 22 |
| Value | R1SPICE15 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 1432 |
| 1.Yes | 139 |
| Value- | R1SPICE16 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| 0 . No | 1562 |
| 1.Yes | 9 |
| Value- | R1SPICE17 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 1547 |
| 1.Yes | 24 |
| Value | R1SPICE18 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 1545 |
| 1.Yes | 26 |
| Value- | R1SPICE19 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 2496 |
| .r:Refuse | 17 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 1327 |
| 1.Yes | 244 |

## How Constructed

RwTURMERF indicates whether the respondent uses turmeric daily. A 0 is coded if the respondent reports he/she doesn't use turmeric daily. A 1 is coded if the respondent reports he/she uses turmeric daily.

RwTURMERQ indicates whether the respondent uses at least half a teaspoon of turmeric. A 0 is coded if the respondent uses less than half a teaspoon. A 1 is coded if the respondent uses half a teaspoon or more.

The following variables indicate whether the respondent uses a specific spice:

RwSPICE1 indicates whether the respondent uses Red Chilies.

RwSPICE2 indicates whether the respondent uses Cumin Seeds.

RwSPICE3 indicates whether the respondent uses Coriander Seeds.

RwSPICE4 indicates whether the respondent uses Mustard Seeds (Rai)

```
RwSPICE5 indicates whether the respondent uses Fenugreek Seeds (Mehthi).
RwSPICE6 indicates whether the respondent uses Black Pepper(Kali mirch).
RwSPICE7 indicates whether the respondent uses Cloves (Lavang).
RwSPICE8 indicates whether the respondent uses Cardamom (Ilaichi).
RwSPICE9 indicates whether the respondent uses Cinnamon (Dalchini).
RwSPICE10 indicates whether the respondent uses Caraway Seeds (Shahzeera).
RwSPICE11 indicates whether the respondent uses Carom Seeds (Ajwain).
RwSPICE12 indicates whether the respondent uses Nutmeg (Jaiphal).
RwSPICE13 indicates whether the respondent uses Mace (Japatri).
RwSPICE14 indicates whether the respondent uses Fennel (Saunf).
RwSPICE15 indicates whether the respondent uses Asafoetida (Hing).
RwSPICE16 indicates whether the respondent uses Star Anise (Anasphal).
RwSPICE17 indicates whether the respondent uses Black Cardamom.
RwSPICE18 indicates whether the respondent uses Bay Leaf.
RwSPICE19 indicates whether the respondent uses Other spices not listed.
RwSPICE1-RwSPICE19 are coded as 1 if the respondent reports he/she uses any quantity of the spice. This
includes those who report using a quarter of a teaspoon to 3+ teaspoons each time. If the spice is not
used, a 0 is coded.
RwSPICE indicates the number of spices that the respondent uses. RwSPICE is constructed by taking the sum
of RwSPICE1-RwSPICE19. RwSPICE ranges from 0-18.
Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m),
respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

These variables are not included in LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

| GA619A | Use of Turmeric |
| :--- | :--- |
| GA619B | Approximate Quantity of it used each time |
| GA620_0_S1 | Other spice used 1 Red chillies (Lal mirch) |
| GA620_0_S10 | Other spice used 10 Caraway seeds (Shahzeera) |
| GA620_0_S11 | Other spice used 11 Carom seeds (Ajwain) |
| GA620_0_S12 | Other spice used 12 Nutmeg (Jaiphal) |
| GA620_-_S13 | OA620_0_S14 |
| GA620_-_S15 | Other spice used 13 Mace (Japatri) |
| GA620_0_S16 | Other spice used 14 Fennel (Saunf) |
| GA620_0_S17 | Other spice used 15 Asafoetida (Hing) |
| GA620_0_S18 | Other spice used 16 Star Anise (Anasphal) |


Other spice used 19 Other GA620_other
Other spice used 2 Cumin seeds (Zeera)
Other spice used 3 Coriander seeds (Dhania)
Other spice used 4 Mustard seeds (Rai)
Other spice used 5 Fenugreek seeds (Mehthi)
Other spice used 6 Black pepper (Kali mirch)
Other spice used 7 Cloves (Lavang)
Other spice used 8 Cardamom (Ilaichi)
Other spice used 9 Cinnamon (Dalchini)
Frequency-Other spice used 10 Caraway seeds (
Frequency-Other spice used 11 Carom seeds (Aj
Frequency-Other spice used 12 Nutmeg (Jaiphal
Frequency-Other spice used 13 Mace (Japatri)
Frequency-Other spice used 14 Fennel (Saunf)
Frequency-Other spice used 15 Asafoetida (Hin
Frequency-Other spice used 16 Star Anise (Ana
Frequency-Other spice used 17 Black Cardamom
Frequency-Other spice used 18 Bay leaf (tejpa
Frequency-Other spice used 19 Other GA620_oth
Frequency-Other spice used 1 Red chillies (La
Frequency-Other spice used 2 Cumin seeds (Zee
Frequency-Other spice used 3 Coriander seeds
Frequency-Other spice used 4 Mustard seeds (R
Frequency-Other spice used 5 Fenugreek seeds
Frequency-Other spice used 6 Black pepper (Ka
Frequency-Other spice used 7 Cloves (Lavang)
Frequency-Other spice used 8 Cardamom (Ilaich
Frequency-Other spice used 9 Cinnamon (Dalchi

## Hearing Tests

| Wave Variable | Label | Type | Clhear_r:w1 r hearing test-right ear (0-6) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1HEAR_R | 3918 | 2.83 | 1.27 | 0.00 | 6.00 |
| R1HEAR_L | 3919 | 2.97 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 6.00 |
| R1HEAR_NA | 4048 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| R1HEAR_AID | 3938 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.00 |

## Categorical Variable Codes

| Value | R1HEAR_NA |
| :---: | :---: |
| .d:DK | R 6 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 25 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3940 |
| 1.Yes | 108 |
| Value | R1HEAR_AID |
| . d: DK | - 6 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .m:Missing | 5 |
| .r:Refuse | 27 |
| .s:Skipped | 108 |
| 0 . No | 3910 |
| 1.Yes | 28 |
| Value | R1HEAR_P |
| .d:DK | 6 |
| .h:Not interviewed | 12 |
| .r:Refuse | 29 |
| .s:Skipped | 108 |
| $0 . \mathrm{No}$ | 3321 |
| 1.Yes | 620 |

## How Constructed

The following variables pertain to the Hearing Test. For the Hearing Test, a HearCheck device is placed over each of the respondent's ears. The device plays a series of tones. The respondent is asked to raise his/her finger each time he/she hears a sound. The test begins on the words "Ready, begin". The interviewer is instructed to remove any obstructions from the respondent's ears, such as long hair, glasses, and jewelry for this test.

RwHEAR_R and RwHEAR_L indicate the respondent's Hearing Test summary scores based on two tests for the right ear and left ear, respectively. For each tone the respondent correctly hears, 1 is added to the respective ear's summary score (left or right). Each test has 3 tones per ear. RwHEAR_R and RwHEAR_L range from 0-6. Special missing (.s) is assigned if the respondent did not do the Hearing Test because he/she refused, had a cochlear implant, or had an ear infection in either ear. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwHEAR_NA indicates whether the respondent was unable to do the Hearing Test. A is coded if the respondent was able to do the Hearing Test. A 1 is coded if the respondent was not able to do the Hearing Test because he/she refused, had a cochlear implant, or had an ear infection in either ear. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed.

RwHEAR_AID indicates whether the respondent wears hearing aids. A 0 is coded if the respondent does not wear hearing aids. A 1 is coded if the respondent does wear hearing aids. Don't know, refused, or other missing responses are assigned special missing codes (.d), (.r), and (.m), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed. Special missing (.s) is assigned if the respondent did not do the Hearing Test because he/she refused, had a cochlear implant, or had an ear infection in either ear.

RwHEAR P indicates whether there were any interruptions during the Hearing Test. A 0 is coded if there were no interruptions. A 1 is coded if there was background noise that interfered with the hearing test, there were problems with the equipment or supplies, had to restart the test, the respondent removed obstructions (glasses, earrings, etc.), the respondent removed hearing aid, the respondent raised their finger more than three times for a single test, or other not already specified. Don't know, refused responses are assigned special missing codes (.d) and (.r), respectively. Special missing (.h) is assigned if the respondent was not interviewed. Special missing (.s) is assigned if the respondent did not do the Hearing Test because he/she refused, had a cochlear implant, or had an ear infection in either ear.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

These variables are not included in LASI.

## DAD Variables Used

GA901
GA902
GA904_1
GA904 2
GA $905^{-1}$
GA905_2
GA 906

Hearing test introduction
wearing hearing aids
Left ear test 1
Left ear test 2
Right ear test
Right ear test 2
occurred during the hearing test

## Section E: Polygenic Risk Scores (PRSs)

## Polygenic Risk Scores for Alzheimer's Disease

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1PRS_TOPLAM | std top SNPs PRS using genome-wide significant SNPs:Lambert Cont |
| 1 | R1PRS_TOPKUN | std top SNPs PRS using genome-wide significant SNPs:Kunkle e Cont |
| 1 | R1PRS_TOPJAN std top SNPs PRS using genome-wide significant SNPs:Jansen e Cont |  |

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1PRS_TOPLAM | 932 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -3.40 | 2.88 |
| R1PRS_TOPKUN | 932 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -2.99 | 3.65 |
| R1PRS_TOPJAN | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -3.52 | 2.75 |

## How Constructed

The LASI-DAD genotyped respondents who consented to the blood sample collection and provided whole blood DNA. Polygenic risk scores (PRSs) were constructed, which provide a quantitative measure of genetic risk for genetic analyses. PRSs are based on large-scale replicated genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and were constructed using genome-wide significant single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), noted as "top SNPs" PRSs.

For detailed information on the general method of constructing PRSs, please refer to Section 5 "Polygenic Risk Scores (PRSs)" in the Harmonized LASI-DAD data documentation.

The following variables are "top SNPs" PRSs for Alzheimer's disease (AD), each created based on results from one of three large-scale GWAS meta-analyses. All three PRSs have been standardized to a standard normal curve with a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 . Please note that all three GWAS meta-analyses were conducted using individuals of European ancestry. As key SNPs in the APOE gene have a strong association with Alzheimer's disease, variants in the $A P O E$ region are excluded from the following three polygenic risk scores.

RwPRS TOPLAM is the polygenic risk score for Alzheimer's disease, using results from a 2013 GWAS conducted by the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) (Lambert et al., 2013). The 2013 meta-analysis identified 19 SNPs with genome-wide significant associations with AD. RwPRS TOPLAM contains all 19 SNPs that were identified.

RwPRS TOPKUN is the polygenic risk score for Alzheimer's disease, using results from a 2019 GWAS metaanalysis that had samples from the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) (Kunkle et al., 2019). The 2019 meta-analysis identified 24 genome-wide-significant associations with AD. RwPRS_TOPKUN contains 20 SNPs that overlap between the LASI-DAD genetic data and the genome-wide significant SNPs from the GWAS meta-analysis.

RwPRS TOPJAN is the polygenic risk score for Alzheimer's disease, using results from a 2019 GWAS metaanalysis that had samples from the Alzheimer's disease working group of Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC-ALZ), the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP), the Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP), and UKBiobank (Jansen et al., 2019). The 2019 meta-analysis identified 28 genome-wide significant loci associated with AD. RwPRS_TOPJAN contains 19 SNPs that overlap between the LASI-DAD genetic data and the genome-wide significant SNPs from the GWAS meta-analysis.

Please refer to Table S1 in Smith et al. (2020) for the list of SNPs included in each PRS.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

```
No differences known.
```


## Differences with HRS HCAP

The HRS HCAP does not provide polygenic risk scores and associated variables.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

The Harmonized LASI does not provide polygenic risk scores and associated variables.

## Polygenic Risk Scores for General Cognitive Function

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Type

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1PRS_TOPCOG | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -2.57 | 3.48 |
| R1PRS_ALLCOG | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -3.43 | 3.67 |

## How Constructed

For detailed information on the general method of constructing PRSs, please refer to Section 5 "Polygenic Risk Scores (PRSs)" in the Harmonized LASI-DAD data documentation.

Two versions of the PRSs for general cognitive function were created, which were based on results from a 2018 GWAS conducted using genetic data from the CHARGE and COGENT consortia, and UKBiobank (Davies et al., 2018). The 2018 GWAS identified a total of 178 genome-wide significant independent lead SNPs from 148 loci that were associated with general cognitive function. Please note that this GWAS was conducted using individuals of European ancestry.

The following variables have been standardized within the study sample to have a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 .

RwPRS_TOPCOG is the polygenic risk score for general cognitive function, constructed using "top SNPs". RwPRS_TOPCOG includes 130 lead SNPs out of the 178 reported lead SNPs from 148 loci that overlap between the LASI-DAD genetic data and the 2018 GWAS meta-analysis.

RwPRS_ALLCOG is the polygenic risk score for general cognitive function, constructed using "all SNPs", or all independent SNPs with p-value less than 10E-04. RwPRS_ALLCOG contains 1,938 SNPs that overlap between the LASI-DAD genetic data and the 2018 GWAS meta-analysis.

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

The HRS HCAP does not provide polygenic risk scores and associated variables.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

The Harmonized LASI does not provide polygenic risk scores and associated variables.

## Genetic Principal Components (PCs)



## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1PRS_PC1 | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -4.42 | 1.60 |
| R1PRS_PC2 | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -5.08 | 1.80 |
| R1PRS_PC3 | 932 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -2.82 | 11.24 |
| R1PRS_PC4 | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -2.17 | 2.46 |
| R1PRS_PC5 | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -3.22 | 3.38 |
| R1PRS_PC6 | 932 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -3.99 | 15.31 |
| R1PRS_PC7 | 932 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -5.24 | 13.73 |
| R1PRS_PC8 | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -22.63 | 3.01 |
| R1PRS_PC9 | 932 | -0.00 | 1.00 | -6.47 | 20.30 |
| R1PRS_PC10 | 932 | 0.00 | 1.00 | -4.94 | 4.63 |

## How Constructed

Principal component (PC) analysis (Price et al., 2006) was performed to identify population group outliers and to provide sample principal components to be used as covariates in the statistical models used for association testing to adjust for possible population stratification.

RwPRS PC1 - RwPRS PC10 are standardized versions of ancestry specific genetic principal components 1 10. PCs 1 - 5 and PCs $6-10$ were scrambled to protect identifiable information.

It is highly recommended that users perform analyses adjusted for RwPRS PC1 - RwPRS PC10 in order to control for confounding from population stratification, or to account for any ancestry differences in genetic structures within populations that could bias estimates. The PCs control for any genetic aspects

```
of common ancestry that could be spuriously correlated with the PRS and the outcome of interest (Price et
al., 2006).
```


## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

The HRS HCAP does not provide polygenic risk scores and associated variables.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

The Harmonized LASI does not provide polygenic risk scores and associated variables.

## SNPs in the APOE Gene

| Wave Variable | Label |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R1RS7412 | key SNP in APOE gene: rs7412 | Cont

## Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1RS7412 | 932 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| R1RS 429358 | 932 | 0.20 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 2.00 |

## How Constructed

Key SNPs in the $A P O E$ gene have a strong association with Alzheimer's disease. Variants in the APOE region were excluded from the three polygenic risk scores for Alzheimer's disease, but two SNPs have been released in the Harmonized LASI-DAD as independent units.
 $2=T T)$. RwRS7412 is one of the two SNPs that define the $A P O E \varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 3$, and $\varepsilon 4$ alleles. The imputed version (1000G phase 3 version 5 reference panel) that incorporates imputation uncertainty is provided so that the numbers are not always exactly 0,1 , or 2 . The imputation quality score $R^{2}$ for this SNP is 0.9998 ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ranges from 0 to 1 , with the larger number indicating better quality).

RwRS 429358 is the number of $C$ alleles of $S N P$ rs 429358 (T/C), which ranges from 0 to 2 (e.g., $0=T T, 1=T C$, $2=C C)$. RwRS 429358 is one of the two SNPs that define the $A P O E \varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 3$, and $\varepsilon 4$ alleles. The imputed version (1000G phase 3 version 5 reference panel) that incorporates imputation uncertainty is provided so that the numbers are not always exactly 0, 1, or 2. The imputation quality score $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for this $\mathrm{SNP}^{\text {f }}$ is 0.9979 ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ranges from 0 to 1, with the larger number indicating better quality).

## Cross Wave Differences in DAD

No differences known.

## Differences with HRS HCAP

The HRS HCAP does not provide polygenic risk scores and associated variables.

## Differences with Harmonized LASI

The Harmonized LASI does not provide polygenic risk scores and associated variables.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ These centers include: the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Delhi; Madras Medical College, Chennai; National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bangalore; BHU, Varanasi; S.N. Medical College, Jodhpur; TMC, Trivandrum; Grant Medical College, Mumbai; SKIMS, Srinagar, Gauwhati Medical College, Guwahati, Assam; Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha; IPGMER, Kolkata; Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar; All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Madhya Pradesh; All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Rishikesh, Uttarakhand; and Government Medical College, Chandigarh, Punjab.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The reference person need not be the person who responded to the question. It is the person whose information is central to the data file observation.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The LASI-DAD sample includes 18 Indian states. While these states cover the vast majority of the Indian population (more than $90 \%$ ), the excluded states may have systematically different characteristics, which would prevent us from using national-level statistics as benchmarks at the post-stratification stage. We run an extensive battery of tests and find no evidence that LASI-DAD and non-LASI-DAD states differ systematically in terms of per capita net state domestic product, average gender, age, literacy, education, and cognitive functions.

[^3]:    DAD_FINAL_WEIGHT
    DAD Final Weight after Post-stratification

